Ethnic disproportionality in the identification of Special Educational Needs (SEN):

Enfield Local Authority Feedback Pack

Contents of this pack

| Summary of national findings | |
|---|-----------|
| Interpreting the LA feedback: A brief guide | |
| How to use the LA specific tables | |
| Enfield unadjusted Odds Ratios (OR) by ethnic group and SEN type | Table 1 |
| National unadjusted Odds Ratios (OR) by ethnic group and SEN type | Table 2 |
| Enfield adjusted Odds Ratios (OR) by ethnic group and SEN type | Table 3 |
| National adjusted Odds Ratios (OR) by ethnic group and SEN type | Table 4 |
| Enfield frequencies (counts) of number of pupils by ethnic group and SEN type | Table 5 |
| National frequencies (counts) of number of pupils by ethnic group and SEN type | Table 6 |
| How to use the All LA tables | |
| All-LA incidence and Risk Ratio (RR) table - Any SEN | Table 7 |
| All-LA incidence and Risk Ratio (RR) table: Moderate Learning Difficulty (MLD) | Table 8 |
| All-LA incidence and Risk Ratio (RR) table: Social, Emotional & Mental Health (SEMH |) Table 9 |
| All-LA incidence and Risk Ratio (RR) table: Autistic Spectrum Disorder (ASD) | Table 10 |
| Abbreviations | |
| Technical Appendix | |

Summary of key findings from the national data

This Local Authority (LA) feedback comes from a broader project investigating ethnic disproportionality in the identification of Special Educational Needs (SEN) in England (for the full project report, see Strand & Lindorff, 2018). Disproportionality exists when pupils from an ethnic minority group are more (or less) likely to be identified with SEN than pupils in the majority group (in England, White British pupils). We say an ethnic minority group is *over-represented* when pupils in that group are *more* likely to be identified, and we say an ethnic minority group is *under-represented* when pupils from that group are *less* likely to be identified, than those in the majority ethnic group.

We used data from the 2016 January School Census, and focused on pupils of compulsory school age (aged 5-16 years) looking at all pupils from Year 1 to Year 11. We present key findings here to provide background and context for the interpretation of the results.

Key findings

Prevalence rates have increased with the requirement introduced in the 2015 School Census to record the type of SEN for all pupils with SEN, not just those at School Action Plus or with a Statement/Education Health and Care plan. For example, between 2014 and 2016 the incidence of Moderate Learning Difficulties (MLD) increased from 2.0% to 4.0%, Social Emotional and Mental Health (SEMH) needs from 2.2% to 2.8% and Autistic Spectrum Disorders (ASD) from 1.0% to 1.3%. However, the extent of ethnic disproportionality in the identification of SEN has hardly varied at all, and indeed has changed very little since 2005.

There is substantial ethnic disproportionality for the following:

- Black Caribbean and Mixed White & Black Caribbean pupils are over-represented for SEMH
- Asian groups (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi and Other Asian) are under-represented for ASD
- Black Caribbean and Pakistani pupils are over-represented for MLD
- Pakistani pupils are over-represented for Hearing Impairment (HI) and Visual Impairment (VI)

The over-representation for MLD is accounted for by pupil background factors including age, socioeconomic deprivation and gender, but the other ethnic disproportionalities remain apparent even after accounting for pupil background characteristics.

LAs account for relatively little (<5%) of the variation in the identification of SEN. For example disproportionality varies little in direction across LAs (e.g. 95 LAs show under-representation of Asian pupils for MLD, only 2 show over-representation; 84 LAs show over-representation of Black Caribbean/MWBC for SEMH, none show under-representation; 79 LAs show under-representation of Asian pupils for ASD, only 3 show over-representation). Nevertheless variation between LAs does exist and it is important that LA's identify whether disproportionate identification exists in their localities and if so to explore factors that may be associated with it.

This data pack presents some of our analyses as a starting point to address the above issues.

Interpreting the LA feedback: A brief guide

The purpose of this LA-specific feedback is to provide information on ethnic disproportionality in SEN identification within each LA. Just like the national analysis, the underlying data on which results are based include information on pupils in Year 1 to Year 11 (ages 5-16) at the time of the 2016 January School Census. At times, descriptive information provided here may differ slightly from DfE reports/tables that include children and young people outside of this age range.

Results are reported in terms of Odds Ratios (OR). ORs have an intuitive interpretation: If an ethnic group has an OR of 2.0 for example, this means the odds of being identified with SEN are twice as high for pupils from the ethnic group compared to the White British majority. If an ethnic group has an OR of 0.50 then the odds of being identified with SEN are half as high compared to the White British majority. We report *unadjusted ORs* that take into account only pupils' ethnic group membership and type of SEN, and *adjusted ORs* that account for other aspects of pupils' backgrounds and contexts (age, sex and socio-economic deprivation) that may be associated with SEN identification. Details of the analysis that produced these results can be found in the Technical Appendix at the end of this document.

We considered ORs according to the following cut-off values:

<= 0.67 "substantially under-represented": reported in blue (bold)

- <= 0.75 "under-represented": reported in blue (plain font)
- >= 1.33 "over-represented": reported in red (bold)
- >= 1.50 "substantially over-represented": reported in red (plain font)

Reporting is limited to categories containing a sufficient number of pupils to produce reliable results. That is, in any instance for which there were fewer than 10 pupils with a particular SEN type in a particular ethnic group within your LA, results are not reported.

"Any SEN" refers to an indicator of SEN identification without regard to specific type of need.

Frequencies (counts) are also given in a table showing the total number of pupils within your LA (as of January 2016) in each ethnic group identified with each primary type of SEN.

We have not broken these results down by level of need (SEN support; Education, Health and Care Plan) as this leads to extremely small numbers of pupils, too low to report for many ethnic minority groups for many of the types of SEN.

How to use the LA specific tables

On the pages that follow, we report disproportionality estimates specific to your LA alongside the comparable results from national data.

The following questions may be useful to facilitate conversations about your LA's results, to identify any key issues, and to initiate thinking and discussion about what further investigation and/or action might be appropriate in response to any such issues. We suggest looking at one type of primary SEN at a time (e.g. one column in the OR tables) as you consider these questions.

Guiding questions

- In the "unadjusted" OR table for your LA, which groups are over-represented (in red)? Which groups are under-represented (in blue)?
 - Make a list of the groups you see are over- or under-represented for the particular type of SEN you are looking at, so that you can jot down notes next to each group.
- Do you notice any patterns in terms of which groups are over- or under-represented?
- Thinking about your own knowledge and experience of your LA and the schools within it, do these results surprise you? Why or why not?
- Are the same groups still over/under-represented in your LA "adjusted" OR table?
 - If so, can you think of any reasons why the groups you have identified are over- or underrepresented? (Note: This means reasons beyond the background characteristics we accounted for in the "adjusted" results i.e. socioeconomic disadvantage, gender, birth season, year group.)
- Are the groups over/under-represented in the same way in the "unadjusted" and "adjusted" <u>national</u> tables?
 - If not, can you think of any reasons why your LA's results are different, based on your knowledge of your LA's particular context and of the schools within it?
- Comparing across the different types of SEN you have considered above, do you notice any patterns? (i.e. are the same groups over- or under-represented for different types of SEN?)
- What further information might help to explain why the groups you have identified are over- or under-represented? Where/how might you find this information?
- Can you think of any concrete steps that might be taken by the LA to follow up the issues you have identified?
- Can you think of any concrete steps that might be taken by schools and/or teachers to follow up the issues you have identified?

| Table 1: Enfield LA Unad | justed C | dds-Rat | ios by ty | pe of SEN | and ethnic | grou | up (Y1- | -11), 20 | 16 | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|------|-------------------|--------------------|------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|-----------------|---------------|
| Unadjusted Odds Ratios | | Cognition | n & Learnii | ng | Social, Emotional & Mental Health | & 0 | Commui & Inter | nication action | 5 | Sensory & | & Physica | 1 | - | ecified/ her | Any SEN |
| Ethnic Group | SpLD | MLD | SLD | PMLD | SEMH | s | SLCN | ASD | ні | VI | MSI | PD | Other | NSA | |
| White Irish | | 1.51 | | | 0.71 | | 1.45 | | | | | | | | 1.06 |
| Traveller Irish | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3.01 * |
| Traveller Gypsy/Roma | | 10.32 | * | | | | | | | | | | | | 2.89 * |
| White other groups | 0.45 | * 1.46 | * 0.97 | 2.08 | 0.49 * | k | 1.40 * | 0.53 * | 0.92 | 0.50 * | | 0.58 * | 1.13 | 1.29 | 0.86 * |
| Mixed White & African | | 0.77 | | | 1.18 | | 1.46 | 1.48 | | | | | | | 1.03 |
| Mixed White & Caribbean | 0.60 | * 0.82 | | | 1.63 * | k | 1.22 | 1.10 | | | | | | 3.13 * | 1.19 * |
| Mixed White & Asian | | | | | | | 0.77 | | | | | | | | 0.51 * |
| Other mixed | 0.63 | * 0.65 | * | | 0.80 | | 1.10 | 1.32 | | | | 0.90 | 0.89 | 1.11 | 0.86 * |
| Indian | 0.45 | * | | | 0.25 * | k | 0.73 | | | | | | | | 0.47 * |
| Pakistani | | 0.97 | | | | | 1.61 * | | | | | | | | 0.84 |
| Bangladeshi | 0.34 | * 0.58 | * | | 0.26 * | k | 0.95 | 0.69 | | | | | | | 0.50 * |
| Any Other Asian | | | | | 0.21 * | k | 1.04 | 1.12 | | | | | | | 0.50 * |
| Black African | 0.28 | * 1.19 | 1.39 | | 0.73 * | k | 1.57 * | 1.64 * | | | | 0.62 | 0.80 | 1.89 * | 0.98 |
| Black Caribbean | 0.69 | * 1.12 | | | 1.65 * | k | 1.27 * | 1.03 | | | | | 0.90 | 1.38 | 1.18 * |
| Black other groups | | 1.04 | | | 1.14 | | 1.82 * | 1.18 | | | | | | 2.97 * | 1.15 |
| Chinese | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.38 * |
| Any other group | 0.43 | * 1.32 | * | | 0.30 * | k | 1.03 | 0.60 * | | | | 0.87 | 0.61 | | 0.69 * |
| Unclassified/Refused | 0.51 | * 0.94 | | | 0.99 | | 1.11 | 2.12 * | | | | | | 3.45 * | 1.09 |

See notes in the Technical Appendix for details of the underlying model.

| Table 2: National Unad | justed O | dds-Ratio | os by ty | pe of SEN | and ethni | c group (N | (1-11), 2 | 016 | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|---|------------------|-----------|--------|------------|----------|--------|---------------|--------|------------|
| Unadjusted Ratios | C | ognition & | Learning | 3 | Social, Emotional & Mental Health | Commun Intera | | Se | ensory & I | Physical | | Unspec Oth | - | Any SEN |
| Ethnic Group | SpLD | MLD | SLD | PMLD | SEMH | SLCN | ASD | ні | VI | MSI | PD | Other | NSA | |
| White Irish | 1.16 * | 0.82 * | 0.91 | 1.29 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 1.04 | 1.24 | 0.77 | | 0.97 | 1.03 | 1.21 * | 0.97 |
| Traveller Irish | 2.33 * | 4.37 * | 1.84 * | * | 2.86 * | 2.79 * | 0.44 * | 1.67 * | 2.05 * | | 1.43 | 2.95 * | 5.38 * | 2.92 * |
| Traveller Gypsy/Roma | 1.58 * | 3.79 * | 2.10 * | 1.11 | 1.64 * | 2.47 * | 0.38 * | 3.13 * | 1.59 * | | 1.02 | 1.71 * | 3.40 * | 2.26 * |
| White other groups | 0.53 * | 0.78 * | 0.76 * | 1.05 | 0.57 * | 1.29 * | 0.60 * | 0.74 * | 0.61 * | 0.69 * | 0.54 * | 0.85 * | 1.16 * | 0.77 * |
| Mixed White & African | 0.74 * | 0.82 * | 1.01 | 1.34 * | 1.18 * | 1.22 * | 0.93 | 0.64 * | 0.45 * | 0.72 | 0.70 * | 0.88 * | 1.04 | 0.95 * |
| Mixed White & Caribbean | 1.03 | 1.23 * | 0.92 | 1.19 | 1.94 * | 1.21 * | 1.12 * | 0.74 * | 0.97 | 0.72 | 0.89 * | 1.15 * | 1.47 * | 1.29 * |
| Mixed White & Asian | 0.53 * | 0.68 * | 0.86 * | 1.00 | 0.72 * | 0.94 * | 0.84 * | 0.74 * | 0.69 * | 0.54 * | 0.70 * | 0.69 * | 0.80 * | 0.73 * |
| Other mixed | 0.68 * | 0.78 * | 1.12 * | 1.50 * | 1.07 * | 1.14 * | 1.06 * | 0.80 * | 0.74 * | 0.92 | 0.74 * | 0.96 | 1.04 | 0.92 * |
| Indian | 0.27 * | 0.56 * | 0.80 * | 1.01 | 0.24 * | 0.85 * | 0.46 * | 0.78 * | 0.72 * | 0.42 * | 0.56 * | 0.60 * | 0.60 * | 0.51 * |
| Pakistani | 0.38 * | 1.36 * | 1.52 * | 2.61 * | 0.50 * | 1.39 * | 0.54 * | 2.09 * | 2.13 * | 0.75 * | 1.14 * | 0.96 | 1.51 * | 0.99 * |
| Bangladeshi | 0.36 * | 0.87 * | 1.19 * | 1.93 * | 0.46 * | 1.62 * | 0.79 * | 1.45 * | 0.96 | 0.54 * | 0.63 * | 0.80 * | 1.31 * | 0.85 * |
| Any Other Asian | 0.31 * | 0.59 * | 1.10 * | 1.49 * | 0.31 * | 1.15 * | 0.62 * | 0.92 | 0.63 * | 0.36 * | 0.61 * | 0.67 * | 0.82 * | 0.62 * |
| Black African | 0.45 * | 0.84 * | 1.40 * | 1.54 * | 0.83 * | 1.66 * | 1.15 * | 0.65 * | 0.73 * | 0.59 * | 0.63 * | 0.87 * | 1.23 * | 0.95 * |
| Black Caribbean | 1.03 | 1.37 * | 1.20 * | 1.38 * | 2.29 * | 1.83 * | 1.33 * | 0.87 | 0.86 | 0.69 | 0.87 * | 1.34 * | 1.64 * | 1.53 * |
| Black other groups | 0.61 * | 1.03 | 1.63 * | 1.64 * | 1.31 * | 1.71 * | 1.34 * | 0.67 * | 0.67 * | 0.85 | 0.75 * | 1.06 | 1.40 * | 1.16 * |
| Chinese | 0.24 * | 0.30 * | 0.72 * | 0.81 | 0.20 * | 1.20 * | 0.91 | 0.82 | 0.45 * | | 0.27 * | 0.45 * | 0.62 * | 0.50 * |
| Any other group | 0.48 * | 0.91 * | 1.03 | 1.61 * | 0.61 * | 1.52 * | 0.73 * | 1.09 | 0.73 * | 0.66 * | 0.64 * | 0.95 | 1.52 * | 0.88 * |
| Unclassified/Refused | 0.94 * | 0.90 * | 1.30 * | 1.22 | 1.11 * | 1.04 | 1.24 * | 0.89 | 1.06 | 1.14 | 0.90 | 1.14 * | 1.37 * | 1.04 * |

See notes in the Technical Appendix for details of the underlying model.

| Table 3: Enfield LA Adjus | ted Oda | ls-I | Ratios b | y type | of SEN and | d ethnic gro | up (Y1- | 11 |), 2016 | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------|------|------------|-----------|------------|--|---------|-----|---------------------|------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|-----------------|---------|
| Adjusted Odds Ratios | | Co | ognition 8 | & Learnir | ng | Social, Emotional & Mental Health | | | nication raction | | Sensory & | . Physica | I | - | ecified/ her | Any SEN |
| Ethnic Group | SpLD | | MLD | SLD | PMLD | SEMH | SLCN | | ASD | ні | VI | MSI | PD | Other | NSA | |
| White Irish | | | 1.48 | | | 0.68 | 1.40 |) | | | | | | | | 1.03 |
| Traveller Irish | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | 2.02 * |
| Traveller Gypsy/Roma | | | 7.76 * | | | | | - | | | | | | | | 2.45 * |
| White other groups | 0.47 | * | 1.21 * | 0.88 | 1.62 | 0.41 * | 1.17 | 7 * | 0.56 * | 0.91 | 0.47 * | | 0.50 * | 1.10 | 1.14 | 0.76 * |
| Mixed White & African | | | 0.64 | | | 0.92 | 1.12 | 2 | 1.43 | | | | | | | 0.86 |
| Mixed White & Caribbean | 0.60 | * | 0.68 | | | 1.26 | 0.96 | 5 | 1.06 | | | | | | 2.70 * | 0.99 |
| Mixed White & Asian | | | | | | | 0.77 | 7 | | | | | | | | 0.53 * |
| Other mixed | 0.66 | * | 0.56 * | | | 0.66 * | 0.87 | 7 | 1.34 | | | | 0.76 | 0.87 | 0.96 | 0.74 * |
| Indian | 0.47 | * | | | | 0.24 * | 0.69 |) | | | | | | | | 0.47 * |
| Pakistani | | | 0.87 | | | | 1.31 | L | | | | | | | | 0.75 * |
| Bangladeshi | 0.35 | * | 0.43 * | | | 0.19 * | 0.74 | ŀ | 0.77 | | | | | | | 0.41 * |
| Any Other Asian | | | | | | 0.17 * | 0.90 |) | 1.22 | | | | | | | 0.45 * |
| Black African | 0.29 | * | 0.86 | 1.09 | | 0.50 * | 1.12 | 2 | 1.73 * | | | | 0.47 * | 0.76 | 1.53 | 0.76 * |
| Black Caribbean | 0.68 | * | 0.81 | | | 1.20 * | 1.03 | 3 | 1.09 | | | | | 0.86 | 1.13 | 0.95 |
| Black other groups | | | 0.78 | | | 0.82 | 1.33 | 3 | 1.21 | | | | | | 2.43 * | 0.91 |
| Chinese | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | 0.38 * |
| Any other group | 0.43 | * | 0.99 | | | 0.22 * | 0.83 | 3 | 0.54 * | | | | 0.71 | 0.58 | | 0.56 * |
| Unclassified/Refused | 0.52 | * | 0.81 | | | 0.83 | 0.95 | 5 | 2.11 * | | | | | | 3.28 * | 0.97 |

See notes in the Technical Appendix for control variables and technical details of the underlying model.

| Adjusted Ratios | c | ognitio | n & I | Learnin | g | Social, Emotional & Mental Health | Commi Inte | | cation ction | & | Se | ens | ory & | Physical | | Unspec Oth | - | Any SEN |
|-------------------------|--------|---------|-------|---------|--------|---|---------------|---|-----------------|---|--------|-----|--------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|--------|------------|
| Ethnic Group | SpLD | MLD | | SLD | PMLD | SEMH | SLCN | | ASD | | н | | VI | MSI | PD | Other | NSA | |
| White Irish | 1.12 * | 0.77 | * | 0.86 | 1.29 | 0.85 * | 0.94 | | 1.01 | | 1.21 | | 0.74 | | 0.95 | 0.99 | 1.18 | 0.93 * |
| Traveller Irish | 2.05 * | 2.45 | * | 1.01 | | 1.53 * | 1.47 | * | 0.31 | * | 1.29 | | 1.49 | | 0.94 | 2.02 * | 3.16 * | 1.77 * |
| Traveller Gypsy/Roma | 1.50 * | 2.70 | * | 1.61 * | 0.91 | 1.17 * | 1.78 | * | 0.33 | * | 2.76 * | : | 1.36 * | · | 0.85 | 1.41 * | 2.51 * | 1.74 * |
| White other groups | 0.56 * | 0.70 | * | 0.80 * | 1.10 | 0.53 * | 1.08 | * | 0.61 | * | 0.75 * | : | 0.61 * | 0.66 * | • 0.54 * | 0.83 * | 1.01 | 0.72 * |
| Mixed White & African | 0.73 * | 0.63 | * | 0.84 * | 1.18 | 0.92 * | 0.90 | * | 0.86 | * | 0.60 * | : | 0.41 * | 0.65 | 0.62 * | 0.77 * | 0.80 * | 0.78 * |
| Mixed White & Caribbean | 0.96 * | 0.90 |) * | 0.72 * | 1.01 | 1.38 * | 0.90 | * | 0.97 | | 0.65 * | : | 0.84 * | 0.65 * | • 0.76 * | 0.95 | 1.13 * | 1.00 |
| Mixed White & Asian | 0.54 * | 0.63 | * | 0.83 * | 0.96 | 0.67 * | 0.82 | * | 0.81 | * | 0.73 * | : | 0.68 * | 0.51 * | • 0.68 * | 0.67 * | 0.72 * | 0.68 * |
| Other mixed | 0.67 * | 0.63 | * | 1.00 | 1.38 * | 0.88 * | 0.90 | * | 0.99 | | 0.76 * | : | 0.69 * | 0.82 | 0.68 * | 0.86 * | 0.85 * | 0.79 * |
| Indian | 0.28 * | 0.54 | * | 0.87 * | 1.10 | 0.23 * | 0.80 | * | 0.47 | * | 0.79 * | : | 0.73 * | • 0.42 * | • 0.58 * | 0.59 * | 0.57 * | 0.50 * |
| Pakistani | 0.35 * | 1.00 |) | 1.30 * | 2.44 * | 0.36 * | 1.07 | * | 0.48 | * | 1.89 * | : | 1.87 * | • 0.70 * | [•] 1.02 | 0.80 * | 1.18 * | 0.79 * |
| Bangladeshi | 0.31 * | 0.52 | * | 0.91 | 1.72 * | 0.26 * | 1.09 | * | 0.65 | * | 1.21 * | : | 0.77 * | • 0.49 * | • 0.53 * | 0.59 * | 0.89 * | 0.58 * |
| Any Other Asian | 0.31 * | 0.50 | * | 1.06 | 1.48 * | 0.27 * | 0.97 | | 0.60 | * | 0.89 * | : | 0.59 * | 0.34 * | • 0.59 * | 0.61 * | 0.71 * | 0.55 * |
| Black African | 0.40 * | 0.53 | * | 1.09 * | 1.35 * | 0.52 * | 1.11 | * | 0.97 | | 0.55 * | : | 0.60 * | 0.53 * | • 0.53 * | 0.66 * | 0.85 * | 0.67 * |
| Black Caribbean | 0.89 * | 0.89 |) * | 0.92 | 1.21 | 1.43 * | 1.34 | * | 1.12 | * | 0.73 * | : | 0.70 * | 0.65 | 0.73 * | 1.01 | 1.19 * | 1.10 * |
| Black other groups | 0.55 * | 0.67 | * | 1.27 * | 1.40 * | 0.84 * | 1.16 | * | 1.13 | * | 0.58 * | : | 0.54 * | 0.76 | 0.64 * | 0.82 * | 0.98 | 0.83 * |
| Chinese | 0.25 * | 0.30 | * | 0.80 | 0.87 | 0.21 * | 1.09 | * | 0.96 | | 0.85 | | 0.47 * | • | 0.28 * | 0.46 * | 0.59 * | 0.51 * |
| Any other group | 0.44 * | 0.61 | * | 0.81 * | 1.41 * | 0.40 * | 1.06 | * | 0.61 | * | 0.95 | | 0.62 * | • 0.59 * | • 0.54 * | 0.76 * | 1.10 * | 0.65 * |
| Unclassified/Refused | 0.86 * | 0.80 |) * | 1.19 * | 1.19 | 0.95 * | 1.01 | | 1.13 | * | 0.84 * | : | 0.97 | 1.16 | 0.86 * | 1.02 | 1.30 * | 0.94 * |

See notes in the Technical Appendix for control variables and technical details of the underlying model.

| Table 5: Enfield LA Fre | quency | count |) by ty | ype o | of SEN a | and ethnic g Social, | roup (Y1 | -11), 201 | .6 | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|-------|----------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|----|---------|----------|-----|---------------|-----|---------|-------|
| | No SEN | Cog | nition | & Lea | rning | Emotional & Mental Health | Communi Intera | | Se | nsory 8 | & Physic | al | Unspec Oth | | Any SEN | Total |
| Ethnic Group | | SpLD | MLD | SLD | PMLD | SEMH | SLCN | ASD | HI | VI | MSI | PD | Other | NSA | | |
| White Irish | 296 | 9 | 13 | x | x | 12 | 15 | 3 | x | х | x | х | x | 4 | 61 | 357 |
| Traveller Irish | 24 | x | х | х | x | 4 | 6 | x | x | х | x | х | x | х | 14 | 38 |
| Traveller Gypsy/Roma | 50 | х | 15 | x | x | 5 | 7 | x | x | х | x | х | x | х | 28 | 78 |
| White other groups | 10865 | 154 | 460 | 21 | 12 | 305 | 531 | 87 | 28 | 15 | x | 36 | 99 | 65 | 1814 | 12679 |
| Mixed White & African | 492 | 3 | 11 | 3 | x | 33 | 25 | 11 | 4 | х | x | х | x | 4 | 98 | 590 |
| Mixed White & Caribbean | 962 | 18 | 23 | x | x | 89 | 41 | 16 | x | х | x | 8 | 7 | 14 | 222 | 1184 |
| Mixed White & Asian | 558 | 8 | 7 | x | x | 7 | 15 | 8 | x | х | x | х | 3 | 3 | 55 | 613 |
| Other mixed | 1945 | 38 | 37 | 3 | x | 88 | 75 | 39 | 3 | 3 | x | 10 | 14 | 10 | 323 | 2268 |
| Indian | 785 | 11 | 7 | x | x | 11 | 20 | 5 | 5 | х | x | 4 | 6 | x | 72 | 857 |
| Pakistani | 392 | 8 | 11 | x | x | 8 | 22 | x | x | x | x | 4 | 5 | x | 64 | 456 |
| Bangladeshi | 1238 | 13 | 21 | x | x | 18 | 41 | 13 | x | 5 | x | х | 4 | х | 121 | 1359 |
| Any Other Asian | 940 | 5 | 7 | 3 | x | 11 | 34 | 16 | x | х | x | 4 | x | 5 | 91 | 1031 |
| Black African | 6485 | 56 | 224 | 18 | 7 | 269 | 355 | 161 | 8 | 6 | x | 23 | 42 | 57 | 1226 | 7711 |
| Black Caribbean | 2184 | 47 | 71 | 7 | x | 205 | 97 | 34 | 3 | x | x | 4 | 16 | 14 | 501 | 2685 |
| Black other groups | 725 | 9 | 22 | 4 | x | 47 | 46 | 13 | x | x | x | 5 | 6 | 10 | 162 | 887 |
| Chinese | 204 | x | х | x | x | 3 | 3 | 3 | x | х | x | х | x | х | 15 | 219 |
| Any other group | 2214 | 30 | 85 | 5 | x | 38 | 80 | 20 | 3 | 6 | x | 11 | 11 | 7 | 297 | 2511 |
| Unclassified/Refused | 874 | 14 | 24 | x | x | 49 | 34 | 28 | x | x | x | 6 | 9 | 14 | 184 | 1058 |
| White British | 7533 | 235 | 219 | 15 | 4 | 428 | 263 | 114 | 21 | 21 | x | 43 | 61 | 35 | 1459 | 8992 |
| Total | 38766 | 660 | 1261 | 88 | 36 | 1630 | 1710 | 572 | 86 | 61 | 4 | 162 | 289 | 248 | 6807 | 45573 |

Note: Some numbers are slightly lower for calculations and reporting of adjusted ORs, as a very small number of pupil records were missing IDACI scores; see details in the Technical Appendix.

"x" in this table means the figure has been suppressed due to small numbers (See the NPD User Guide, 2018, p36).

| Table 6: National Frequency | y (count) b | y type | of SEN | and e | thnic g | group (Yi | 1-11), 20 | 16 | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|--------|----------|--------|---------|--|-------------------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------------|-------|---------|---------|
| | No SEN | Cog | nition & | Learni | ng | Social, Emotional & Mental Health | Communi Intera | | Ser | isory & | Physi | cal | Unspec Oth | - | Any SEN | Total |
| Ethnic Group | | SpLD | MLD | SLD | PMLD | SEMH | SLCN | ASD | н | VI | MSI | PD | Other | NSA | | |
| White Irish | 16086 | 568 | 640 | 63 | 26 | 528 | 451 | 280 | 65 | 23 | 9 | 87 | 158 | 113 | 3011 | 19097 |
| Traveller Irish | 3136 | 222 | 669 | 25 | 8 | 320 | 257 | 23 | 17 | 12 | x | 25 | 88 | 98 | 1766 | 4902 |
| Traveller Gypsy/Roma | 15197 | 733 | 2808 | 138 | 21 | 889 | 1103 | 98 | 155 | 45 | х | 86 | 247 | 300 | 6625 | 21822 |
| White other groups | 320500 | 5210 | 12142 | 1054 | 422 | 6472 | 12130 | 3211 | 776 | 367 | 88 | 956 | 2593 | 2149 | 47570 | 368070 |
| Mixed White & African | 38138 | 855 | 1523 | 166 | 64 | 1611 | 1370 | 598 | 80 | 32 | 11 | 149 | 321 | 230 | 7010 | 45148 |
| Mixed White & Caribbean | 77058 | 2411 | 4634 | 307 | 115 | 5326 | 2736 | 1445 | 185 | 140 | 22 | 381 | 843 | 658 | 19203 | 96261 |
| Mixed White & Asian | 69336 | 1110 | 2315 | 259 | 87 | 1773 | 1909 | 971 | 166 | 89 | 15 | 270 | 457 | 321 | 9742 | 79078 |
| Any other mixed background | 104261 | 2145 | 3967 | 505 | 196 | 3979 | 3493 | 1847 | 272 | 144 | 38 | 431 | 949 | 627 | 18593 | 122854 |
| Indian | 163363 | 1367 | 4468 | 564 | 207 | 1373 | 4088 | 1256 | 414 | 219 | 27 | 508 | 930 | 573 | 15994 | 179357 |
| Pakistani | 231457 | 2668 | 15409 | 1520 | 756 | 4117 | 9413 | 2101 | 1576 | 919 | 69 | 1462 | 2120 | 2029 | 44159 | 275616 |
| Bangladeshi | 93392 | 1022 | 3945 | 482 | 225 | 1527 | 4438 | 1244 | 440 | 168 | 20 | 325 | 711 | 708 | 15255 | 108647 |
| Any other Asian | 98770 | 946 | 2826 | 469 | 184 | 1100 | 3343 | 1035 | 297 | 116 | 14 | 335 | 631 | 471 | 11767 | 110537 |
| Black African | 199483 | 2709 | 8208 | 1210 | 385 | 5941 | 9719 | 3854 | 423 | 272 | 47 | 698 | 1649 | 1424 | 36539 | 236022 |
| Black Caribbean | 61919 | 1937 | 4150 | 321 | 107 | 5066 | 3316 | 1385 | 176 | 100 | 17 | 299 | 789 | 588 | 18251 | 80170 |
| Black other groups | 38486 | 713 | 1937 | 271 | 79 | 1799 | 1926 | 867 | 84 | 48 | 13 | 161 | 387 | 312 | 8597 | 47083 |
| Chinese | 23738 | 170 | 352 | 74 | 24 | 170 | 837 | 361 | 63 | 20 | 6 | 35 | 102 | 85 | 2299 | 26037 |
| Any other ethnic group | 95092 | 1393 | 4208 | 425 | 191 | 2076 | 4237 | 1161 | 336 | 129 | 25 | 338 | 862 | 837 | 16218 | 111310 |
| Unknown | 50534 | 1442 | 2226 | 284 | 77 | 2007 | 1549 | 1052 | 147 | 100 | 23 | 252 | 549 | 402 | 10110 | 60644 |
| White British | 3782520 | 115151 | 184642 | 16352 | 4730 | 134939 | 110969 | 63436 | 12312 | 7066 | 1506 | 21026 | 36015 | 21956 | 730100 | 4512620 |
| Total | 5482466 | 142772 | 261069 | 24489 | 7904 | 181013 | 177284 | 86225 | 17984 | 10009 | 1954 | 27824 | 50401 | 33881 | 1022809 | 6505275 |

Note: Some numbers are slightly lower for calculations and reporting of adjusted ORs, as a very small number of pupil records were missing IDACI scores; see details in the Technical Appendix.

"x" in this table means the figure has been suppressed due to small numbers (See the NPD User Guide, 2018, p36).

How to use the all LAs tables

On the following pages, we provide some key descriptive information on SEN identification incidence (i.e. percent of pupils identified) and *Risk Ratios* (RR) across all LAs, along with the national equivalent statistics for reference.

An RR is a comparison of the probability of being identified for a pupil in an ethnic minority group, to the probability of being identified for a pupil in the ethnic majority. Unlike the ORs reported above, RRs do not come from an involved statistical procedure - they are calculated simply from the percent of pupils identified in a given minority group, divided by the percent of pupils identified in the White British group. However, interpreting RRs is similar to interpreting ORs: An RR greater than 1 means a pupil in the particular ethnic minority group is *more* likely to be identified than a White British pupil, and an RR less than 1 means a pupil in the particular ethnic minority on average. We can also apply the same thresholds we outlined earlier to decide what is a substantial RR.

For context, we report overall number of pupils, the percent eligible for FSM, and the SEN incidence for all pupils in each LA. We then report this information separately for White British pupils and for the focal ethnic minority group (see below for details), along with the percent of pupils belonging to both ethnic groups within each LA, and ending with the Risk Ratio comparing the incidence for the ethnic majority group against the incidence for White British pupils.

Explanation of combined ethnic group categories

For the All-LA incidence and Risk Ratio (RR) tables, we combined some ethnic group categories to make the presentation clearer.

For the overall "Any SEN" table, we use the major ethnic group categories defined by the DfE in the Annual School Census: White, Asian, Black, and Mixed.

For specific types of SEN, we used combined ethnic groups according to the patterns found in the national results (given in the summary at the beginning of this document), so:

- <u>For MLD</u>: As most Asian groups were under-represented (Indian, Bangladeshi, Other Asian, Mixed White & Asian, Chinese), we report combined results for all Asian groups, except Pakistani pupils
- <u>For SEMH</u>: As Black Caribbean and Mixed White & Black Caribbean groups were overrepresented, we report combined results for these groups together
- <u>For ASD</u>: As Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi and Other Asian groups were under-represented, we report combined results for these four groups together.

In reporting results for combined groups, we are not making any statements regarding shared identity across specific ethnic minority groups; rather, we are combining groups according to empirically similar patterns of disproportionality and only to report large amounts of information in a readable format.

All-LA 'Any SEN' Table

The following pages report results across all LAs (with equivalent national statistics for comparison) for any SEN identification regardless of specific type of need, broken down by combined ethnic groups as previously described.

What this table tells you:

- 1) The first set of columns report the overall number of pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of pupils entitled to FSM in each LA overall, and the total incidence of any SEN in each LA.
- 2) The second set of columns reports the number of White British pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of pupils in the LA that this group constitutes, the percentage of White British pupils entitled to FSM, and the incidence of any identified SEN amongst White British pupils regardless of type of need.
- 3) The subsequent sets of columns report for Asian, Black and Mixed groups: the number of pupils in each LA belonging to the broad ethnic group category, the percentage of pupils in the LA that the particular broad ethnic group constitutes, the percentage of pupils in that particular group entitled to FSM, the incidence of any identified SEN regardless of type of need within the ethnic group, and the Risk Ratio (relative to White British pupils).

This facilitates comparisons across LAs for these ethnic group categories, and comparisons between LAs and the national equivalent statistics.

As a quick-start guide for looking at the data for specific LAs in this table, the distribution of risk ratios across all LAs is as follows:

| | Asian | Black | Mixed |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Total LAs | 152 | 152 | 152 |
| LAs with N<10 in ethnic group with any SEN | 5 | 17 | 2 |
| LAs with Reported Risk Ratios* | 147 | 135 | 150 |
| LAs with RR <= 0.75 | 97 | 27 | 8 |
| LAs without apparent disproportionality | 50 | 108 | 142 |
| LAs with RR >= 1.33 | 0 | 8 | 0 |

*Recall that Risk Ratios are only reported when the number of pupils in an ethnic group with SEN identification is 10 or more.

From this, it is apparent that there is relatively little variation across LAs, for the most part, but a bit more for the Asian group, with about two-thirds of LAs showing under-representation and about one-third showing no disproportionality in terms of the RRs for the Asian group.

When looking at the table, you may want to consider: Does the RR for your LA suggest overrepresentation (RR>=1.33), no disproportionality, or under-representation (RR<0.75) for these groups? How does this compare to the above distribution over all LAs?

Table 7: Any SEN (including all types of primary need, all levels of need) All-LA descriptive statistics and Risk Ratios (RR), Y1-11 (ages 5-16), 2016

| | Overall | -71 | · · | White | , - | | | Asian | | 1 | | | Black | | ,, | (10) | | , 2010 Mixed | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|
| | | | | | | | SEN | | | | SEN | | | | | SEN | | | | | SEN | i i |
| | | | SEN total | | % of LA pupils in | Ir % FSM | cidence (% within | N (pupils in | % of LA pupils in | ا ۶۶M % FSM | ncidence (% within | | N | % of LA | % FSM | Incidence (% within | | N | % of LA pupils in | ا ۶۶M K | ncidence (% within | |
| | | | Incidence | (pupils in | ethnic | (within | ethnic | ethnic | ethnic | (within | ethnic | | (pupils in ethnic | pupils in ethnic | (within | (% within ethnic | | (pupils in ethnic | ethnic | (within | ethnic | |
| | N (pupils) F | Percent FSM | (%) | ethnic group) | group | group) | group) | group) | group | group) | group) | Risk Ratio | group) | group | group) | group) | Risk Ratio | group) | group | group) | group) | Risk Ratio |
| Local Authority | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | |
| Barking and Dagenham | 33132 | 20.0% | 15.6% | 13521 | 40.8% | 23.3% | 19.1% | 6462 | 19.5% | 11.6% | 9.7% | 0.51 | 9680 | 29.2% | 19.2% | 14.7% | 0.77 | 2573 | 7.8% | 27.4% | 16.8% | 0.88 |
| Barnet | 43852 | 16.0% | 15.1% | 22595 | 51.5% | 11.9% | 15.2% | 5401 | 12.3% | 11.3% | 10.0% | 0.65 | 5440 | 12.4% | 28.2% | 18.6% | 1.22 | 4522 | 10.3% | 18.5% | 16.1% | 1.06 |
| Barnsley | 27405 | 20.6% | 16.0% | 26288 | 95.9% | 20.4% | 16.2% | 127 | 0.5% | 33.1% | | | 190 | 0.7% | 22.6% | 12.6% | 0.78 | 566 | 2.1% | 23.3% | 12.9% | 0.80 |
| Bath and North East Somerset | 21450 | 8.7% | 14.9% | 19408 | 90.5% | 8.3% | 15.0% | 333 | 1.6% | 4.2% | 9.0% | 0.60 | 198 | 0.9% | 19.2% | 15.7% | 1.04 | 956 | 4.5% | 14.7% | 16.4% | 1.09 |
| Bedford | 22091 | 12.1% | 15.2% | 15041 | 68.1% | 9.6% | 15.9% | 3363 | 15.2% | 15.2% | 11.4% | 0.72 | 1191 | 5.4% | 16.9% | 14.3% | 0.90 | 2061 | 9.3% | 22.6% | 17.6% | 1.11 |
| Bexley | 35179 | 12.0% | 15.4% | 22972 | 65.3% | 12.7% | 17.6% | 2377 | 6.8% | 6.7% | 7.5% | 0.43 | 6507 | 18.5% | 10.1% | 11.5% | 0.65 | 2300 | 6.5% | 16.6% | 15.7% | 0.90 |
| Birmingham | 158994 | 28.3% | 18.8% | 56749 | 35.7% | 24.7% | 21.4% | 59523 | 37.4% | 26.1% | 16.7% | 0.78 | 19948 | 12.5% | 36.8% | 18.0% | 0.84 | 12434 | 7.8% | 37.7% | 19.9% | 0.93 |
| Blackburn with Darwen | 22285 | 16.7% | 19.8% | 11344 | 50.9% | 18.4% | 21.5% | 9733 | 43.7% | 13.5% | 18.2% | 0.85 | 203 | 0.9% | 34.5% | 20.2% | 0.94 | 700 | 3.1% | 23.1% | 16.9% | 0.78 |
| Blackpool | 16560 | 25.6% | 17.4% | 15599 | 94.2% | 26.0% | 17.6% | 300 | 1.8% | 11.3% | 11.0% | 0.63 | 48 | 0.3% | 18.8% | | | 457 | 2.8% | 27.6% | 15.5% | 0.88 |
| Bolton | 39894 | 17.6% | 15.6% | 27069 | 67.9% | 16.6% | 17.1% | 8609 | 21.6% | 13.5% | 11.2% | 0.66 | 1888 | 4.7% | 41.2% | 15.6% | 0.91 | 1454 | 3.6% | 24.0% | 14.0% | 0.82 |
| Bournemouth | 18818 | 13.1% | 14.9% | 16306 | 86.7% | 13.0% | 15.7% | 561 | 3.0% | 5.7% | 8.0% | 0.51 | 169 | 0.9% | 13.6% | 6.5% | 0.41 | 1168 | 6.2% | 17.5% | 11.6% | 0.74 |
| Bracknell Forest | 14242 | 8.6% | 15.0% | 12127 | 85.1% | 9.0% | 15.7% | 801 | 5.6% | 2.6% | 8.7% | 0.56 | 387 | 2.7% | 9.0% | 13.2% | 0.84 | 747 | 5.2% | 9.5% | 11.6% | 0.74 |
| Bradford | 78243 | 19.3% | 18.4% | 38545 | 49.3% | 17.8% | 18.8% | 33325 | 42.6% | 19.4% | 17.8% | 0.95 | 1122 | 1.4% | 28.0% | 17.7% | 0.94 | 3735 | 4.8% | 30.8% | 19.9% | 1.06 |
| Brent | 38225 | 14.0% | 14.5% | 8999 | 23.5% | 10.1% | 15.0% | 11222 | 29.4% | 6.8% | 10.1% | 0.55 | 9300 | 24.3% | 23.6% | 19.5% | 1.30 | 2675 | 7.0% | 20.8% | 17.6% | 1.00 |
| Brighton and Hove | 27601 | 14.1% | 20.4% | 22792 | 82.6% | 13.7% | 20.7% | 961 | 3.5% | 12.1% | 17.8% | 0.86 | 648 | 2.3% | 21.9% | 21.0% | 1.01 | 2521 | 9.1% | 16.3% | 19.4% | 0.94 |
| Bristol, City of | 46034 | 21.1% | 16.4% | 32815 | 71.3% | 18.6% | 16.6% | 3524 | 7.7% | 16.6% | 13.5% | 0.81 | 4893 | 10.6% | 34.5% | 17.5% | 1.01 | 3799 | 8.3% | 29.9% | 17.0% | 1.02 |
| Bromley | 39314 | 10.1% | 14.8% | 28159 | 71.6% | 9.3% | 15.3% | 2055 | 5.2% | 4.1% | 8.6% | 0.56 | 3674 | 9.3% | 16.2% | 15.7% | 1.03 | 3860 | 9.8% | 14.1% | 14.1% | 0.92 |
| Buckinghamshire | 65865 | 6.6% | 12.2% | 47961 | 72.8% | 5.6% | 12.2% | 10544 | 16.0% | 8.6% | 12.0% | 0.99 | 1705 | 2.6% | 9.7% | 13.2% | 1.03 | 4376 | 6.6% | 11.4% | 13.0% | 1.07 |
| Bury | 24889 | 15.2% | 17.0% | 19388 | 72.8% | 13.6% | 17.2% | 3388 | 13.6% | 16.5% | 15.3% | 0.99 | 509 | 2.0% | 37.9% | 19.6% | 1.08 | 1097 | 4.4% | 24.2% | 13.0% | 1.07 |
| Calderdale | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cambridgeshire | 29233 | 14.4% | 16.5% | 22992 | 78.7% | 12.9% | 16.7% | 4649 | 15.9% | 19.1% | 16.4% | 0.99 | 246 | 0.8% | 27.6% | 17.1% | 1.02 | 1101 | 3.8% | 22.9% | 15.8% | 0.95 |
| Camden | 70514 | 9.8% | 15.0% | 61977 | 87.9% | 9.8% | 15.3% | 2574 | 3.7% | 5.7% | 11.5% | 0.75 | 771 | 1.1% | 11.0% | 17.3% | 1.12 | 3352 | 4.8% | 12.8% | 12.4% | 0.81 |
| Central Bedfordshire | 17336 | 27.8% | 17.6% | 6827 | 39.4% | 22.6% | 17.1% | 3395 | 19.6% | 28.3% | 18.2% | 1.06 | 3616 | 20.9% | 36.9% | 18.6% | 1.09 | 2015 | 11.6% | 29.6% | 18.8% | 1.10 |
| Cheshire East | 33583 | 9.4% | 15.6% | 29811 | 88.8% | 9.0% | 15.6% | 717 | 2.1% | 6.6% | 10.0% | 0.64 | 806 | 2.4% | 15.5% | 15.4% | 0.98 | 1803 | 5.4% | 13.4% | 17.2% | 1.10 |
| | 42748 | 8.4% | 10.0% | 39817 | 93.1% | 8.2% | 10.1% | 741 | 1.7% | 6.2% | 7.4% | 0.74 | 134 | 0.3% | 12.7% | 11.9% | 1.19 | 1375 | 3.2% | 14.4% | 10.0% | 1.00 |
| Cheshire West and Chester | 39766 | 11.3% | 15.3% | 37770 | 95.0% | 11.3% | 15.4% | 522 | 1.3% | 5.9% | 10.9% | 0.71 | 128 | 0.3% | 11.7% | 18.0% | 1.16 | 901 | 2.3% | 12.5% | 10.4% | 0.68 |
| City of London | 176 | 24.4% | 20.5% | 67 | 38.1% | 20.9% | 22.4% | 58 | 33.0% | 22.4% | 17.2% | 0.77 | 14 | 8.0% | 42.9% | | | 24 | 13.6% | 20.8% | | |
| Cornwall | 60692 | 11.9% | 14.6% | 58146 | 95.8% | 11.9% | 14.6% | 223 | 0.4% | 4.9% | 9.4% | 0.64 | 59 | 0.1% | 8.5% | | | 1322 | 2.2% | 14.6% | 12.4% | 0.85 |
| Coventry | 43435 | 19.4% | 18.1% | 26620 | 61.3% | 18.3% | 20.5% | 8391 | 19.3% | 14.9% | 12.3% | 0.60 | 4663 | 10.7% | 28.1% | 15.0% | 0.73 | 2705 | 6.2% | 28.2% | 20.1% | 0.98 |
| Croydon | 45929 | 20.4% | 16.1% | 17432 | 38.0% | 18.1% | 17.7% | 6881 | 15.0% | 11.5% | 10.0% | 0.56 | 13533 | 29.5% | 25.7% | 17.3% | 0.97 | 6295 | 13.7% | 25.6% | 16.7% | 0.94 |
| Cumbria | 56065 | 10.2% | 16.2% | 54087 | 96.5% | 10.3% | 16.3% | 387 | 0.7% | 6.7% | 13.4% | 0.82 | 87 | 0.2% | 3.4% | 13.8% | 0.84 | 758 | 1.4% | 9.9% | 12.0% | 0.73 |
| Darlington | 13572 | 17.5% | 16.1% | 12549 | 92.5% | 17.7% | 16.5% | 336 | 2.5% | 14.0% | 9.8% | 0.60 | 52 | 0.4% | 17.3% | | | 265 | 2.0% | 19.6% | 14.3% | 0.87 |
| Derby | 34155 | 17.9% | 18.1% | 23557 | 69.0% | 17.2% | 19.5% | 5995 | 17.6% | 15.9% | 13.3% | 0.68 | 1192 | 3.5% | 22.7% | 15.1% | 0.77 | 2373 | 6.9% | 26.6% | 18.3% | 0.94 |
| Derbyshire | 87889 | 13.2% | 15.4% | 83572 | 95.1% | 13.1% | 15.5% | 915 | 1.0% | 6.2% | 10.2% | 0.66 | 257 | 0.3% | 13.2% | 12.5% | 0.80 | 2205 | 2.5% | 17.4% | 14.8% | 0.95 |
| Devon | 81099 | 13.3% | 18.3% | 77183 | 95.2% | 13.3% | 18.5% | 476 | 0.6% | 5.3% | 8.0% | 0.43 | 134 | 0.2% | 17.9% | 20.1% | 1.09 | 1652 | 2.0% | 16.7% | 16.6% | 0.90 |
| Doncaster | 37669 | 17.6% | 13.2% | 34537 | 91.7% | 17.6% | 13.4% | 1073 | 2.8% | 13.5% | 8.4% | 0.63 | 420 | 1.1% | 21.2% | 14.0% | 1.05 | 971 | 2.6% | 20.7% | 12.2% | 0.91 |
| Dorset | 45771 | 13.0% | 17.4% | 43368 | 94.7% | 12.9% | 17.5% | 391 | 0.9% | 6.1% | 9.7% | 0.56 | 90 | 0.2% | 23.3% | 13.3% | 0.76 | 1164 | 2.5% | 16.8% | 15.9% | 0.91 |
| Dudley | 40055 | 16.9% | 17.8% | 31640 | 79.0% | 15.2% | 17.9% | 3984 | 9.9% | 15.9% | 16.6% | 0.93 | 975 | 2.4% | 25.9% | 18.6% | 1.04 | 2370 | 5.9% | 28.8% | 16.8% | 0.94 |
| Durham | 57713 | 19.9% | 15.6% | 56063 | 97.1% | 20.1% | 15.7% | 392 | 0.7% | 8.7% | 9.2% | 0.58 | 104 | 0.2% | 15.4% | 15.4% | 0.98 | 712 | 1.2% | 19.7% | 16.2% | 1.03 |
| Ealing | 40831 | 16.1% | 15.9% | 12453 | 30.5% | 9.8% | 15.0% | 11562 | 28.3% | 11.9% | 13.2% | 0.88 | 7008 | 17.2% | 29.0% | 21.3% | 1.43 | 3500 | 8.6% | 18.5% | 18.1% | 1.21 |
| East Riding of Yorkshire | 37908 | 11.9% | 13.8% | 36457 | 96.2% | 11.9% | 13.9% | 164 | 0.4% | 2.4% | 11.0% | 0.79 | 47 | 0.1% | 6.4% | | | 757 | 2.0% | 14.9% | 11.8% | 0.85 |
| East Sussex | 56896 | 13.2% | 13.4% | 51775 | 91.0% | 13.0% | 13.6% | 1054 | 1.9% | 7.3% | 8.6% | 0.64 | 368 | 0.6% | 19.0% | 14.4% | 1.06 | 2509 | 4.4% | 17.7% | 12.9% | 0.95 |
| Enfield | 45573 | 18.8% | 14.9% | 22144 | 48.6% | 16.4% | 15.2% | 3703 | 8.1% | 12.6% | 9.4% | 0.62 | 11283 | 24.8% | 23.9% | 16.7% | 1.10 | 4655 | 10.2% | 21.1% | 15.0% | 0.98 |
| Essex | 171466 | 11.1% | 14.2% | 151180 | 88.2% | 11.0% | 14.6% | 4053 | 2.4% | 4.9% | 7.1% | 0.48 | 4544 | 2.7% | 12.1% | 10.6% | 0.72 | 7523 | 4.4% | 15.4% | 12.6% | 0.86 |
| Gateshead | 22613 | 17.3% | 16.8% | 20990 | 92.8% | 17.0% | 17.1% | 472 | 2.1% | 15.5% | 10.4% | 0.61 | 269 | 1.2% | 35.3% | 15.2% | 0.89 | 359 | 1.6% | 19.2% | 17.0% | 0.99 |
| Gloucestershire | 71237 | 10.2% | 16.1% | 64222 | 90.2% | 9.9% | 16.2% | 1942 | 2.7% | 6.1% | 9.4% | 0.58 | 942 | 1.3% | 15.7% | 19.1% | 1.18 | 3023 | 4.2% | 16.7% | 15.4% | 0.95 |
| Greenwich | 31881 | 19.6% | 17.5% | 14299 | 44.9% | 21.0% | 20.8% | 2604 | 8.2% | 12.0% | 11.9% | 0.57 | 10358 | 32.5% | 17.9% | 15.1% | 0.72 | 3212 | 10.1% | 24.2% | 17.7% | |
| Hackney | 27041 | 33.0% | 21.0% | 9237 | 34.2% | 24.9% | 19.3% | 3256 | 12.0% | 30.7% | 17.8% | 0.92 | 9852 | 36.4% | 40.2% | 23.8% | 1.24 | 2651 | 9.8% | 36.6% | 21.9% | |
| Halton | 16300 | 28.5% | 16.8% | 15660 | 96.1% | 28.5% | 16.9% | 45 | 0.3% | 6.7% | | | 16 | 0.1% | 37.5% | | | 383 | 2.3% | 31.6% | 12.8% | 0.76 |
| Hammersmith and Fulham | 15307 | 22.6% | 18.9% | 6557 | 42.8% | 14.6% | 16.3% | 1123 | 7.3% | 18.3% | 16.4% | 1.01 | 3863 | 25.2% | 31.9% | 22.1% | 1.36 | 1836 | 12.0% | 26.7% | 20.9% | |
| Hampshire | 153538 | 8.9% | 14.3% | 139988 | 91.2% | 8.9% | 14.6% | 4319 | 2.8% | 4.4% | 8.7% | 0.60 | 1592 | 1.0% | 8.6% | 12.1% | 0.83 | 5064 | 3.3% | 11.9% | 13.0% | |
| Haringey | 30434 | 22.0% | 14.3% | 14478 | 47.6% | 15.1% | 16.5% | 1828 | 6.0% | 21.4% | 15.2% | 0.00 | 8047 | 26.4% | 33.7% | 22.9% | 1.39 | 3331 | 10.9% | 21.6% | 18.3% | |
| | 30434 | 22.0% | 10.4% | 14478 | 47.0% | 13.1% | 10.5% | 1979 | 0.0% | 21.4% | 13.2% | 0.92 | 8047 | 20.4% | 55.7% | 22.9% | 1.39 | 3331 | 10.9% | 21.0% | 10.3% | 1.11 |

| Table 7: Any SEN (includir | g all types of primary ne | eed, all levels of need) Al | II-LA descriptive statistics and R | isk Ratios (RR), Y1-11 (ages 5-16), 2016 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | |

| | Overall | | | White | ccu, an | | | Asian | - uesci | | | | Black | .103 (111 | <i>// · = =</i> | - (-8- | | , 2010 Mixed | | | ! | |
|-----------------------------|------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| | | | | | | | SEN | | | | SEN | | 21001 | | | SEN | | | | | SEN | |
| | | | SEN total | N | % of LA pupils in | In % FSM | cidence (% within | N | % of LA nunils in | lı % FSM | ncidence (% within | | N | % of LA pupils in | % FSM | Incidence (% within | | N | % of LA | l. % FSM | ncidence (% within | |
| | | | Incidence | (pupils in | ethnic | (within | ethnic | (pupils in ethnic | ethnic | (within | ethnic | | (pupils in ethnic | ethnic | (within | ethnic | | (pupils in ethnic | pupils in ethnic | (within | ethnic | |
| | N (pupils) | Percent FSM | (%) | ethnic group) | group | group) | group) | group) | group | group) | group) | Risk Ratio | group) | group | group) | group) | Risk Ratio | group) | group | group) | group) | Risk Ratio |
| Local Authority | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ļ | |
| Harrow | 28671 | 11.0% | 13.2% | 7973 | 27.8% | 9.1% | 15.2% | 13191 | 46.0% | 7.8% | 9.9% | 0.66 | 3388 | 11.8% | 21.0% | 19.7% | 1.30 | 2382 | 8.3% | 15.5% | 15.4% | 1.02 |
| Hartlepool | 12113 | 23.9% | 15.3% | 11575 | 95.6% | 23.9% | 15.5% | 274 | 2.3% | 17.2% | 8.4% | 0.54 | 49 | 0.4% | 32.7% | | | 133 | 1.1% | 29.3% | 15.0% | 0.97 |
| Havering | 32773 | 12.3% | 9.8% | 24248 | 74.0% | 12.3% | 10.3% | 1830 | 5.6% | 7.8% | 6.0% | 0.58 | 3782 | 11.5% | 11.9% | 8.3% | 0.81 | 1989 | 6.1% | 18.1% | 10.3% | 1.00 |
| Herefordshire | 19958 | 9.1% | 19.0% | 19203 | 96.2% | 9.1% | 19.2% | 92 | 0.5% | 0.0% | | | 39 | 0.2% | 5.1% | | | 475 | 2.4% | 12.4% | 14.3% | 0.74 |
| Hertfordshire | 148782 | 8.7% | 14.9% | 118524 | 79.7% | 8.6% | 15.5% | 11189 | 7.5% | 6.1% | 10.5% | 0.68 | 5908 | 4.0% | 9.7% | 13.3% | 0.86 | 9957 | 6.7% | 12.5% | 14.6% | 0.94 |
| Hillingdon | 39407 | 15.5% | 15.6% | 17448 | 44.3% | 15.6% | 18.5% | 9910 | 25.1% | 9.1% | 11.5% | 0.62 | 4267 | 10.8% | 26.9% | 15.5% | 0.84 | 4414 | 11.2% | 17.1% | 14.9% | 0.81 |
| Hounslow | 32490 | 15.6% | 19.3% | 11620 | 35.8% | 15.4% | 22.8% | 10026 | 30.9% | 9.2% | 14.0% | 0.61 | 4105 | 12.6% | 26.1% | 24.4% | 1.07 | 2762 | 8.5% | 19.3% | 20.5% | 0.90 |
| Isle of Wight | 14227 | 13.9% | 18.4% | 13576 | 95.4% | 13.9% | 18.5% | 111 | 0.8% | 6.3% | 13.5% | 0.73 | 25 | 0.2% | 16.0% | | | 378 | 2.7% | 17.2% | 15.3% | 0.83 |
| Isles of Scilly | 235 | 2.6% | 15.3% | 221 | 94.0% | 2.7% | 16.3% | х | | 0.0% | | | х | | 0.0% | | | 7 | 3.0% | 0.0% | i | |
| Islington | 19300 | 31.9% | 20.3% | 8313 | 43.1% | 28.7% | 20.3% | 1717 | 8.9% | 31.2% | 17.4% | 0.85 | 4829 | 25.0% | 35.7% | 22.7% | 1.11 | 2821 | 14.6% | 36.4% | 19.0% | 0.94 |
| Kensington and Chelsea | 10017 | 21.6% | 14.6% | 3953 | 39.5% | 14.0% | 14.1% | 374 | 3.7% | 19.8% | 15.0% | 1.06 | 1832 | 18.3% | 31.2% | 17.3% | 1.23 | 1758 | 17.6% | 24.9% | 14.8% | 1.05 |
| Kent | 183547 | 12.1% | 13.5% | 161014 | 87.7% | 12.6% | 14.1% | 6594 | 3.6% | 4.2% | 6.3% | 0.45 | 4151 | 2.3% | 8.3% | 9.1% | 0.65 | 8510 | 4.6% | 12.1% | 11.0% | 0.79 |
| Kingston upon Hull, City of | 31432 | 25.1% | 19.1% | 28418 | 90.4% | 24.8% | 19.5% | 478 | 1.5% | 16.7% | 9.6% | 0.49 | 490 | 1.6% | 35.7% | 15.9% | 0.82 | 1009 | 3.2% | 27.2% | 15.5% | 0.79 |
| Kingston upon Thames | 19072 | 9.0% | 12.0% | 11408 | 59.8% | 7.7% | 13.4% | 3614 | 18.9% | 7.6% | 8.4% | 0.63 | 638 | 3.3% | 21.6% | 15.7% | 1.17 | 1891 | 9.9% | 13.2% | 12.3% | 0.92 |
| Kirklees | 55687 | 20.6% | 12.5% | 35489 | 63.7% | 18.3% | 12.7% | 14803 | 26.6% | 21.0% | 11.5% | 0.90 | 1088 | 2.0% | 36.2% | 15.2% | 1.20 | 3390 | 6.1% | 36.1% | 14.5% | 1.14 |
| Knowsley | 16164 | 32.5% | 25.4% | 15371 | 95.1% | 32.9% | 25.6% | 223 | 1.4% | 8.1% | 9.9% | 0.38 | 97 | 0.6% | 25.8% | 23.7% | 0.93 | 320 | 2.0% | 36.9% | 26.3% | 1.02 |
| Lambeth | 29364 | 26.3% | 20.4% | 8779 | 29.9% | 16.0% | 18.3% | 1342 | 4.6% | 22.7% | 13.5% | 0.74 | 13204 | 45.0% | 32.7% | 23.0% | 1.26 | 3890 | 13.2% | 30.0% | 20.2% | 1.10 |
| Lancashire | 144891 | 14.1% | 12.6% | 122226 | 84.4% | 13.9% | 13.0% | 15773 | 10.9% | 13.9% | 10.5% | 0.81 | 467 | 0.3% | 12.0% | 11.8% | 0.91 | 4111 | 2.8% | 21.5% | 11.8% | 0.91 |
| Leeds | 93823 | 17.5% | 15.0% | 68504 | 73.0% | 16.2% | 15.1% | 11152 | 11.9% | 16.1% | 13.1% | 0.87 | 6004 | 6.4% | 25.5% | 16.9% | 1.12 | 5419 | 5.8% | 26.9% | 17.3% | 1.14 |
| Leicester | 44625 | 19.1% | 16.9% | 16336 | 36.6% | 25.7% | 24.0% | 19234 | 43.1% | 10.7% | 11.1% | 0.46 | 4649 | 10.4% | 24.0% | 15.6% | 0.65 | 3040 | 6.8% | 29.0% | 19.4% | 0.81 |
| Leicestershire | 80348 | 8.2% | 13.2% | 69089 | 86.0% | 8.3% | 13.9% | 5978 | 7.4% | 4.9% | 6.2% | 0.44 | 735 | 0.9% | 9.7% | 11.6% | 0.83 | 3371 | 4.2% | 11.8% | 12.9% | 0.93 |
| Lewisham | 33307 | 20.3% | 17.9% | 10778 | 32.4% | 17.7% | 18.1% | 2065 | 6.2% | 13.2% | 12.1% | 0.67 | 13324 | 40.0% | 22.1% | 19.5% | 1.08 | 4724 | 14.2% | 24.6% | 17.0% | 0.94 |
| Lincolnshire | 85738 | 12.8% | 17.3% | 81454 | 95.0% | 12.9% | 17.6% | 891 | 1.0% | 3.3% | 5.2% | 0.29 | 328 | 0.4% | 8.5% | 11.0% | 0.62 | 2089 | 2.4% | 15.0% | 13.5% | 0.77 |
| Liverpool | 53960 | 27.4% | 19.4% | 44064 | 81.7% | 26.1% | 19.9% | 2020 | 3.7% | 20.2% | 10.4% | 0.52 | 2233 | 4.1% | 42.0% | 19.0% | 0.95 | 2745 | 5.1% | 35.6% | 19.5% | 0.98 |
| Luton | 32414 | 17.2% | 15.9% | 11080 | 34.2% | 15.9% | 17.5% | 14163 | 43.7% | 16.2% | 14.7% | 0.84 | 3675 | 11.3% | 18.6% | 15.5% | 0.89 | 2713 | 8.4% | 26.8% | 16.7% | 0.95 |
| Manchester | 65210 | 27.8% | 16.8% | 28925 | 44.4% | 29.6% | 20.0% | 14348 | 22.0% | 18.2% | 12.8% | 0.64 | 10925 | 16.8% | 30.6% | 14.1% | 0.71 | 5306 | 8.1% | 35.3% | 19.4% | 0.97 |
| Medway | 35756 | 13.6% | 18.9% | 29515 | 82.5% | 14.0% | 20.0% | 1677 | 4.7% | 6.1% | 9.5% | 0.48 | 1855 | 5.2% | 8.7% | 12.0% | 0.60 | 2042 | 5.7% | 17.5% | 17.9% | 0.89 |
| Merton | 21986 | 15.9% | 18.3% | 10899 | 49.6% | 13.7% | 19.2% | 4302 | 19.6% | 12.0% | 13.1% | 0.68 | 3572 | 16.2% | 23.0% | 21.6% | 1.13 | 2288 | 10.4% | 22.9% | 20.1% | 1.04 |
| Middlesbrough | 18350 | 31.0% | 19.5% | 14588 | 79.5% | 31.5% | 20.3% | 2071 | 11.3% | 17.7% | 16.8% | 0.83 | 282 | 1.5% | 56.7% | 11.0% | 0.54 | 809 | 4.4% | 37.8% | 20.8% | 1.02 |
| Milton Keynes | 36500 | 11.4% | 14.9% | 23930 | 65.6% | 11.3% | 16.7% | 3977 | 10.9% | 7.5% | 9.3% | 0.56 | 4937 | 13.5% | 14.0% | 12.0% | 0.72 | 2637 | 7.2% | 13.8% | 13.7% | 0.82 |
| Newcastle upon Tyne | 30490 | 25.0% | 17.7% | 23280 | 76.4% | 26.5% | 18.8% | 3665 | 12.0% | 15.3% | 14.7% | 0.78 | 1265 | 4.1% | 32.2% | 15.2% | 0.81 | 1143 | 3.7% | 22.7% | 12.1% | 0.64 |
| Newham | 47827 | 21.7% | 16.1% | 8682 | 18.2% | 20.7% | 19.0% | 21582 | 45.1% | 18.4% | 13.7% | 0.72 | 11487 | 24.0% | 27.7% | 18.6% | 0.98 | 2962 | 6.2% | 29.8% | 19.1% | 1.01 |
| Norfolk | 93903 | 13.5% | 16.7% | 87541 | 93.2% | 13.4% | 16.9% | 1156 | 1.2% | 7.5% | 8.3% | 0.49 | 788 | 0.8% | 19.3% | 15.1% | 0.89 | 2595 | 2.8% | 18.0% | 14.6% | 0.87 |
| North East Lincolnshire | 19673 | 17.9% | 12.7% | 18743 | 95.3% | 18.1% | 12.8% | 139 | 0.7% | 10.8% | 9.4% | 0.73 | 46 | 0.2% | 13.0% | | | 464 | 2.4% | 14.9% | 11.2% | 0.87 |
| North Lincolnshire | 20691 | 14.2% | 15.0% | 19169 | 92.6% | 14.0% | 15.4% | 801 | 3.9% | 14.9% | 7.7% | 0.50 | 118 | 0.6% | 32.2% | 13.6% | 0.88 | 328 | 1.6% | 17.7% | 11.9% | 0.77 |
| North Somerset | 24895 | 9.9% | 12.8% | 23533 | 94.5% | 9.8% | 12.9% | 305 | 1.2% | 3.3% | 7.5% | 0.58 | 116 | 0.5% | 12.1% | 9.5% | 0.74 | 759 | 3.0% | 14.0% | 12.9% | 1.00 |
| North Tyneside | 24077 | 14.8% | 14.9% | 22446 | 93.2% | 14.7% | 15.1% | 526 | 2.2% | 11.2% | 11.4% | 0.76 | 175 | 0.7% | 24.6% | 14.3% | 0.95 | 534 | 2.2% | 17.8% | 15.2% | 1.01 |
| North Yorkshire | 67652 | 7.6% | 12.0% | 64142 | 94.8% | 7.6% | 12.1% | 1070 | 1.6% | 5.1% | 7.4% | 0.61 | 246 | 0.4% | 6.5% | 12.6% | 1.04 | 1436 | 2.1% | 9.2% | 11.2% | 0.93 |
| Northamptonshire | 93159 | 13.0% | 12.5% | 78676 | 84.5% | 12.5% | 12.9% | 4046 | 4.3% | 8.9% | 8.0% | 0.62 | 4291 | 4.6% | 19.0% | 9.9% | 0.77 | 4713 | 5.1% | 20.6% | 12.3% | 0.95 |
| Northumberland | 36031 | 13.3% | 17.0% | 34766 | 96.5% | 13.4% | 17.2% | 463 | 1.3% | 5.6% | 7.6% | 0.02 | 36 | 0.1% | 5.6% | 5.570 | 0.77 | 551 | 1.5% | 18.5% | 16.3% | 0.95 |
| Nottingham | 35040 | 26.2% | 17.2% | 19835 | 56.6% | 27.6% | 19.0% | 5869 | 16.7% | 17.7% | 12.5% | 0.66 | 3742 | 10.7% | 25.3% | 15.9% | 0.84 | 4230 | 12.1% | 34.7% | 17.8% | 0.95 |
| Nottinghamshire | 93984 | 12.7% | 9.8% | 84904 | 90.3% | 12.8% | 10.0% | 2464 | 2.6% | 7.1% | 5.4% | 0.00 | 976 | 1.0% | 11.3% | 6.4% | 0.63 | 3873 | 4.1% | 16.6% | 9.3% | 0.93 |
| Oldham | 35816 | 19.5% | 14.9% | 21029 | 58.7% | 16.6% | 15.0% | 11468 | 32.0% | 22.2% | 15.1% | 1.01 | 880 | 2.5% | 37.8% | 13.5% | 0.03 | 1476 | 4.1% | 29.1% | 9.3% 14.4% | 0.93 |
| Oxfordshire | 74436 | 9.3% | 15.6% | 62163 | 83.5% | 8.4% | 15.6% | 4251 | 5.7% | 10.5% | 15.0% | | | 2.3% | 19.9% | 18.3% | | 4449 | 6.0% | 16.4% | 15.5% | |
| Peterborough | 29317 | 9.3% 15.9% | 15.6% | 20921 | 83.5% 71.4% | 8.4% 15.7% | | 4251 5182 | 5.7% 17.7% | 10.5% | 15.0% | 0.96 0.78 | 1771 | 3.3% | 19.9% | 18.3% | 1.17 0.84 | | 5.6% | 21.6% | 15.5% | 0.99 0.87 |
| Plymouth | | | | | | | 18.1% | | | | | | 959 | | | | | 1641 | | | | |
| Poole | 30735 | 18.1% | 17.6% | 28567 | 92.9% | 17.9% | 18.0% | 285 | 0.9% | 12.3% | 8.4% | 0.47 | 236 | 0.8% | 25.0% | 15.3% | 0.85 | 808 | 2.6% | 24.1% | 16.5% | 0.92 |
| | 15923 | 10.4% | 17.6% | 14565 | 91.5% | 10.5% | 18.1% | 408 | 2.6% | 3.7% | 9.3% | 0.51 | 86 | 0.5% | 7.0% | 12.8% | 0.71 | 603 | 3.8% | 10.1% | 12.4% | 0.69 |
| Portsmouth | 21935 | 18.8% | 15.8% | 18328 | 83.6% | 19.1% | 16.7% | 1355 | 6.2% | 9.6% | 8.3% | 0.50 | 668 | 3.0% | 19.5% | 17.1% | 1.02 | 955 | 4.4% | 22.2% | 12.6% | 0.75 |
| Reading | 16583 | 15.3% | 14.7% | 9199 | 55.5% | 15.0% | 16.7% | 3374 | 20.3% | 9.5% | 9.0% | 0.54 | 1528 | 9.2% | 18.8% | 14.0% | 0.84 | 1799 | 10.8% | 25.9% | 16.6% | 0.99 |
| Redbridge | 42397 | 17.0% | 13.9% | 10463 | 24.7% | 14.0% | 14.2% | 21973 | 51.8% | 12.6% | 12.1% | 0.85 | 5372 | 12.7% | 35.2% | 19.5% | 1.38 | 3366 | 7.9% | 25.1% | 14.7% | 1.04 |
| Redcar and Cleveland | 17540 | 20.5% | 20.7% | 16796 | 95.8% | 20.4% | 20.7% | 213 | 1.2% | 17.8% | 16.0% | 0.77 | 28 | 0.2% | 10.7% | | | 291 | 1.7% | 21.0% | 15.5% | 0.75 |

| Table 7: Any SEN (including a | all types of primary | need, all levels of need | I) All-LA descriptive statisti | ics and Risk Ratios (RF | (), Y1-11 (ages 5-16), 2016 |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | |

| | Overall | | · · | White | <u></u> | | | Asian | | | | | Black | | <u>,, ·</u> | - (| 3 3-10) | Mixed | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|
| | overum | | | winte | | | SEN | Asian | | | SEN | | DIGCK | | | SEN | i l | WINCU | | | SEN | 1 |
| | | | CENTRAL | | % of LA | | ncidence (% | N | % of LA | | ncidence (% | | N | % of LA | | Incidence | 1 | N | % of LA | | Incidence (% | |
| | | | SEN total Incidence | (pupils in | pupils in ethnic | % FSM (within | within ethnic | (pupils in ethnic | pupils in ethnic | % FSM (within | within ethnic | | (pupils in ethnic | pupils in ethnic | % FSM (within | (% within ethnic | 1 | (pupils in ethnic | pupils in ethnic | % FSM (within | within ethnic | 1 |
| | N (pupils) P | Percent FSM | (%) | ethnic group) | group | group) | group) | group) | group | group) | group) | Risk Ratio | group) | group | group) | group) | Risk Ratio | group) | group | group) | group) | Risk Ratio |
| Local Authority | | | | | | | | | | | i | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Richmond upon Thames | 21349 | 8.6% | 13.8% | 16024 | 75.1% | 7.1% | 13.9% | 1582 | 7.4% | 10.4% | 10.6% | 0.76 | 681 | 3.2% | 20.1% | 20.0% | 1.44 | 2164 | 10.1% | 12.8% | 14.1% | 1.02 |
| Rochdale | 29288 | 20.7% | 14.5% | 19421 | 66.3% | 19.6% | 15.3% | 7240 | 24.7% | 20.2% | 12.8% | 0.84 | 962 | 3.3% | 34.1% | 11.4% | 0.75 | 1220 | 4.2% | 28.9% | 13.0% | 0.84 |
| Rotherham | 36032 | 17.1% | 17.3% | 31371 | 87.1% | 16.5% | 17.8% | 2779 | 7.7% | 16.9% | 13.7% | 0.77 | 478 | 1.3% | 29.9% | 13.0% | 0.73 | 955 | 2.7% | 25.7% | 17.4% | 0.98 |
| Rutland | 4892 | 5.1% | 13.6% | 4624 | 94.5% | 5.1% | 13.7% | 46 | 0.9% | 2.2% | | | 50 | 1.0% | 2.0% | | I | 131 | 2.7% | 6.1% | 15.3% | 1.12 |
| Salford | 28375 | 21.7% | 19.9% | 23138 | 81.5% | 21.2% | 20.8% | 793 | 2.8% | 18.3% | 11.9% | 0.57 | 1407 | 5.0% | 26.3% | 16.3% | 0.78 | 1849 | 6.5% | 22.5% | 17.4% | 0.83 |
| Sandwell | 45416 | 23.1% | 18.7% | 23518 | 51.8% | 24.2% | 21.7% | 12597 | 27.7% | 16.5% | 13.2% | 0.61 | 4052 | 8.9% | 26.9% | 19.1% | 0.88 | 3615 | 8.0% | 33.3% | 19.3% | 0.89 |
| Sefton | 32517 | 15.7% | 12.1% | 30999 | 95.3% | 15.8% | 12.2% | 303 | 0.9% | 6.6% | 5.9% | 0.48 | 134 | 0.4% | 11.9% | 16.4% | 1.34 | 671 | 2.1% | 21.5% | 9.5% | 0.78 |
| Sheffield | 64006 | 19.6% | 17.8% | 46081 | 72.0% | 16.5% | 17.9% | 7300 | 11.4% | 21.1% | 17.0% | 0.95 | 3333 | 5.2% | 34.9% | 17.2% | 0.96 | 4397 | 6.9% | 30.3% | 19.7% | 1.10 |
| Shropshire | 32237 | 9.6% | 13.9% | 30725 | 95.3% | 9.5% | 14.1% | 254 | 0.8% | 5.1% | 7.9% | 0.56 | 5 77 | 0.2% | 7.8% | | | 738 | 2.3% | 13.8% | 10.6% | 0.75 |
| Slough | 22733 | 11.6% | 16.5% | 6930 | 30.5% | 12.5% | 21.5% | 10759 | 47.3% | 9.1% | 12.9% | 0.60 | 1961 | 8.6% | 15.7% | 19.0% | 0.88 | 1977 | 8.7% | 17.3% | 18.2% | 0.84 |
| Solihull | 31226 | 14.5% | 16.1% | 24046 | 77.0% | 13.8% | 16.8% | 3410 | 10.9% | 10.1% | 10.9% | 0.65 | 678 | 2.2% | 20.1% | 18.3% | 1.09 | 2308 | 7.4% | 24.7% | 16.8% | 1.00 |
| Somerset | 59688 | 10.4% | 14.8% | 56928 | 95.4% | 10.5% | 15.0% | 633 | 1.1% | 2.8% | 7.3% | 0.48 | 155 | 0.3% | 6.5% | 14.2% | 0.95 | 1373 | 2.3% | 12.2% | 12.5% | 0.84 |
| South Gloucestershire | 32888 | 9.0% | 15.2% | 29435 | 89.5% | 8.7% | 15.4% | 956 | 2.9% | 3.8% | 9.6% | 0.62 | 486 | 1.5% | 17.3% | 15.4% | 1.00 | 1550 | 4.7% | 15.6% | 13.9% | 0.90 |
| South Tyneside | 17393 | 21.1% | 22.1% | 15983 | 91.9% | 21.0% | 21.9% | 659 | 3.8% | 20.3% | 21.5% | 0.98 | 89 | 0.5% | 37.1% | 30.3% | 1.38 | 318 | 1.8% | 19.5% | 22.0% | 1.00 |
| Southampton | 26380 | 18.8% | 20.6% | 20443 | 77.5% | 19.4% | 22.4% | 3032 | 11.5% | 12.4% | 12.6% | 0.56 | 739 | 2.8% | 22.7% | 13.4% | 0.60 | 1600 | 6.1% | 22.6% | 18.9% | 0.84 |
| Southend-on-Sea | 23237 | 13.2% | 12.5% | 18807 | 80.9% | 13.7% | 13.4% | 1477 | 6.4% | 6.1% | 7.0% | 0.52 | 1123 | 4.8% | 10.3% | 6.9% | 0.51 | 1300 | 5.6% | 16.7% | 12.7% | 0.95 |
| Southwark | 32807 | 23.9% | 18.9% | 9573 | 29.2% | 19.7% | 20.0% | 1821 | 5.6% | 23.9% | 12.2% | 0.61 | 14637 | 44.6% | 26.5% | 19.6% | 0.98 | 3394 | 10.3% | 27.8% | 19.4% | 0.97 |
| St. Helens | 21510 | 17.9% | 19.9% | 20715 | 96.3% | 18.1% | 20.0% | 161 | 0.7% | 1.2% | 10.6% | 0.53 | 55 | 0.3% | 21.8% | 21.8% | 1.09 | 360 | 1.7% | 17.2% | 18.6% | 0.93 |
| Staffordshire | 99876 | 10.6% | 13.3% | 91433 | 91.5% | 10.4% | 13.4% | 3616 | 3.6% | 10.2% | 11.3% | 0.84 | 606 | 0.6% | 13.2% | 12.7% | 0.95 | 2982 | 3.0% | 17.5% | 12.6% | 0.94 |
| Stockport | 34225 | 13.5% | 14.5% | 29232 | 85.4% | 13.0% | 14.9% | 2205 | 6.4% | 12.8% | 10.8% | 0.73 | 391 | 1.1% | 29.7% | 15.6% | 1.05 | 1669 | 4.9% | 17.3% | 14.3% | 0.96 |
| Stockton-on-Tees | 24526 | 19.7% | 16.5% | 22051 | 89.9% | 19.2% | 16.8% | 1287 | 5.2% | 19.0% | 13.6% | 0.81 | . 281 | 1.1% | 35.2% | 11.4% | 0.68 | 576 | 2.3% | 26.6% | 13.4% | 0.79 |
| Stoke-on-Trent | 30677 | 22.2% | 16.6% | 23276 | 75.9% | 22.3% | 17.5% | 4471 | 14.6% | 16.4% | 13.7% | 0.78 | 871 | 2.8% | 29.4% | 12.2% | 0.70 | 1367 | 4.5% | 35.0% | 16.0% | 0.92 |
| Suffolk | 82794 | 12.6% | 13.5% | 74494 | 90.0% | 12.3% | 13.8% | 1399 | 1.7% | 9.1% | 7.1% | 0.52 | 700 | 0.8% | 18.1% | 12.3% | 0.89 | 4116 | 5.0% | 16.8% | 11.5% | 0.83 |
| Sunderland | 32842 | 21.7% | 16.0% | 30603 | 93.2% | 21.9% | 16.2% | 1266 | 3.9% | 11.2% | 11.5% | 0.71 | 208 | 0.6% | 25.5% | 9.1% | 0.56 | 433 | 1.3% | 30.9% | 14.3% | 0.88 |
| Surrey | 126564 | 7.5% | 14.9% | 106637 | 84.3% | 7.4% | 15.3% | 7972 | 6.3% | 6.1% | 11.4% | 0.74 | 1998 | 1.6% | 10.9% | 14.2% | 0.93 | 7135 | 5.6% | 9.7% | 13.0% | 0.85 |
| Sutton | 28224 | 11.6% | 13.8% | 17110 | 60.6% | 12.5% | 16.3% | 5231 | 18.5% | 5.6% | 6.4% | 0.39 | 2155 | 7.6% | 17.0% | 14.2% | 0.87 | 2572 | 9.1% | 14.2% | 13.8% | 0.85 |
| Swindon | 27468 | 12.7% | 17.9% | 22032 | 80.2% | 12.9% | 19.1% | 2775 | 10.1% | 6.1% | 12.0% | 0.63 | 690 | 2.5% | 18.1% | 11.6% | 0.61 | 1405 | 5.1% | 19.1% | 15.6% | 0.82 |
| Tameside | 30254 | 19.8% | 14.6% | 24648 | 81.5% | 19.1% | 15.4% | 3304 | 10.9% | 18.2% | 9.4% | 0.61 | 545 | 1.8% | 35.2% | 13.2% | 0.86 | 1200 | 4.0% | 28.1% | 13.9% | 0.90 |
| Telford and Wrekin | 23148 | 16.3% | 20.7% | 19863 | 85.8% | 16.4% | 21.3% | 1425 | 6.2% | 11.8% | 18.2% | 0.86 | 531 | 2.3% | 11.7% | 13.0% | 0.61 | 1068 | 4.6% | 23.7% | 18.4% | 0.86 |
| Thurrock | 22661 | 14.6% | 15.1% | 16774 | 74.0% | 15.7% | 16.6% | 922 | 4.1% | 8.8% | 8.5% | 0.51 | 2990 | 13.2% | 9.1% | 10.5% | 0.63 | 1224 | 5.4% | 18.7% | 13.7% | 0.82 |
| Torbay | 15510 | 16.9% | 19.8% | 14644 | 94.4% | 17.1% | 20.1% | 174 | 1.1% | 5.7% | 9.2% | 0.46 | 26 | 0.2% | 7.7% | | | 457 | 2.9% | 17.3% | 17.1% | 0.85 |
| Tower Hamlets | 33842 | 38.5% | 18.9% | 4713 | 13.9% | 37.0% | 25.8% | 22213 | 65.6% | 37.8% | 16.7% | 0.65 | 3666 | 10.8% | 43.7% | 20.5% | 0.80 | 1911 | 5.6% | 44.2% | 26.2% | 1.01 |
| Trafford | 31731 | 10.3% | 14.5% | 23108 | 72.8% | 9.3% | 15.1% | 4129 | 13.0% | 10.7% | 11.3% | 0.75 | 1112 | 3.5% | 18.8% | 17.4% | 1.15 | 2043 | 6.4% | 14.3% | 14.0% | 0.93 |
| Wakefield | 41367 | 14.9% | 16.1% | 37804 | 91.4% | 14.9% | 16.2% | 1571 | 3.8% | 11.0% | 15.5% | 0.95 | 466 | 1.1% | 12.2% | 11.8% | 0.73 | 1140 | 2.8% | 21.1% | 14.9% | 0.92 |
| Walsall | 38721 | 21.8% | 14.4% | 25614 | 66.2% | 22.4% | 15.6% | 8375 | 21.6% | 16.4% | 11.7% | 0.75 | 1667 | 4.3% | 25.4% | 11.8% | 0.75 | 2272 | 5.9% | 32.7% | 14.5% | 0.93 |
| Waltham Forest | 34168 | 17.7% | 20.2% | 12914 | 37.8% | 12.4% | 19.1% | 8869 | 26.0% | 16.5% | 18.3% | 0.96 | 7079 | 20.7% | 25.8% | 25.0% | 1.31 | 3610 | 10.6% | 22.6% | 20.2% | 1.06 |
| Wandsworth | 24561 | 18.6% | 20.4% | 9862 | 40.2% | 12.6% | 19.5% | 4348 | 17.7% | 14.0% | 15.1% | 0.77 | 5906 | 24.0% | 28.7% | 24.5% | 1.26 | 3088 | 12.6% | 24.8% | 23.4% | 1.20 |
| Warrington | 26913 | 10.5% | 15.2% | 24809 | 92.2% | 10.5% | 15.4% | 753 | 2.8% | 7.3% | 10.2% | 0.66 | 143 | 0.5% | 20.3% | 21.0% | 1.36 | 755 | 2.8% | 12.8% | 13.2% | 0.86 |
| Warwickshire | 65410 | 9.1% | 15.4% | 57346 | 87.7% | 9.1% | 15.7% | 3451 | 5.3% | 3.8% | 9.4% | 0.60 | 645 | 1.0% | 15.0% | 14.0% | 0.89 | 2758 | 4.2% | 13.5% | 13.9% | 0.88 |
| West Berkshire | 20712 | 7.3% | 14.7% | 18514 | 89.4% | 6.9% | 14.8% | 612 | 3.0% | 8.2% | 11.6% | 0.78 | 287 | 1.4% | 15.3% | 18.5% | 1.25 | 967 | 4.7% | 12.7% | 13.8% | 0.93 |
| WestSussex | 94292 | 8.5% | 17.4% | 83045 | 88.1% | 8.4% | 17.8% | 4276 | 4.5% | 5.4% | 11.4% | 0.64 | 1365 | 1.4% | 13.5% | 20.2% | 1.14 | 4000 | 4.2% | 12.1% | 15.2% | 0.85 |
| Westminster | 17440 | 28.5% | 20.1% | 4758 | 27.3% | 16.2% | 18.5% | 2374 | 13.6% | 26.4% | 18.5% | 1.00 | 3420 | 19.6% | 31.6% | 21.1% | 1.14 | 1834 | 10.5% | 26.7% | 19.6% | 1.06 |
| Wigan | 39700 | 14.7% | 15.7% | 37489 | 94.4% | 14.4% | 15.8% | 446 | 1.1% | 18.2% | 13.0% | 0.82 | 360 | 0.9% | 28.6% | 15.8% | 1.00 | 752 | 1.9% | 18.2% | 13.2% | 0.84 |
| Wiltshire | 55866 | 7.8% | 16.5% | 51577 | 92.3% | 7.9% | 16.9% | 756 | 1.4% | 3.6% | 5.6% | 0.33 | | 1.2% | 7.8% | 14.3% | | | 3.0% | 10.0% | 13.0% | |
| Windsor and Maidenhead | 16960 | 6.4% | 16.5% | 12660 | 74.6% | 5.7% | 17.1% | 2314 | 13.6% | 7.4% | 13.4% | 0.78 | | 1.4% | 14.7% | 17.7% | | | 7.6% | 8.5% | 15.7% | 0.91 |
| Wirral | 39877 | 18.4% | 18.7% | 37321 | 93.6% | 18.8% | 19.1% | 904 | 2.3% | 5.3% | 11.0% | 0.57 | | 0.3% | 23.0% | 10.7% | | | 2.5% | 18.8% | 15.8% | |
| Wokingham | 20641 | 5.8% | 13.1% | 15699 | 76.1% | 5.5% | 14.0% | 2445 | 11.8% | 4.7% | 8.0% | 0.57 | 629 | 3.0% | 10.8% | 13.2% | | | 6.4% | 9.5% | 13.4% | |
| Wolverhampton | 32091 | 23.3% | 18.9% | 17678 | 55.1% | 24.1% | 21.4% | 6917 | 21.6% | 12.4% | 12.9% | 0.61 | | 10.0% | 27.8% | 16.8% | | | 11.2% | 35.8% | 20.7% | 0.97 |
| Worcestershire | 65175 | 11.9% | 16.5% | 59648 | 91.5% | 11.8% | 16.6% | 2305 | 3.5% | 9.8% | 13.3% | 0.80 | | 0.4% | 20.4% | 20.1% | | | 3.3% | 17.1% | 16.2% | |
| York | 20316 | 8.7% | 12.7% | 18992 | 93.5% | 8.9% | 12.9% | 402 | 2.0% | 3.2% | 6.0% | 0.46 | | 0.4% | 8.0% | | | 538 | 2.6% | 10.2% | 11.2% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

All-LA MLD Table

The following pages report results across all LAs (with equivalent national statistics for comparison) for MLD identification, focusing specifically on the combined Asian (excluding Pakistani) group as previously described.

What this table tells you:

- The first set of columns report the overall number of pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of pupils entitled to FSM entitlement in the LA overall, the number of pupils identified with MLD in the LA, and the total incidence of MLD identification in the LA for all pupils.
- 2) The second set of columns reports the number of White British pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of White British pupils in the LA, the percentage of White British pupils entitled to FSM, the number of White British pupils identified with MLD, and the incidence amongst White British pupils of MLD identification.
- 3) The third set of columns reports the number of Asian (excluding Pakistani) pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of pupils in the LA that this group constitutes, the percentage of pupils in this group entitled to FSM, the number of pupils in this group identified with MLD, the incidence of MLD identification in this group, and the Risk Ratio relative to White British pupils.

This facilitates comparisons across LAs for these ethnic group categories, and comparisons between LAs and the national equivalent statistics.

As a quick-start guide for looking at the data for specific LAs in this table, the distribution of risk ratios across all LAs is as follows:

| | Asian (excl. |
|---|--------------|
| | Pakistani) |
| Total LAs | 152 |
| LAs with N<10 in ethnic group with MLD | 24 |
| LAs with Reported Risk Ratios* | 128 |
| LAs with RR <= 0.75 | 95 |
| LAs without apparent disproportionality | 31 |
| LAs with RR >=1.33 | 2 |

*Recall that Risk Ratios are only reported when the number of pupils in an ethnic group with SEN identification is 10 or more.

From this, it is apparent that there is some variation across LAs, but for the most part, the Asian (excluding Pakistani) group is under-represented in most LAs, not disproportionately represented in about one-quarter of LAs with reported RRs, and only over-represented in two LAs for MLD.

When looking at the table, you may want to consider: Does the RR for your LA suggest overrepresentation (RR>=1.33), no disproportionality, or under-representation (RR<=0.75) for MLD for Asian (excluding Pakistani) pupils? How does this compare to the above distribution over all LAs?

| | Overall | | | | White British | | | | | Asian (exclusii | ng Pakistani) | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------|
| | | | ., | | | | | N | MLD | | | | | MLD | |
| | N (pupils in | | N (pupils with | MLD | N (pupils in LA | % LA pupils | % FSM | (pupils with MLD | Incidence (% within | N (pupils in LA | % LA pupils | % ESM | N (pupils with MLD in | Incidence (% within | |
| | (pupils III LA) | LA % FSM | MLD in LA) | (% in LA) | in group) | | vithin group | in group) | group) | in group) | | within group | group) | group) | |
| Local Authority | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Barking and Dagenham | 33132 | 20.0% | 1602 | 4.8% | 8719 | 26.3% | 30.4% | 631 | 7.2% | 4899 | 14.8% | 10.6% | 153 | 3.1% | 0.43 |
| Barnet | 43852 | 16.0% | 638 | 1.5% | 13286 | 30.3% | 11.3% | 197 | 1.5% | 6199 | 14.1% | 10.1% | 65 | 1.0% | 0.71 |
| Barnsley | 27405 | 20.6% | 1623 | 5.9% | 25248 | 92.1% | 20.5% | 1544 | 6.1% | 207 | 0.8% | 18.4% | 6 | | |
| Bath and North East Somerset | 21450 | 8.7% | 747 | 3.5% | 18466 | 86.1% | 8.5% | 667 | 3.6% | 633 | 3.0% | 5.5% | 9 | | |
| Bedford | 22091 | 12.1% | 1008 | 4.6% | 12661 | 57.3% | 9.8% | 517 | 4.1% | 3008 | 13.6% | 15.2% | 124 | 4.1% | 1.01 |
| Bexley | 35179 | 12.0% | 609 | 1.7% | 20963 | 59.6% | 12.7% | 442 | 2.1% | 2961 | 8.4% | 6.7% | 24 | 0.8% | 0.38 |
| Birmingham | 158994 | 28.3% | 11677 | 7.3% | 49808 | 31.3% | 25.8% | 3792 | 7.6% | 22885 | 14.4% | 23.1% | 1258 | 5.5% | 0.72 |
| Blackburn with Darwen | 22285 | 16.7% | 932 | 4.2% | 10658 | 47.8% | 18.9% | 485 | 4.6% | 5003 | 22.5% | 11.8% | 120 | 2.4% | 0.53 |
| Blackpool | 16560 | 25.6% | 758 | 4.6% | 14866 | 89.8% | 26.7% | 715 | 4.8% | 459 | 2.8% | 13.5% | 10 | 2.2% | 0.45 |
| Bolton | 39894 | 17.6% | 2462 | 6.2% | 25810 | 64.7% | 16.5% | 1623 | 6.3% | 5122 | 12.8% | 13.0% | 178 | 3.5% | 0.55 |
| Bournemouth | 18818 | 13.1% | 317 | 1.7% | 14076 | 74.8% | 14.0% | 240 | 1.7% | 969 | 5.1% | 8.3% | 7 | | |
| Bracknell Forest | 14242 | 8.6% | 384 | 2.7% | 11254 | 79.0% | 9.4% | 320 | 2.8% | 959 | 6.7% | 2.7% | 17 | 1.8% | 0.62 |
| Bradford | 78243 | 19.3% | 5170 | 6.6% | 34305 | 43.8% | 18.3% | 2103 | 6.1% | 6601 | 8.4% | 23.4% | 414 | 6.3% | 1.02 |
| Brent | 38225 | 14.0% | 1462 | 3.8% | 2697 | 7.1% | 14.3% | 98 | 3.6% | 9526 | 24.9% | 5.5% | 214 | 2.2% | 0.62 |
| Brighton and Hove | 27601 | 14.1% | 791 | 2.9% | 20769 | 75.2% | 14.4% | 603 | 2.9% | 1749 | 6.3% | 10.1% | 49 | 2.8% | 0.96 |
| Bristol, City of | 46034 | 21.1% | 1480 | 3.2% | 29296 | 63.6% | 19.7% | 972 | 3.3% | 2962 | 6.4% | 11.9% | 73 | 2.5% | 0.74 |
| Bromley | 39314 | 10.1% | 963 | 2.4% | 25172 | 64.0% | 9.3% | 657 | 2.6% | 3111 | 7.9% | 3.9% | 41 | 1.3% | 0.50 |
| Buckinghamshire | 65865 | 6.6% | 1526 | 2.3% | 44355 | 67.3% | 5.5% | 978 | 2.2% | 6053 | 9.2% | 4.3% | 50 | 0.8% | 0.37 |
| Bury | 24889 | 15.2% | 1091 | 4.4% | 18594 | 74.7% | 13.6% | 813 | 4.4% | 1060 | 4.3% | 19.4% | 29 | 2.7% | 0.63 |
| Calderdale | 29233 | 14.4% | 1742 | 6.0% | 22125 | 75.7% | 13.0% | 1209 | 5.5% | 1075 | 3.7% | 18.6% | 39 | 3.6% | 0.66 |
| Cambridgeshire | 70514 | 9.8% | 2436 | 3.5% | 55186 | 78.3% | 10.1% | 2010 | 3.6% | 3649 | 5.2% | 4.9% | 78 | 2.1% | 0.59 |
| Camden | 17336 | 27.8% | 363 | 2.1% | 3863 | 22.3% | 24.2% | 87 | 2.3% | 3671 | 21.2% | 27.0% | 78 | 2.1% | 0.94 |
| Central Bedfordshire | 33583 | 9.4% | 1159 | 3.5% | 28233 | 84.1% | 8.9% | 919 | 3.3% | 1188 | 3.5% | 7.2% | 30 | 2.5% | 0.78 |
| Cheshire East | 42748 | 8.4% | 601 | 1.4% | 37862 | 88.6% | 8.3% | 520 | 1.4% | 1078 | 2.5% | 6.1% | 5 | | |
| Cheshire West and Chester | 39766 | 11.3% | 1206 | 3.0% | 36406 | 91.6% | 11.4% | 1125 | 3.1% | 881 | 2.2% | 7.5% | 10 | 1.1% | 0.37 |
| City of London | 176 | 24.4% | x | | 38 | 21.6% | 7.9% | x | | 61 | 34.7% | 19.7% | x | | |
| Cornwall | 60692 | 11.9% | 2149 | 3.5% | 56290 | 92.7% | 12.0% | 2006 | 3.6% | 738 | 1.2% | 8.3% | 20 | 2.7% | 0.76 |
| Coventry | 43435 | 19.4% | 2719 | 6.3% | 23011 | 53.0% | 19.7% | 1646 | 7.2% | 6883 | 15.8% | 12.8% | 230 | 3.3% | 0.47 |
| Croydon | 45929 | 20.4% | 1097 | 2.4% | 13248 | 28.8% | 19.8% | 349 | 2.6% | 6059 | 13.2% | 10.1% | 85 | 1.4% | 0.53 |
| Cumbria | 56065 | 10.2% | 1509 | 2.7% | 52793 | 94.2% | 10.5% | 1448 | 2.7% | 741 | 1.3% | 7.2% | 6 | | |
| Darlington | 13572 | 17.5% | 568 | 4.2% | 11948 | 88.0% | 18.0% | 498 | 4.2% | 469 | 3.5% | 13.9% | 19 | 4.1% | 0.97 |
| Derby | 34155 | 17.9% | 2094 | 6.1% | 20741 | 60.7% | 17.5% | 1246 | 6.0% | 3118 | 9.1% | 12.6% | 105 | 3.4% | 0.56 |
| Derbyshire | 87889 | 13.2% | 4263 | 4.9% | 81848 | 93.1% | 13.2% | 4019 | 4.9% | 1503 | 1.7% | 8.1% | 37 | 2.5% | 0.50 |
| Devon | 81099 | 13.3% | 2899 | 3.6% | 74608 | 92.0% | 13.5% | 2706 | 3.6% | 1173 | 1.4% | 8.3% | 26 | 2.2% | |
| Doncaster | 37669 | 17.6% | 1833 | 4.9% | 32170 | 85.4% | 17.9% | 1536 | 4.8% | 1032 | 2.7% | 13.7% | 32 | 3.1% | 0.65 |
| Dorset | 45771 | 13.0% | 928 | 2.0% | 41869 | 91.5% | 12.9% | 857 | 2.0% | 906 | 2.0% | 8.3% | 8 | | |
| Dudley | 40055 | 16.9% | 2486 | 6.2% | 30855 | 77.0% | 15.2% | 1881 | 6.1% | 1780 | 4.4% | 15.2% | 83 | 4.7% | 0.76 |
| Durham | 57713 | 19.9% | 2540 | 4.4% | 55024 | 95.3% | 20.1% | 2462 | 4.5% | 660 | 1.1% | 12.4% | 12 | 1.8% | 0.41 |
| Ealing | 40831 | 16.1% | 1734 | 4.2% | 6199 | 15.2% | 12.6% | 212 | 3.4% | 9831 | 24.1% | 9.5% | 326 | 3.3% | 0.97 |

| | Overall | | | | White British | ,, | | | | Asian (exclusii | ng Pakistani) | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| | | | ., | | | | | N | MLD | | | | | MLD | |
| | N (pupils in | | N (pupils with | MLD | N (pupils in LA | % LA pupils | % FSM | (pupils with MLD | Incidence (% within | N (pupils in LA | % LA pupils | % ECM | N (pupils with MLD in | Incidence (% within | |
| | (pupils III LA) | LA % FSM | MLD in LA) | (% in LA) | in group) | | within group | in group) | group) | in group) | | within group | group) | group) | Risk Ratio |
| Local Authority | | | , | . , | | | | | | | | | | | |
| East Riding of Yorkshire | 37908 | 11.9% | 1758 | 4.6% | 35345 | 93.2% | 11.9% | 1650 | 4.7% | 310 | 0.8% | 6.1% | 9 | | |
| East Sussex | 56896 | 13.2% | 1098 | 1.9% | 49180 | 86.4% | 13.0% | 964 | 2.0% | 1846 | 3.2% | 8.2% | 17 | 0.9% | 0.47 |
| Enfield | 45573 | 18.8% | 1261 | 2.8% | 8992 | 19.7% | 16.3% | 219 | 2.4% | 4079 | 9.0% | 11.6% | 44 | 1.1% | 0.44 |
| Essex | 171466 | 11.1% | 8712 | 5.1% | 143717 | 83.8% | 11.2% | 7719 | 5.4% | 5449 | 3.2% | 5.1% | 123 | 2.3% | 0.42 |
| Gateshead | 22613 | 17.3% | 978 | 4.3% | 20357 | 90.0% | 17.1% | 901 | 4.4% | 535 | 2.4% | 10.7% | 10 | 1.9% | 0.42 |
| Gloucestershire | 71237 | 10.2% | 3818 | 5.4% | 60326 | 84.7% | 10.0% | 3164 | 5.2% | 2563 | 3.6% | 6.8% | 71 | 2.8% | 0.53 |
| Greenwich | 31881 | 19.6% | 692 | 2.2% | 10644 | 33.4% | 24.6% | 301 | 2.8% | 2909 | 9.1% | 11.9% | 38 | 1.3% | 0.46 |
| Hackney | 27041 | 33.0% | 1425 | 5.3% | 4259 | 15.8% | 18.4% | 181 | 4.2% | 3318 | 12.3% | 29.7% | 166 | 5.0% | 1.18 |
| Halton | 16300 | 28.5% | 648 | 4.0% | 15381 | 94.4% | 28.7% | 633 | 4.1% | 115 | 0.7% | 19.1% | x | | |
| Hammersmith and Fulham | 15307 | 22.6% | 415 | 2.7% | 4142 | 27.1% | 16.2% | 97 | 2.3% | 1219 | 8.0% | 15.7% | 26 | 2.1% | 0.91 |
| Hampshire | 153538 | 8.9% | 7036 | 4.6% | 134531 | 87.6% | 9.0% | 6350 | 4.7% | 5984 | 3.9% | 4.9% | 158 | 2.6% | 0.56 |
| Haringey | 30434 | 22.0% | 941 | 3.1% | 5766 | 18.9% | 8.7% | 72 | 1.2% | 2378 | 7.8% | 17.3% | 56 | 2.4% | 1.89 |
| Harrow | 28671 | 11.0% | 676 | 2.4% | 3565 | 12.4% | 12.4% | 92 | 2.6% | 12632 | 44.1% | 7.2% | 214 | 1.7% | 0.66 |
| Hartlepool | 12113 | 23.9% | 487 | 4.0% | 11416 | 94.2% | 24.0% | 470 | 4.1% | 299 | 2.5% | 15.7% | 6 | | |
| Havering | 32773 | 12.3% | 1080 | 3.3% | 22059 | 67.3% | 12.7% | 813 | 3.7% | 2031 | 6.2% | 6.5% | 38 | 1.9% | 0.51 |
| Herefordshire | 19958 | 9.1% | 599 | 3.0% | 17812 | 89.2% | 9.2% | 548 | 3.1% | 238 | 1.2% | 6.3% | 3 | | |
| Hertfordshire | 148782 | 8.7% | 4216 | 2.8% | 106780 | 71.8% | 8.7% | 3099 | 2.9% | 11563 | 7.8% | 4.9% | 179 | 1.5% | 0.53 |
| Hillingdon | 39407 | 15.5% | 852 | 2.2% | 14033 | 35.6% | 16.4% | 362 | 2.6% | 8899 | 22.6% | 8.5% | 148 | 1.7% | 0.64 |
| Hounslow | 32490 | 15.6% | 1253 | 3.9% | 6902 | 21.2% | 20.5% | 316 | 4.6% | 8155 | 25.1% | 6.8% | 236 | 2.9% | 0.63 |
| Isle of Wight | 14227 | 13.9% | 672 | 4.7% | 13203 | 92.8% | 14.2% | 629 | 4.8% | 223 | 1.6% | 13.5% | 8 | | |
| Isles of Scilly | 235 | 2.6% | 5 | | 184 | 78.3% | 2.2% | 5 | | x | | 0.0% | x | | |
| Islington | 19300 | 31.9% | 600 | 3.1% | 5221 | 27.1% | 31.0% | 177 | 3.4% | 1899 | 9.8% | 28.8% | 54 | 2.8% | 0.84 |
| Kensington and Chelsea | 10017 | 21.6% | 224 | 2.2% | 2057 | 20.5% | 16.9% | 56 | 2.7% | 568 | 5.7% | 17.6% | 7 | | |
| Kent | 183547 | 12.1% | 3842 | 2.1% | 149663 | 81.5% | 12.7% | 3221 | 2.2% | 8899 | 4.8% | 5.1% | 69 | 0.8% | 0.36 |
| Kingston upon Hull, City of | 31432 | 25.1% | 1045 | 3.3% | 25840 | 82.2% | 26.3% | 910 | 3.5% | 528 | 1.7% | 16.9% | 9 | | |
| Kingston upon Thames | 19072 | 9.0% | 321 | 1.7% | 8877 | 46.5% | 8.5% | 187 | 2.1% | 3745 | 19.6% | 7.0% | 37 | 1.0% | 0.47 |
| Kirklees | 55687 | 20.6% | 2046 | 3.7% | 34046 | 61.1% | 18.1% | 1164 | 3.4% | 5432 | 9.8% | 19.8% | 157 | 2.9% | 0.85 |
| Knowsley | 16164 | 32.5% | 1998 | 12.4% | 15126 | 93.6% | 33.1% | 1915 | 12.7% | 288 | 1.8% | 11.8% | 12 | 4.2% | 0.33 |
| Lambeth | 29364 | 26.3% | 1323 | 4.5% | 4106 | 14.0% | 15.9% | 132 | 3.2% | 1457 | 5.0% | 20.9% | 40 | 2.7% | 0.85 |
| Lancashire | 144891 | 14.1% | 5137 | 3.5% | 117789 | 81.3% | 14.1% | 4211 | 3.6% | 7571 | 5.2% | 13.7% | 170 | 2.2% | 0.63 |
| Leeds | 93823 | 17.5% | 4055 | 4.3% | 63515 | 67.7% | 16.3% | 2612 | 4.1% | 6724 | 7.2% | 16.2% | 232 | 3.5% | 0.84 |
| Leicester | 44625 | 19.1% | 2765 | 6.2% | 12939 | 29.0% | 29.6% | 1210 | 9.4% | 18475 | 41.4% | 11.0% | 786 | 4.3% | 0.45 |
| Leicestershire | 80348 | 8.2% | 3973 | 4.9% | 66605 | 82.9% | 8.4% | 3485 | 5.2% | 6914 | 8.6% | 5.1% | 160 | 2.3% | 0.44 |
| Lewisham | 33307 | 20.3% | 570 | 1.7% | 7314 | 22.0% | 20.1% | 150 | 2.1% | 2757 | 8.3% | 12.2% | 28 | 1.0% | 0.50 |
| Lincolnshire | 85738 | 12.8% | 4936 | 5.8% | 74994 | 87.5% | 13.5% | 4408 | 5.9% | 1400 | 1.6% | 6.6% | 22 | 1.6% | 0.27 |
| Liverpool | 53960 | 27.4% | 1860 | 3.4% | 41423 | 76.8% | 26.5% | 1413 | 3.4% | 2587 | 4.8% | 17.7% | 39 | 1.5% | 0.44 |
| Luton | 32414 | 17.2% | 1494 | 4.6% | 7742 | 23.9% | 18.4% | 391 | 5.1% | 6981 | 21.5% | 16.5% | 290 | 4.2% | 0.82 |
| Manchester | 65210 | 27.8% | 2737 | 4.2% | 25252 | 38.7% | 31.4% | 1290 | 5.1% | 5657 | 8.7% | 18.4% | 167 | 3.0% | 0.58 |
| Medway | 35756 | 13.6% | 1059 | 3.0% | 27343 | 76.5% | 14.2% | 879 | 3.2% | 1951 | 5.5% | 6.5% | 39 | 2.0% | 0.62 |

| | Overall | | | <u> </u> | White British | | | | | Asian (exclusiı | ng Pakistani) | | | - | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | Ν | MLD | | | | | MLD | |
| | N | | N | MLD | N (augita in 1.0 | 0/ 1.4 | 0/ 5514 | (pupils | Incidence | N (augusta in 1.0 | 0/14 | | N (pupils | Incidence | |
| | (pupils in LA) | LA % FSM | (pupils with MLD in LA) | (% in LA) | (pupils in LA in group) | % LA pupils | % FSM within group | with MLD in group) | (% within group) | (pupils in LA in group) | % LA pupils | within group | with MLD in group) | (% within group) | Risk Ratio |
| Local Authority | | E (7015) | | ()0 11 2 1) | in group) | in group | within group | in group) | groupy | in group/ | in group | within group | groupy | groupy | nisk natio |
| Merton | 21986 | 15.9% | 618 | 2.8% | 7024 | 31.9% | 17.5% | 239 | 3.4% | 3617 | 16.5% | 10.8% | 65 | 1.8% | 0.53 |
| Middlesbrough | 18350 | 31.0% | 1183 | 6.4% | 13793 | 75.2% | 31.6% | 888 | 6.4% | | 3.8% | 23.7% | 39 | 5.6% | 0.87 |
| Milton Keynes | 36500 | 11.4% | 1819 | 5.0% | 21545 | 59.0% | 11.9% | 1240 | 5.8% | 3586 | 9.8% | 6.8% | 99 | 2.8% | 0.48 |
| Newcastle upon Tyne | 30490 | 25.0% | 1703 | 5.6% | 21600 | 70.8% | 27.0% | 1118 | 5.2% | 3005 | 9.9% | 14.9% | 139 | 4.6% | 0.89 |
| Newham | 47827 | 21.7% | 1545 | 3.2% | 2835 | 5.9% | 39.6% | 150 | 5.3% | 16047 | 33.6% | 17.4% | 381 | 2.4% | 0.45 |
| Norfolk | 93903 | 13.5% | 3758 | 4.0% | 81313 | 86.6% | 13.7% | 3272 | 4.0% | 2061 | 2.2% | 8.1% | 44 | 2.1% | 0.53 |
| North East Lincolnshire | 19673 | 17.9% | 953 | 4.8% | 18179 | 92.4% | 18.5% | 902 | 5.0% | 230 | 1.2% | 12.2% | 6 | | |
| North Lincolnshire | 20691 | 14.2% | 1102 | 5.3% | 17912 | 86.6% | 14.6% | 1005 | 5.6% | 805 | 3.9% | 16.0% | 13 | 1.6% | 0.29 |
| North Somerset | 24895 | 9.9% | 562 | 2.3% | 22368 | 89.8% | 10.0% | 517 | 2.3% | 604 | 2.4% | 5.1% | 7 | | |
| North Tyneside | 24077 | 14.8% | 766 | 3.2% | 22029 | 91.5% | 14.9% | 704 | 3.2% | | 3.1% | 9.2% | 17 | 2.3% | 0.71 |
| North Yorkshire | 67652 | 7.6% | 1789 | 2.6% | 61992 | 91.6% | 7.7% | 1643 | 2.7% | 1313 | 1.9% | 4.4% | 22 | 1.7% | 0.63 |
| Northamptonshire | 93159 | 13.0% | 2744 | 2.9% | 71098 | 76.3% | 13.1% | 2235 | 3.1% | 4689 | 5.0% | 9.2% | 82 | 1.7% | 0.56 |
| Northumberland | 36031 | 13.3% | 1834 | 5.1% | 34231 | 95.0% | 13.5% | 1765 | 5.2% | 595 | 1.7% | 5.7% | 16 | 2.7% | 0.52 |
| Nottingham | 35040 | 26.2% | 1772 | 5.1% | 17118 | 48.9% | 29.8% | 1009 | 5.9% | 2652 | 7.6% | 15.5% | 80 | 3.0% | 0.51 |
| Nottinghamshire | 93984 | 12.7% | 2312 | 2.5% | 81044 | 86.2% | 13.0% | 2073 | 2.6% | 2720 | 2.9% | 6.2% | 33 | 1.2% | 0.47 |
| Oldham | 35816 | 19.5% | 1384 | 3.9% | 19967 | 55.7% | 16.8% | 680 | 3.4% | 5906 | 16.5% | 23.8% | 278 | 4.7% | 1.38 |
| Oxfordshire | 74436 | 9.3% | 3890 | 5.2% | 57076 | 76.7% | 8.6% | 2996 | 5.2% | 4072 | 5.5% | 9.1% | 163 | 4.0% | 0.76 |
| Peterborough | 29317 | 15.9% | 1118 | 3.8% | 15482 | 52.8% | 17.8% | 603 | 3.9% | 1988 | 6.8% | 11.1% | 43 | 2.2% | 0.56 |
| Plymouth | 30735 | 18.1% | 973 | 3.2% | 27220 | 88.6% | 18.3% | 899 | 3.3% | 618 | 2.0% | 10.7% | 13 | 2.1% | 0.64 |
| Poole | 15923 | 10.4% | 479 | 3.0% | 13818 | 86.8% | 10.6% | 418 | 3.0% | 627 | 3.9% | 3.2% | 15 | 2.4% | 0.79 |
| Portsmouth | 21935 | 18.8% | 765 | 3.5% | 17073 | 77.8% | 19.8% | 650 | 3.8% | 1652 | 7.5% | 10.6% | 29 | 1.8% | 0.46 |
| Reading | 16583 | 15.3% | 297 | 1.8% | 7583 | 45.7% | 17.0% | 168 | 2.2% | 2592 | 15.6% | 6.2% | 23 | 0.9% | 0.40 |
| Redbridge | 42397 | 17.0% | 1865 | 4.4% | 6095 | 14.4% | 14.9% | 188 | 3.1% | 15452 | 36.4% | 10.4% | 553 | 3.6% | 1.16 |
| Redcar and Cleveland | 17540 | 20.5% | 1103 | 6.3% | 16662 | 95.0% | 20.4% | 1055 | 6.3% | 254 | 1.4% | 13.0% | 9 | | |
| Richmond upon Thames | 21349 | 8.6% | 447 | 2.1% | 12852 | 60.2% | 7.4% | 262 | 2.0% | 2173 | 10.2% | 7.9% | 41 | 1.9% | 0.93 |
| Rochdale | 29288 | 20.7% | 1376 | 4.7% | 18498 | 63.2% | 19.5% | 857 | 4.6% | 1989 | 6.8% | 26.0% | 89 | 4.5% | 0.97 |
| Rotherham | 36032 | 17.1% | 1215 | 3.4% | 29845 | 82.8% | 16.6% | 993 | 3.3% | 844 | 2.3% | 21.9% | 28 | 3.3% | 1.00 |
| Rutland | 4892 | 5.1% | 254 | 5.2% | 4504 | 92.1% | 5.2% | 237 | 5.3% | 83 | 1.7% | 3.6% | x | | |
| Salford | 28375 | 21.7% | 1635 | 5.8% | 21091 | 74.3% | 22.0% | 1315 | 6.2% | 759 | 2.7% | 14.1% | 22 | 2.9% | 0.46 |
| Sandwell | 45416 | 23.1% | 3495 | 7.7% | 21308 | 46.9% | 25.3% | 1906 | 8.9% | 9094 | 20.0% | 14.6% | 438 | 4.8% | 0.54 |
| Sefton | 32517 | 15.7% | 734 | 2.3% | 30069 | 92.5% | 15.9% | 700 | 2.3% | 509 | 1.6% | 9.0% | 3 | | |
| Sheffield | 64006 | 19.6% | 2528 | 3.9% | 42466 | 66.3% | 16.1% | 1585 | 3.7% | 3683 | 5.8% | 21.7% | 85 | 2.3% | 0.62 |
| Shropshire | 32237 | 9.6% | 1334 | 4.1% | 29612 | 91.9% | 9.6% | 1249 | 4.2% | 528 | 1.6% | 6.1% | 8 | | |
| Slough | 22733 | 11.6% | | 3.3% | | 17.6% | 17.4% | 211 | 5.3% | | 25.1% | 5.2% | 99 | 1.7% | 0.33 |
| Solihull | 31226 | 14.5% | 1158 | 3.7% | 23317 | 74.7% | 14.0% | 875 | 3.8% | 2604 | 8.3% | 8.4% | 57 | 2.2% | 0.58 |
| Somerset | 59688 | 10.4% | 1535 | 2.6% | 54021 | 90.5% | 10.5% | 1397 | 2.6% | 1144 | 1.9% | 5.5% | 12 | 1.0% | 0.41 |
| South Gloucestershire | 32888 | 9.0% | 1110 | 3.4% | 28089 | 85.4% | 8.7% | 992 | 3.5% | 1209 | 3.7% | 3.8% | 26 | 2.2% | 0.61 |
| South Tyneside | 17393 | 21.1% | 639 | 3.7% | 15848 | 91.1% | 21.1% | 574 | 3.6% | 680 | 3.9% | 19.7% | 27 | 4.0% | 1.10 |
| Southampton | 26380 | 18.8% | 1936 | 7.3% | 17494 | 66.3% | 21.4% | 1445 | 8.3% | 2939 | 11.1% | 11.5% | 128 | 4.4% | 0.53 |

| | Overall | | | | White British | | | | | Asian (exclusi | ng Pakistani) | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | Ν | MLD | | | | | MLD | |
| | N | | N | MLD | N | a | | (pupils | Incidence | N | | | N (pupils | Incidence | |
| | (pupils in LA) | LA % FSM | (pupils with MLD in LA) | Incidence (% in LA) | (pupils in LA in group) | % LA pupils | % FSM within group | with MLD in group) | (% within group) | (pupils in LA in group) | % LA pupils | % FSIVI within group | with MLD in group) | (% within group) | Risk Ratio |
| Local Authority | | LA 701 5101 | | (70 111 EA) | in group) | in group | within group | in group) | groupy | in group) | in group | within group | groupy | group) | Misk Natio |
| Southend-on-Sea | 23237 | 13.2% | 1161 | 5.0% | 17527 | 75.4% | 13.9% | 946 | 5.4% | 1601 | 6.9% | 6.2% | 29 | 1.8% | 0.34 |
| Southwark | 32807 | 23.9% | 1271 | 3.9% | 6621 | 20.2% | 20.8% | 267 | 4.0% | 2327 | 7.1% | | 62 | 2.7% | |
| St. Helens | 21510 | 17.9% | 1055 | 4.9% | 20414 | 94.9% | 18.2% | 1011 | 5.0% | 321 | 1.5% | | 7 | | |
| Staffordshire | 99876 | 10.6% | 4268 | 4.3% | 88773 | 88.9% | 10.2% | 3823 | 4.3% | 2787 | 2.8% | | 85 | 3.0% | 0.71 |
| Stockport | 34225 | 13.5% | 1461 | 4.3% | 28387 | 82.9% | 13.1% | 1275 | 4.5% | 1487 | 4.3% | | 39 | 2.6% | 0.58 |
| Stockton-on-Tees | 24526 | 19.7% | 1439 | 5.9% | 21730 | 88.6% | 19.1% | 1289 | 5.9% | 832 | 3.4% | | 39 | 4.7% | 0.79 |
| Stoke-on-Trent | 30677 | 22.2% | 2344 | 7.6% | 22067 | 71.9% | 22.6% | 1726 | 7.8% | 1832 | 6.0% | | 96 | 5.2% | 0.67 |
| Suffolk | 82794 | 12.6% | 2119 | 2.6% | 69669 | 84.1% | 12.6% | 1848 | 2.7% | 1956 | 2.4% | | 24 | 1.2% | 0.46 |
| Sunderland | 32842 | 21.7% | 1499 | 4.6% | 30231 | 92.0% | 22.0% | 1384 | 4.6% | 1395 | 4.2% | | 60 | 4.3% | |
| Surrey | 126564 | 7.5% | 4195 | 3.3% | 96893 | 76.6% | 7.4% | 3275 | 3.4% | 8627 | 6.8% | 4.0% | 167 | 1.9% | 0.57 |
| Sutton | 28224 | 11.6% | 567 | 2.0% | 14816 | 52.5% | 13.2% | 365 | 2.5% | 5430 | 19.2% | 5.2% | 40 | 0.7% | 0.30 |
| Swindon | 27468 | 12.7% | 1274 | 4.6% | 20359 | 74.1% | 13.4% | 1049 | 5.2% | 2879 | 10.5% | 6.0% | 75 | 2.6% | 0.51 |
| Tameside | 30254 | 19.8% | 1339 | 4.4% | 23875 | 78.9% | 19.2% | 1135 | 4.8% | 2199 | 7.3% | 21.0% | 55 | 2.5% | 0.53 |
| Telford and Wrekin | 23148 | 16.3% | 1449 | 6.3% | 18883 | 81.6% | 16.9% | 1230 | 6.5% | 1147 | 5.0% | 9.2% | 38 | 3.3% | 0.51 |
| Thurrock | 22661 | 14.6% | 1095 | 4.8% | 14979 | 66.1% | 16.6% | 859 | 5.7% | 1080 | 4.8% | 8.2% | 19 | 1.8% | 0.31 |
| Torbay | 15510 | 16.9% | 457 | 2.9% | 14093 | 90.9% | 17.5% | 431 | 3.1% | 379 | 2.4% | 6.3% | 5 | | |
| Tower Hamlets | 33842 | 38.5% | 868 | 2.6% | 3199 | 9.5% | 43.9% | 121 | 3.8% | 22377 | 66.1% | 37.7% | 532 | 2.4% | 0.63 |
| Trafford | 31731 | 10.3% | 1234 | 3.9% | 21976 | 69.3% | 9.4% | 856 | 3.9% | 3192 | 10.1% | 8.3% | 100 | 3.1% | 0.80 |
| Wakefield | 41367 | 14.9% | 1429 | 3.5% | 35894 | 86.8% | 15.2% | 1278 | 3.6% | 798 | 1.9% | 15.7% | 12 | 1.5% | 0.42 |
| Walsall | 38721 | 21.8% | 2527 | 6.5% | 24372 | 62.9% | 22.6% | 1777 | 7.3% | 5294 | 13.7% | 13.6% | 202 | 3.8% | 0.52 |
| Waltham Forest | 34168 | 17.7% | 1949 | 5.7% | 5815 | 17.0% | 14.5% | 290 | 5.0% | 4347 | 12.7% | 14.3% | 179 | 4.1% | 0.83 |
| Wandsworth | 24561 | 18.6% | 704 | 2.9% | 6532 | 26.6% | 14.8% | 185 | 2.8% | 2810 | 11.4% | 13.2% | 60 | 2.1% | 0.75 |
| Warrington | 26913 | 10.5% | 1250 | 4.6% | 23802 | 88.4% | 10.7% | 1116 | 4.7% | 856 | 3.2% | 6.4% | 21 | 2.5% | 0.52 |
| Warwickshire | 65410 | 9.1% | 3592 | 5.5% | 53878 | 82.4% | 9.3% | 3019 | 5.6% | 3989 | 6.1% | 4.2% | 127 | 3.2% | 0.57 |
| West Berkshire | 20712 | 7.3% | 300 | 1.4% | 17509 | 84.5% | 6.7% | 260 | 1.5% | 815 | 3.9% | 6.5% | x | | |
| West Sussex | 94292 | 8.5% | 3184 | 3.4% | 77620 | 82.3% | 8.5% | 2656 | 3.4% | 4535 | 4.8% | 4.8% | 87 | 1.9% | 0.56 |
| Westminster | 17440 | 28.5% | 557 | 3.2% | 2363 | 13.5% | 17.6% | 52 | 2.2% | 2644 | 15.2% | 24.3% | 77 | 2.9% | 1.32 |
| Wigan | 39700 | 14.7% | 2179 | 5.5% | 36676 | 92.4% | 14.4% | 2046 | 5.6% | 601 | 1.5% | 14.5% | 12 | 2.0% | 0.36 |
| Wiltshire | 55866 | 7.8% | 1887 | 3.4% | 49314 | 88.3% | 7.9% | 1700 | 3.4% | 1296 | 2.3% | 3.5% | 17 | 1.3% | 0.38 |
| Windsor and Maidenhead | 16960 | 6.4% | 479 | 2.8% | 11128 | 65.6% | 5.9% | 339 | 3.0% | 1468 | 8.7% | 3.6% | 14 | 1.0% | 0.31 |
| Wirral | 39877 | 18.4% | 1082 | 2.7% | 36642 | 91.9% | 19.0% | 1021 | 2.8% | 1348 | 3.4% | 6.3% | 23 | 1.7% | 0.61 |
| Wokingham | 20641 | 5.8% | 375 | 1.8% | 14456 | 70.0% | 5.4% | 275 | 1.9% | 2171 | 10.5% | 2.2% | 20 | 0.9% | 0.48 |
| Wolverhampton | 32091 | 23.3% | 2773 | 8.6% | 15702 | 48.9% | 25.1% | 1514 | 9.6% | 6525 | 20.3% | 12.7% | 360 | 5.5% | 0.57 |
| Worcestershire | 65175 | 11.9% | 2503 | 3.8% | 56536 | 86.7% | 11.7% | 2173 | 3.8% | 1769 | 2.7% | 8.0% | 37 | 2.1% | 0.54 |
| York | 20316 | 8.7% | 550 | 2.7% | 18060 | 88.9% | 8.9% | 507 | 2.8% | 628 | 3.1% | 3.3% | 10 | 1.6% | 0.57 |
| National (incl. all LAs) | 6505275 | 15.2% | 261069 | 4.0% | 4512620 | 69.4% | 14.1% | 184642 | 4.1% | 503656 | 7.7% | 12.8% | 13906 | 2.8% | 0.67 |

All-LA SEMH Table

The following pages report results across all LAs (with equivalent national statistics for comparison) for SEMH identification, focusing specifically on the combined Black Caribbean and Mixed White & Caribbean (BCRB/MWBC) group as previously described.

What this table tells you:

- 1) The first set of columns report the total number of pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of pupils entitled to FSM in the LA overall, the number of pupils identified with SEMH in the LA, and the incidence of SEMH identification in the LA.
- 2) The second set of columns reports the number of White British pupils in the LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of White British pupils in the LA the percentage of White British pupils entitled to FSM, the number of White British pupils identified with SEM, and the incidence amongst White British pupils of SEMH identification.
- 3) The third set of columns reports the number of BCRB/MWBC pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of pupils in the LA that this group constitutes, the percentage of pupils in this group entitled to FSM, the number of pupils in this group identified with SEMH, the incidence of SEMH identification amongst pupils in this group in the LA, and the Risk Ratio (relative to White British pupils) of identification with SEMH.

This facilitates comparisons across LAs for these ethnic group categories, and comparisons between LAs and the national equivalent statistics.

As a quick-start guide for looking at the data for specific LAs in this table, the distribution of risk ratios across all LAs is as follows:

| | BCRB/MWBC |
|---|-----------|
| Total LAs | 152 |
| N<10 in ethnic group with SEMH | 39 |
| Reported Risk Ratios* | 113 |
| LAs with RR <= 0.75 | 0 |
| LAs without apparent disproportionality | 29 |
| LAs with RR >= 1.33 | 84 |

*Recall that Risk Ratios are only reported when the number of pupils in an ethnic group with SEN identification is 10 or more.

From this, it is apparent that there is some variation across LAs; pupils in the BCRB/MWBC group are over-represented in the majority of LAs, not disproportionately represented in about a fourth of LAs for which results were reported, and not under-represented in any LAs.

When looking at the table, you may want to consider: Does the RR for your LA suggest overrepresentation (RR>=1.33), no disproportionality, or under-representation (RR<=0.75) for these groups for SEMH in particular for BCRB/MWBC pupils? How does this compare to the above distribution over all LAs?

Overall White British Black Caribbean & Mixed White & Caribbean Ν SEMH Ν SEMH Ν SEMH Ν % FSM (pupils with Incidence Ν % FSM (pupils with Incidence SEMH in (pupils with Incidence (pupils in LA % LA pupils within (% within (pupils in LA % LA pupils within SEMH in (% within LA % FSM SEMH in LA) N (pupils) (% in LA) in group) in group group group) group) in group) in group group group) group) Risk Ratio Local Authority Barking and Dagenham 33132 20.0% 1141 3.4% 8719 26.3% 30.4% 553 6.3% 1609 4.9% 29.3% 109 6.8% 1.07 Barnet 43852 16.0% 1286 2.9% 13286 30.3% 11.3% 519 3.9% 1516 3.5% 28.0% 114 7.5% 1.93 Barnsley 27405 20.6% 948 3.5% 25248 92.1% 20.5% 891 3.5% 99 0.4% 34.3% 11 11.1% 3.15 Bath and North East Somerset 21450 8.7% 2.6% 18466 86.1% 8.5% 487 2.6% 349 8.0% 3.04 563 1.6% 19.8% 28 Bedford 2.7% 22091 2.4% 347 47 4.2% 1.54 12.1% 535 12661 57.3% 9.8% 1116 5.1% 24.0% Bexley 947 4.6% 35179 12.0% 2.7% 20963 59.6% 12.7% 708 3.4% 939 2.7% 17.4% 43 1.36 Birmingham 158994 28.3% 4241 2.7% 25.8% 3.9% 699 5.9% 1.50 49808 31.3% 1960 11831 7.4% 36.7% Blackburn with Darwen 2.7% 22285 16.7% 608 10658 47.8% 18.9% 463 4.3% 51 0.2% 25.5% х Blackpool 4.1% 16560 25.6% 679 14866 89.8% 26.7% 636 4.3% 118 0.7% 33.9% 12 10.2% 2.38 Bolton 39894 17.6% 1142 2.9% 25810 64.7% 16.5% 957 3.7% 320 0.8% 24.1% 15 4.7% 1.26 Bournemouth 18818 13.1% 426 2.3% 14076 74.8% 14.0% 359 2.6% 255 1.4% 25.9% 13 5.1% 2.00 Bracknell Forest 14242 2.2% 7 8.6% 315 11254 79.0% 9.4% 271 2.4% 192 1.3% 18.8% ---Bradford 3.6% 4.7% 6.6% 1.41 78243 19.3% 2851 34305 43.8% 18.3% 1596 1232 1.6% 25.9% 81 Brent 38225 14.0% 2.2% 2697 4.5% 5.9% 1.33 840 7.1% 14.3% 121 3650 9.5% 25.4% 217 Brighton and Hove 27601 14.1% 1068 3.9% 20769 75.2% 14.4% 897 4.3% 489 1.8% 24.7% 1.47 31 6.3% Bristol, City of 46034 29296 36.9% 21.1% 1532 3.3% 63.6% 19.7% 1022 3.5% 2397 5.2% 186 7.8% 2.22 Bromley 39314 10.1% 1094 2.8% 25172 2.9% 4.9% 1.70 64.0% 9.3% 726 2368 6.0% 20.2% 116 Buckinghamshire 65865 6.6% 1178 1.8% 44355 67.3% 5.5% 816 1.8% 1921 2.9% 17.5% 102 5.3% 2.89 Bury 24889 15.2% 644 2.6% 18594 74.7% 13.6% 547 2.9% 386 1.6% 25.4% 17 4.4% 1.50 Calderdale 29233 14.4% 770 2.6% 22125 75.7% 13.0% 676 3.1% 385 1.3% 18.7% 15 3.9% 1.28 Cambridgeshire 70514 2.6% 2.8% 23 2.9% 1.04 9.8% 1825 55186 78.3% 10.1% 1538 794 1.1% 24.4% Camden 1.20 17336 27.8% 592 3.4% 3863 22.3% 24.2% 195 5.0% 1022 37.8% 62 6.1% 5.9% Central Bedfordshire 33583 2.8% 2.8% 4.9% 1.78 9.4% 933 28233 84.1% 8.9% 786 768 2.3% 16.7% 38 Cheshire East 42748 8 8.4% 722 1.7% 37862 88.6% 8.3% 663 1.8% 345 0.8% 19.7% ---Cheshire West and Chester 39766 932 2.3% 870 2.4% 165 9.7% 6 11.3% 36406 91.6% 11.4% 0.4% City of London 176 24.4% 9 38 21.6% 7.9% х 6 3.4% 66.7% х Cornwall 60692 11.9% 1715 2.8% 56290 92.7% 12.0% 1606 2.9% 275 0.5% 18.5% 13 4.7% 1.66 Coventry 43435 2.9% 835 83 6.2% 1.70 19.4% 1240 23011 53.0% 19.7% 3.6% 1345 3.1% 29.3% Croydon 45929 20.4% 1471 3.2% 13248 19.8% 4.3% 15.9% 392 5.4% 1.26 28.8% 565 7313 28.8% Cumbria 2.1% 56065 10.2% 1152 52793 94.2% 10.5% 1111 2.1% 91 0.2% 14.3% 4 ---Darlington 468 3.4% 3.6% 13572 17.5% 11948 88.0% 18.0% 433 70 0.5% 24.3% 4 Derby 34155 3.1% 17.9% 1073 20741 60.7% 17.5% 749 3.6% 1386 4.1% 26.6% 69 5.0% 1.38 Derbyshire 3.2% 5.5% 1.68 87889 13.2% 2841 81848 93.1% 13.2% 2673 3.3% 803 0.9% 23.8% 44 Devon 81099 13.3% 3467 4.3% 74608 92.0% 13.5% 3253 4.4% 326 0.4% 25.8% 32 9.8% 2.25 Doncaster 37669 17.6% 827 2.2% 32170 85.4% 17.9% 757 2.4% 284 0.8% 20.8% 8 Dorset 45771 13.0% 1326 2.9% 41869 12.9% 1234 2.9% 13 91.5% 237 0.5% 25.7% 5.5% 1.86 Dudlev 40055 16.9% 989 2.5% 30855 2.6% 1370 3.4% 28.8% 71 5.2% 1.97 77.0% 15.2% 813 Durham 57713 19.9% 1699 2.9% 55024 1639 3.0% 9 95.3% 20.1% 119 0.2% 28.6% Ealing 40831 16.1% 3.1% 6199 4.1% 2641 27.8% 248 9.4% 2.28 1262 15.2% 12.6% 255 6.5%

Overall White British Black Caribbean & Mixed White & Caribbean Ν SEMH Ν SEMH Ν SEMH Ν % FSM (pupils with Incidence Ν % FSM (pupils with Incidence SEMH in (pupils with Incidence (pupils in LA % LA pupils within (% within (pupils in LA % LA pupils within SEMH in (% within LA % FSM SEMH in LA) N (pupils) (% in LA) in group) in group group group) group) in group) in group group group) group) Risk Ratio Local Authority East Riding of Yorkshire 37908 11.9% 624 1.6% 35345 93.2% 11.9% 599 1.7% 118 0.3% 19.5% x East Sussex 56896 13.2% 1386 2.4% 49180 86.4% 13.0% 1232 2.5% 523 0.9% 26.6% 24 4.6% 1.83 Enfield 45573 18.8% 1630 3.6% 8992 19.7% 16.3% 428 4.8% 3869 8.5% 24.5% 294 7.6% 1.60 Essex 171466 11.1% 4040 2.4% 143717 83.8% 11.2% 3547 2.5% 2492 20.5% 80 3.2% 1.30 1.5% Gateshead 2.7% 20357 22613 17.3% 600 90.0% 17.1% 566 2.8% 42 0.2% 16.7% х Gloucestershire 2.3% 5.0% 71237 10.2% 1618 60326 84.7% 10.0% 1418 2.4% 1249 1.8% 23.8% 63 2.15 Greenwich 2.9% 470 4.4% 77 4.1% 0.92 31881 19.6% 922 10644 33.4% 24.6% 1888 5.9% 26.7% Hackney 27041 33.0% 1107 4.1% 4259 15.8% 18.4% 167 3.9% 3847 14.2% 45.0% 316 8.2% 2.09 Halton 16300 28.5% 510 3.1% 15381 94.4% 28.7% 484 3.1% 84 0.5% 27.4% х ---Hammersmith and Fulham 15307 22.6% 565 3.7% 4142 27.1% 16.2% 169 4.1% 1567 10.2% 36.9% 124 7.9% 1.94 Hampshire 153538 8.9% 3693 2.4% 87.6% 9.0% 2.5% 1150 0.7% 50 4.3% 1.74 134531 3361 16.2% Haringev 3.7% 3.3% 8.6% 2.59 30434 22.0% 1111 5766 18.9% 8.7% 192 3599 11.8% 32.0% 310 Harrow 2.0% 12.4% 28671 11.0% 572 3565 12.4% 107 3.0% 1460 5.1% 21.2% 105 7.2% 2.40 Hartlepool 2.7% 2.7% 12113 23.9% 324 11416 94.2% 24.0% 307 0.1% 31.3% 16 х Havering 32773 12.3% 357 1.1% 22059 278 1.3% 1.27 67.3% 12.7% 1307 4.0% 17.7% 21 1.6% Herefordshire 19958 3.0% 9.1% 604 17812 89.2% 9.2% 562 3.2% 67 0.3% 20.9% х Hertfordshire 2.7% 148782 8.7% 4045 106780 71.8% 8.7% 3191 3.0% 3712 2.5% 16.9% 186 5.0% 1.68 Hillingdon 39407 15.5% 720 1.8% 14033 35.6% 16.4% 364 2.6% 1629 4.1% 24.4% 54 3.3% 1.28 Hounslow 32490 15.6% 1344 4.1% 6902 21.2% 20.5% 527 7.6% 1021 3.1% 26.9% 79 7.7% 1.01 Isle of Wiaht 14227 13.9% 458 3.2% 13203 92.8% 14.2% 431 3.3% 100 0.7% 16.0% 4 Isles of Scilly 5 235 2.6% 184 78.3% 2.2% х х 0.9% 0.0% х ---Islington 3.7% 3.8% 159 8.4% 2.22 19300 31.9% 708 5221 27.1% 31.0% 197 1901 9.8% 40.7% Kensington and Chelsea 10017 3.0% 2.8% 8.5% 3.06 21.6% 303 2057 20.5% 16.9% 57 779 7.8% 31.8% 66 Kent 183547 2.9% 4.3% 1.46 12.1% 4988 2.7% 149663 81.5% 12.7% 4409 1931 1.1% 18.0% 83 Kingston upon Hull, City of 31432 752 2.6% 25.1% 2.4% 25840 82.2% 26.3% 670 80 0.3% 37.5% 3 Kingston upon Thames 19072 9.0% 332 1.7% 8877 46.5% 8.5% 195 2.2% 432 2.3% 24.1% 19 4.4% 2.00 Kirklees 55687 20.6% 1163 2.1% 34046 61.1% 18.1% 878 2.6% 1864 3.3% 36.0% 79 4.2% 1.64 Knowsley 32.5% 606 3.7% 3.8% 16164 15126 93.6% 33.1% 570 69 0.4% 34.8% х Lambeth 29364 26.3% 1109 3.8% 4106 14.0% 15.9% 130 3.2% 6078 20.7% 420 6.9% 36.1% 2.18 Lancashire 2.0% 144891 14.1% 2901 117789 81.3% 14.1% 2616 2.2% 1031 0.7% 19.5% 30 2.9% 1.31 Leeds 93823 17.5% 2.9% 7.6% 2.53 2762 63515 67.7% 16.3% 1909 3.0% 2524 2.7% 28.8% 192 Leicester 2.8% 7.4% 1.42 44625 19.1% 1270 12939 29.0% 29.6% 678 5.2% 1456 3.3% 31.7% 108 Leicestershire 1.7% 2.06 80348 8.2% 1339 66605 82.9% 8.4% 1201 1.8% 1187 1.5% 14.8% 44 3.7% Lewisham 33307 20.3% 847 2.5% 7314 22.0% 20.1% 194 2.7% 7063 21.2% 26.6% 325 4.6% 1.73 Lincolnshire 85738 12.8% 2082 2.4% 74994 87.5% 13.5% 1901 2.5% 473 0.6% 22.4% 11 2.3% 0.92 Liverpool 53960 27.4% 1791 3.3% 41423 76.8% 3.5% 431 27 1.77 26.5% 1470 0.8% 46.4% 6.3% Luton 32414 17.2% 910 2.8% 7742 23.9% 18.4% 349 4.5% 25.5% 1.42 2163 6.7% 138 6.4% Manchester 65210 27.8% 2156 3.3% 25252 38.7% 4.7% 209 6.8% 1.46 31.4% 1181 3064 4.7% 35.1% Medway 35756 13.6% 1491 4.2% 27343 4.7% 21.4% 43 1.25 76.5% 14.2% 1282 735 2.1% 5.9%

Overall White British Black Caribbean & Mixed White & Caribbean Ν SEMH Ν SEMH Ν SEMH Ν % FSM (pupils with Incidence Ν % FSM (pupils with Incidence SEMH in (pupils with Incidence (pupils in LA % LA pupils within (% within (pupils in LA % LA pupils within SEMH in (% within LA % FSM SEMH in LA) N (pupils) (% in LA) in group) in group group group) group) in group) in group group group) group) Risk Ratio Local Authority Merton 3.5% 21986 15.9% 766 7024 31.9% 17.5% 311 4.4% 1563 7.1% 27.7% 115 7.4% 1.66 Middlesbrough 18350 31.0% 653 3.6% 13793 75.2% 31.6% 571 4.1% 96 0.5% 42.7% 6 Milton Keynes 36500 11.4% 860 2.4% 21545 59.0% 11.9% 657 3.0% 978 2.7% 16.3% 28 2.9% 0.94 Newcastle upon Tyne 30490 25.0% 913 3.0% 21600 70.8% 803 3.7% 22.4% 27.0% 98 0.3% х Newham 47827 3.5% 10.3% 193 7.9% 0.77 21.7% 1673 2835 5.9% 39.6% 292 2446 5.1% 33.6% Norfolk 81313 4.2% 93903 13.5% 3087 3.3% 86.6% 13.7% 2808 3.5% 524 0.6% 24.8% 22 1.22 North East Lincolnshire 1.7% 19673 17.9% 1.6% 18179 323 92.4% 18.5% 311 64 0.3% 21.9% х North Lincolnshire 20691 14.2% 406 2.0% 17912 86.6% 14.6% 382 2.1% 51 0.2% 25.5% х North Somerset 2.7% 24895 9.9% 678 22368 89.8% 10.0% 622 2.8% 186 0.7% 20.4% 11 5.9% 2.13 North Tyneside 24077 14.8% 582 2.4% 22029 91.5% 14.9% 559 2.5% 60 0.2% 25.0% х North Yorkshire 67652 7.6% 1352 2.0% 61992 91.6% 7.7% 1276 2.1% 300 0.4% 13.7% 5 Northamptonshire 93159 2.4% 2.7% 13.0% 2269 71098 76.3% 13.1% 1910 2202 2.4% 26.0% 96 4.4% 1.62 Northumberland 3.7% 7 36031 13.3% 1346 34231 95.0% 13.5% 1294 3.8% 94 0.3% 23.4% Nottingham 35040 26.2% 4.0% 17118 29.8% 792 4.6% 225 6.7% 1386 48.9% 3350 9.6% 35.3% 1.45 Nottinghamshire 93984 12.7% 1700 1.8% 81044 1.9% 1.7% 44 2.7% 1.43 86.2% 13.0% 1533 1626 18.8% Oldham 35816 19.5% 19967 579 1.42 819 2.3% 55.7% 16.8% 2.9% 581 1.6% 26.0% 24 4.1% Oxfordshire 74436 9.3% 3.0% 3.1% 7.1% 2.29 2210 57076 76.7% 8.6% 1769 1406 1.9% 24.7% 100 Peterborough 29317 15.9% 701 2.4% 15482 52.8% 17.8% 513 3.3% 585 2.0% 26.5% 19 3.2% 0.98 Plymouth 30735 18.1% 1507 4.9% 27220 88.6% 18.3% 1406 5.2% 195 0.6% 28.2% 15 7.7% 1.49 Poole 7 15923 10.4% 612 3.8% 13818 86.8% 10.6% 564 4.1% 121 0.8% 24.0% Portsmouth 3.1% 3.6% 21935 18.8% 686 17073 77.8% 19.8% 617 218 1.0% 22.9% 10 4.6% 1.27 Reading 1.56 16583 15.3% 624 3.8% 7583 45.7% 17.0% 396 5.2% 984 80 8.1% 5.9% 36.1% Redbridge 42397 2.4% 3.9% 6.2% 1.61 17.0% 1033 6095 14.4% 14.9% 236 2275 5.4% 31.6% 142 Redcar and Cleveland 17540 20.5% 769 4.4% 16662 95.0% 20.4% 728 4.4% 53 0.3% 28.3% х ---Richmond upon Thames 21349 8.6% 547 2.6% 12852 3.0% 570 38 6.7% 2.24 60.2% 7.4% 383 2.7% 21.9% Rochdale 2.9% 29288 20.7% 645 2.2% 18498 63.2% 19.5% 534 204 0.7% 36.8% 7 Rotherham 36032 17.1% 881 2.4% 29845 82.8% 16.6% 777 2.6% 268 0.7% 19.8% 16 6.0% 2.29 Rutland 4892 5.1% 73 1.5% 4504 92.1% 5.2% 69 1.5% 40 0.8% 7.5% х Salford 28375 3.8% 4.2% 24.4% 17 5.4% 1.28 21.7% 1069 21091 74.3% 22.0% 896 312 1.1% Sandwell 3.5% 4.6% 45416 23.1% 1610 21308 46.9% 25.3% 977 3431 7.6% 31.0% 220 6.4% 1.40 Sefton 2.0% 2.0% 32517 15.7% 649 30069 92.5% 15.9% 615 110 0.3% 27.3% х Sheffield 2.7% 64006 19.6% 1724 42466 66.3% 16.1% 1191 2.8% 2196 3.4% 34.1% 113 5.1% 1.83 Shropshire 2.0% 6 32237 9.6% 643 29612 91.9% 9.6% 601 2.0% 204 0.6% 18.6% Slough 22733 11.6% 754 3.3% 3992 17.6% 17.4% 267 6.7% 673 3.0% 23.5% 56 8.3% 1.24 Solihull 31226 14.5% 932 3.0% 23317 74.7% 14.0% 736 3.2% 1448 4.6% 29.4% 61 4.2% 1.33 Somerset 59688 10.4% 1917 3.2% 54021 1755 3.2% 1.57 90.5% 10.5% 294 0.5% 15.0% 15 5.1% South Gloucestershire 32888 9.0% 810 2.5% 28089 2.5% 1.9% 26 4.3% 1.72 85.4% 8.7% 697 610 24.6% South Tyneside 17393 556 3.2% 15848 35 21.1% 91.1% 21.1% 517 3.3% 0.2% 25.7% х Southampton 26380 18.8% 1142 4.3% 17494 5.5% 491 1.9% 33.4% 30 6.1% 1.11 66.3% 21.4% 959

| Table 9: SEIVIH All-LA Des | | | | 03 (NN), | | 3 5-10), 20 | 10 | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|----------|--------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Overall | | | | White British | | | N | CENAL | Black Caribbe | an & Mixed Wl | hite & Caribl | | CENAL | |
| | | | N | SEMH | N | | % FSM | N (pupils with | SEMH Incidence | N | | 0/ ECNA | N (pupils with | SEMH Incidence | |
| | | | (pupils with | | (pupils in LA | %IA nunils | within | SEMH in | | (pupils in LA | % LA pupils | within | SEMH in | (% within | |
| | N (pupils) | LA % FSM | SEMH in LA) | (% in LA) | in group) | in group | group | group) | group) | in group) | in group | group | group) | group) | Risk Ratio |
| Local Authority | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Southend-on-Sea | 23237 | 13.2% | 532 | 2.3% | 17527 | 75.4% | 13.9% | 460 | 2.6% | 359 | 1.5% | 22.3% | 13 | 3.6% | 1.38 |
| Southwark | 32807 | 23.9% | 1119 | 3.4% | 6621 | 20.2% | 20.8% | 260 | 3.9% | 4136 | 12.6% | 33.3% | 239 | 5.8% | |
| St. Helens | 21510 | 17.9% | 767 | 3.6% | 20414 | 94.9% | 18.2% | 739 | 3.6% | 82 | 0.4% | 22.0% | 9 | | - |
| Staffordshire | 99876 | 10.6% | 1724 | 1.7% | 88773 | 88.9% | 10.6% | 1599 | 1.8% | 1114 | 1.1% | 20.2% | 30 | 2.7% | 1.50 |
| Stockport | 34225 | 13.5% | 1071 | 3.1% | 28387 | 82.9% | 13.1% | 940 | 3.3% | 513 | 1.5% | 18.9% | 33 | 6.4% | 1.94 |
| Stockton-on-Tees | 24526 | 19.7% | 706 | 2.9% | 21730 | 88.6% | 19.1% | 673 | 3.1% | 56 | 0.2% | 26.8% | х | | - |
| Stoke-on-Trent | 30677 | 22.2% | 853 | 2.8% | 22067 | 71.9% | 22.6% | 726 | 3.3% | 404 | 1.3% | 40.3% | | 5.9% | 1.81 |
| Suffolk | 82794 | 12.6% | 1842 | 2.2% | 69669 | 84.1% | 12.6% | 1635 | 2.3% | 1290 | 1.6% | 21.7% | 50 | 3.9% | |
| Sunderland | 32842 | 21.7% | 1088 | 3.3% | 30231 | 92.0% | 22.0% | 1056 | 3.5% | 58 | 0.2% | 29.3% | 4 | | |
| Surrey | 126564 | 7.5% | 3330 | 2.6% | 96893 | 76.6% | 7.4% | 2748 | 2.8% | 1597 | 1.3% | 15.7% | 74 | 4.6% | 1.63 |
| Sutton | 28224 | 11.6% | 766 | 2.7% | 14816 | 52.5% | 13.2% | 555 | 3.7% | 1031 | 3.7% | 21.2% | 40 | 3.9% | 1.04 |
| Swindon | 27468 | 12.7% | 939 | 3.4% | 20359 | 74.1% | 13.4% | 819 | 4.0% | 455 | 1.7% | 25.7% | 21 | 4.6% | 1.15 |
| Tameside | 30254 | 19.8% | 734 | 2.4% | 23875 | 78.9% | 19.2% | 650 | 2.7% | 276 | 0.9% | 31.9% | 12 | 4.3% | 1.60 |
| Telford and Wrekin | 23148 | 16.3% | 802 | 3.5% | 18883 | 81.6% | 16.9% | 721 | 3.8% | 456 | 2.0% | 25.9% | 22 | 4.8% | 1.26 |
| Thurrock | 22661 | 14.6% | 594 | 2.6% | 14979 | 66.1% | 16.6% | 469 | 3.1% | 537 | 2.4% | 22.3% | 19 | 3.5% | 1.13 |
| Torbay | 15510 | 16.9% | 847 | 5.5% | 14093 | 90.9% | 17.5% | 802 | 5.7% | 101 | 0.7% | 27.7% | 8 | | - |
| Tower Hamlets | 33842 | 38.5% | 1313 | 3.9% | 3199 | 9.5% | 43.9% | 325 | 10.2% | 1162 | 3.4% | 47.8% | 120 | 10.3% | 1.02 |
| Trafford | 31731 | 10.3% | 724 | 2.3% | 21976 | 69.3% | 9.4% | 566 | 2.6% | 861 | 2.7% | 17.0% | 32 | 3.7% | 1.44 |
| Wakefield | 41367 | 14.9% | 1266 | 3.1% | 35894 | 86.8% | 15.2% | 1168 | 3.3% | 217 | 0.5% | 19.8% | 9 | | - |
| Walsall | 38721 | 21.8% | 649 | 1.7% | 24372 | 62.9% | 22.6% | 488 | 2.0% | 1630 | 4.2% | 30.0% | 35 | 2.1% | 1.07 |
| Waltham Forest | 34168 | 17.7% | 1433 | 4.2% | 5815 | 17.0% | 14.5% | 301 | 5.2% | 3512 | 10.3% | 25.8% | 294 | 8.4% | 1.62 |
| Wandsworth | 24561 | 18.6% | 1204 | 4.9% | 6532 | 26.6% | 14.8% | 343 | 5.3% | 2805 | 11.4% | 32.3% | 323 | 11.5% | 2.19 |
| Warrington | 26913 | 10.5% | 723 | 2.7% | 23802 | 88.4% | 10.7% | 671 | 2.8% | 136 | 0.5% | 15.4% | 3 | | |
| Warwickshire | 65410 | 9.1% | 1682 | 2.6% | 53878 | 82.4% | 9.3% | 1459 | 2.7% | 936 | 1.4% | 20.0% | 43 | 4.6% | 1.70 |
| West Berkshire | 20712 | 7.3% | 388 | 1.9% | 17509 | 84.5% | 6.7% | 334 | 1.9% | 363 | 1.8% | 21.5% | 17 | 4.7% | 2.46 |
| West Sussex | 94292 | 8.5% | 2775 | 2.9% | 77620 | 82.3% | 8.5% | 2395 | 3.1% | 853 | 0.9% | 17.6% | 52 | 6.1% | 1.98 |
| Westminster | 17440 | 28.5% | 857 | 4.9% | 2363 | 13.5% | 17.6% | 116 | 4.9% | 960 | 5.5% | 29.6% | 84 | 8.8% | 1.78 |
| Wigan | 39700 | 14.7% | 1217 | 3.1% | 36676 | 92.4% | 14.4% | 1134 | 3.1% | 152 | 0.4% | 24.3% | 10 | 6.6% | 2.13 |
| Wiltshire | 55866 | 7.8% | 1474 | 2.6% | 49314 | 88.3% | 7.9% | 1343 | 2.7% | 609 | 1.1% | 14.1% | 27 | 4.4% | 1.63 |
| Windsor and Maidenhead | 16960 | 6.4% | 448 | 2.6% | 11128 | 65.6% | 5.9% | 337 | 3.0% | 248 | 1.5% | 17.7% | 12 | 4.8% | 1.60 |
| Wirral | 39877 | 18.4% | 1436 | 3.6% | 36642 | 91.9% | 19.0% | 1373 | 3.7% | 180 | 0.5% | 23.9% | 10 | 5.6% | 1.48 |
| Wokingham | 20641 | 5.8% | 471 | 2.3% | 14456 | 70.0% | 5.4% | 364 | 2.5% | 409 | 2.0% | 15.2% | 21 | 5.1% | 2.04 |
| Wolverhampton | 32091 | 23.3% | 820 | 2.6% | 15702 | 48.9% | 25.1% | 483 | 3.1% | 3565 | 11.1% | 36.6% | 157 | 4.4% | |
| Worcestershire | 65175 | 11.9% | 1882 | 2.9% | 56536 | 86.7% | 11.7% | 1670 | 3.0% | 759 | 1.2% | 23.1% | 38 | 5.0% | |
| York | 20316 | 8.7% | 534 | 2.6% | 18060 | 88.9% | 8.9% | 497 | 2.8% | 81 | 0.4% | 23.5% | | | |
| National (incl. all LAs) | 6505275 | 15.2% | 181013 | 2.8% | 4512620 | 69.4% | 14.1% | 134939 | 3.0% | 176431 | 2.7% | 28.4% | 10392 | 5.9% | 1.9 |

All-LA ASD Table

The following pages report results across all LAs (with equivalent national statistics for comparison) for ASD identification, focusing specifically on the combined Asian (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, and Asian Other) group as previously described.

What this table tells you:

- The first set of columns report the overall number of pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of pupils with FSM entitlement in each LA overall, the number of pupils identified with ASD in each LA, and the total incidence of ASD identification in each LA overall.
- 2) The second set of columns reports the number of White British pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of White British pupils in the LA, the percentage of White British pupils entitled to FSM, the number of White British pupils identified with ASD, and the incidence amongst White British pupils of identification with ASD.
- 3) The third set of columns reports the number of Asian (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi and Asian Other) pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of pupils in the LA that this group constitutes, the percentage of pupils in this group entitled to FSM, the number of pupils in this group identified with ASD, the incidence of ASD identification amongst pupils in this group, and the Risk Ratio (relative to White British pupils) of identification with ASD.

This facilitates comparisons across LAs for these ethnic group categories, and comparisons between LAs and the national equivalent statistics.

As a quick-start guide for looking at the data for specific LAs in this table, the distribution of risk ratios across all LAs is as follows:

| | Asian (as defined above) |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Total LAs | 152 |
| LAs with N<10 in ethnic group with ASD | 58 |
| LAs with Reported Risk Ratios* | 94 |
| LAs with RR <= 0.75 | 79 |
| LAs without apparent disproportionality | 12 |
| LAs with RR >= 1.33 | 3 |

*Recall that Risk Ratios are only reported when the number of pupils in an ethnic group with SEN identification is 10 or more.

From this, it is apparent that there is some variation across LAs; pupils in the Asian (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, and Asian Other) group are under-represented in most LAs, not disproportionately represented in about one-eighth of LAs with reported RRs, and over-represented in three LAs.

When looking at the table, you may want to consider: Does the RR for your LA suggest overrepresentation (RR>=1.33), no disproportionality, or under-representation (RR<=0.75) for these groups for ASD in particular for Asian (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, and Asian Other) pupils? How does this compare to the above distribution over all LAs?

| | Overall | | | | White British | | | | | Asian (Indian, | Pakistani, Ban | gladeshi, Any | other Asian) | | |
|------------------------------|------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | N | ASD | | | | N | ASD | |
| | | | N (pupils with | ASD | N (pupils in LA | % LA pupils | (% FSM | pupils with ASD in | Incidence (% within | N (pupils in LA | % LA pupils | % FSM | (pupils with ASD in | Incidence (% within | |
| | N (pupils) | LA % FSM | ASD in LA) | (% in LA) | in group) | | within group | group) | group) | in group) | | within group | group) | group) | Risk Ratio |
| Local Authority | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Barking and Dagenham | 33132 | 20.0% | 381 | 1.1% | 8719 | 26.3% | 30.4% | 109 | 1.3% | 6462 | 19.5% | 11.6% | 49 | 0.8% | 0.61 |
| Barnet | 43852 | 16.0% | 609 | 1.4% | 13286 | 30.3% | 11.3% | 197 | 1.5% | 5401 | 12.3% | 11.3% | 56 | 1.0% | 0.70 |
| Barnsley | 27405 | 20.6% | 330 | 1.2% | 25248 | 92.1% | 20.5% | 306 | 1.2% | 127 | 0.5% | 33.1% | х | | |
| Bath and North East Somerset | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 21450 | 8.7% | 312 | 1.5% | 18466 | 86.1% | 8.5% | 279 | 1.5% | 333 | 1.6% | 4.2% | x | | |
| Bedford | 22091 | 12.1% | 286 | 1.3% | 12661 | 57.3% | 9.8% | 188 | 1.5% | 3363 | 15.2% | 15.2% | 22 | 0.7% | |
| Bexley | 35179 | 12.0% | 695 | 2.0% | 20963 | 59.6% | 12.7% | 475 | 2.3% | 2377 | 6.8% | 6.7% | 24 | 1.0% | |
| Birmingham | 158994 | 28.3% | 2806 | 1.8% | 49808 | 31.3% | 25.8% | 1433 | 2.9% | 59523 | 37.4% | 26.1% | 641 | 1.1% | |
| Blackburn with Darwen | 22285 | 16.7% | 127 | 0.6% | 10658 | 47.8% | 18.9% | 63 | 0.6% | 9733 | 43.7% | 13.5% | 56 | 0.6% | 0.97 |
| Blackpool | 16560 | 25.6% | 151 | 0.9% | 14866 | 89.8% | 26.7% | 135 | 0.9% | 300 | 1.8% | 11.3% | 3 | | |
| Bolton | 39894 | 17.6% | 366 | 0.9% | 25810 | 64.7% | 16.5% | 285 | 1.1% | 8609 | 21.6% | 13.5% | 34 | 0.4% | 0.36 |
| Bournemouth | 18818 | 13.1% | 267 | 1.4% | 14076 | 74.8% | 14.0% | 225 | 1.6% | 561 | 3.0% | 5.7% | х | | |
| Bracknell Forest | 14242 | 8.6% | 220 | 1.5% | 11254 | 79.0% | 9.4% | 198 | 1.8% | 801 | 5.6% | 2.6% | 5 | | |
| Bradford | 78243 | 19.3% | 795 | 1.0% | 34305 | 43.8% | 18.3% | 480 | 1.4% | 33325 | 42.6% | 19.4% | 224 | 0.7% | |
| Brent | 38225 | 14.0% | 447 | 1.2% | 2697 | 7.1% | 14.3% | 38 | 1.4% | 11222 | 29.4% | 6.8% | 87 | 0.8% | 0.55 |
| Brighton and Hove | 27601 | 14.1% | 291 | 1.1% | 20769 | 75.2% | 14.4% | 229 | 1.1% | 961 | 3.5% | 12.1% | 8 | | |
| Bristol, City of | 46034 | 21.1% | 651 | 1.4% | 29296 | 63.6% | 19.7% | 432 | 1.5% | 3524 | 7.7% | 16.6% | 37 | 1.0% | |
| Bromley | 39314 | 10.1% | 596 | 1.5% | 25172 | 64.0% | 9.3% | 410 | 1.6% | 2055 | 5.2% | 4.1% | 20 | 1.0% | 0.60 |
| Buckinghamshire | 65865 | 6.6% | 692 | 1.1% | 44355 | 67.3% | 5.5% | 516 | 1.2% | 10544 | 16.0% | 8.6% | 63 | 0.6% | |
| Bury | 24889 | 15.2% | 208 | 0.8% | 18594 | 74.7% | 13.6% | 169 | 0.9% | 3388 | 13.6% | 16.5% | 13 | 0.4% | |
| | 29233 | 14.4% | 236 | 0.8% | 22125 | 75.7% | 13.0% | 191 | 0.9% | 4649 | 15.9% | 19.1% | 24 | 0.5% | 0.60 |
| Cambridgeshire | 70514 | 9.8% | 922 | 1.3% | 55186 | 78.3% | 10.1% | 748 | 1.4% | 2574 | 3.7% | 5.7% | 22 | 0.9% | |
| Camden | 17336 | 27.8% | 250 | 1.4% | 3863 | 22.3% | 24.2% | 67 | 1.7% | 3395 | 19.6% | 28.3% | 38 | 1.1% | 0.65 |
| Central Bedfordshire | 33583 | 9.4% | 547 | 1.6% | 28233 | 84.1% | 8.9% | 481 | 1.7% | 717 | 2.1% | 6.6% | 6 | | |
| Cheshire East | 42748 | 8.4% | 355 | 0.8% | 37862 | 88.6% | 8.3% | 320 | 0.8% | 741 | 1.7% | 6.2% | 4 | | |
| Cheshire West and Chester | 39766 | 11.3% | 526 | 1.3% | 36406 | 91.6% | 11.4% | 473 | 1.3% | 522 | 1.3% | 5.9% | 10 | 1.9% | 1.47 |
| City of London | 176 | 24.4% | 7 | | 38 | 21.6% | 7.9% | 3 | | 58 | 33.0% | 22.4% | x | | |
| Cornwall | 60692 | 11.9% | 713 | 1.2% | 56290 | 92.7% | 12.0% | 672 | 1.2% | 223 | 0.4% | 4.9% | X | | |
| Coventry | 43435 | 19.4% | 1015 | 2.3% | 23011 | 53.0% | 19.7% | 748 | 3.3% | 8391 | 19.3% | 14.9% | 87 | 1.0% | |
| Croydon Cumbria | 45929 | 20.4% | 735 | 1.6% | 13248 | 28.8% | 19.8% | 274 | 2.1% | 6881 | 15.0% | 11.5% | 78 | 1.1% | 0.55 |
| | 56065 | 10.2% | 651 | 1.2% | 52793 | 94.2% | 10.5% | 621 | 1.2% | 387 | 0.7% | 6.7% | 4 | | |
| Darlington Derby | 13572 | 17.5% | 206 | 1.5% | 11948 | 88.0% | 18.0% | 181 | 1.5% | 336 | 2.5% | 14.0% | 8 | | |
| Derbyshire | 34155 | 17.9% | 467 | 1.4% | 20741 | 60.7% | 17.5% | 331 | 1.6% | 5995 | 17.6% | 15.9% | 49 | 0.8% | |
| Derbysnite | 87889 | 13.2% | 1164 | 1.3% | 81848 | 93.1% | 13.2% | 1074 | 1.3% | 915 | 1.0% | 6.2% | 7 | | |
| Doncaster | 81099 | 13.3% | 847 | 1.0% | 74608 | 92.0% | 13.5% | 784 | 1.1% | 476 | 0.6% | 5.3% | 4 | | |
| Dorset | 37669 | 17.6% | 602 | 1.6% | 32170 | 85.4% | 17.9% | 550 | 1.7% | 1073 | 2.8% | 13.5% | 12 | 1.1% | |
| Dudley | 45771 | 13.0% | 749 | 1.6% | 41869 | 91.5% | 12.9% | 668 | 1.6% | 391 | 0.9% | 6.1% | x | | |
| Dudley Durham | 40055 | 16.9% | 386 | 1.0% | 30855 | 77.0% | 15.2% | 319 | 1.0% | 3984 | 9.9% | 15.9% | 20 | 0.5% | 0.49 |
| | 57713 | 19.9% | 722 | 1.3% | 55024 | 95.3% | 20.1% | 685 | 1.2% | 392 | 0.7% | 8.7% | 8 | | |
| Ealing | 40831 | 16.1% | 416 | 1.0% | 6199 | 15.2% | 12.6% | 80 | 1.3% | 11562 | 28.3% | 11.9% | 85 | 0.7% | 0.57 |

| | Overall | | | | White British | ,, | | | | Asian (Indian, | Pakistani, Ban | ngladeshi, Any | other Asian) | | |
|-----------------------------|------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | N | ASD | | | | N | ASD | |
| | | | N (pupils with | ASD | N (pupils in LA | % LA pupils | % FSM | pupils with (pupils with) ASD in | Incidence | N (pupils in LA | % LA pupils | % FSM | (pupils with ASD in | Incidence (% within | |
| | N (pupils) | LA % FSM | ASD in LA) | (% in LA) | in group) | | within group | group) | group) | in group) | | within group | group) | (% within group) | Risk Ratio |
| Local Authority | (P + P 7 | | - / | 1. 7 | 5 17 | 5 * 1 | 5 5 7 | 5 - 17 | 5 - 17 | 5 | 5 ** 1 | 5 5 7 | 5 - 17 | 5 | |
| East Riding of Yorkshire | 37908 | 11.9% | 287 | 0.8% | 35345 | 93.2% | 11.9% | 272 | 0.8% | 164 | 0.4% | 2.4% | x | | |
| East Sussex | 56896 | 13.2% | 762 | 1.3% | 49180 | 86.4% | 13.0% | 665 | 1.4% | 1054 | 1.9% | 7.3% | 10 | 0.9% | 0.70 |
| Enfield | 45573 | 18.8% | 572 | 1.3% | 8992 | 19.7% | 16.3% | 114 | 1.3% | 3703 | 8.1% | 12.6% | 35 | 0.9% | 0.75 |
| Essex | 171466 | 11.1% | 2128 | 1.2% | 143717 | 83.8% | 11.2% | 1820 | 1.3% | 4053 | 2.4% | 4.9% | 41 | 1.0% | 0.80 |
| Gateshead | 22613 | 17.3% | 302 | 1.3% | 20357 | 90.0% | 17.1% | 266 | 1.3% | 472 | 2.1% | 15.5% | 5 | | |
| Gloucestershire | 71237 | 10.2% | 470 | 0.7% | 60326 | 84.7% | 10.0% | 401 | 0.7% | 1942 | 2.7% | 6.1% | 5 | | |
| Greenwich | 31881 | 19.6% | 629 | 2.0% | 10644 | 33.4% | 24.6% | 262 | 2.5% | 2604 | 8.2% | 12.0% | 33 | 1.3% | 0.51 |
| Hackney | 27041 | 33.0% | 389 | 1.4% | 4259 | 15.8% | 18.4% | 66 | 1.5% | 3256 | 12.0% | 30.7% | 38 | 1.2% | 0.75 |
| Halton | 16300 | 28.5% | 217 | 1.3% | 15381 | 94.4% | 28.7% | 207 | 1.3% | 45 | 0.3% | 6.7% | х | | |
| Hammersmith and Fulham | 15307 | 22.6% | 296 | 1.9% | 4142 | 27.1% | 16.2% | 54 | 1.3% | 1123 | 7.3% | 18.3% | 23 | 2.0% | 1.57 |
| Hampshire | 153538 | 8.9% | 1233 | 0.8% | 134531 | 87.6% | 9.0% | 1121 | 0.8% | 4319 | 2.8% | 4.4% | 19 | 0.4% | 0.53 |
| Haringey | 30434 | 22.0% | 564 | 1.9% | 5766 | 18.9% | 8.7% | 92 | 1.6% | 1828 | 6.0% | 21.4% | 38 | 2.1% | 1.30 |
| Harrow | 28671 | 11.0% | 352 | 1.2% | 3565 | 12.4% | 12.4% | 77 | 2.2% | 13191 | 46.0% | 7.8% | 123 | 0.9% | 0.43 |
| Hartlepool | 12113 | 23.9% | 127 | 1.0% | 11416 | 94.2% | 24.0% | 120 | 1.1% | 274 | 2.3% | 17.2% | х | | |
| Havering | 32773 | 12.3% | 245 | 0.7% | 22059 | 67.3% | 12.7% | 176 | 0.8% | 1830 | 5.6% | 7.8% | 7 | | |
| Herefordshire | 19958 | 9.1% | 155 | 0.8% | 17812 | 89.2% | 9.2% | 144 | 0.8% | 92 | 0.5% | 0.0% | х | | |
| Hertfordshire | 148782 | 8.7% | 1956 | 1.3% | 106780 | 71.8% | 8.7% | 1500 | 1.4% | 11189 | 7.5% | 6.1% | 93 | 0.8% | |
| Hillingdon | 39407 | 15.5% | 637 | 1.6% | 14033 | 35.6% | 16.4% | 290 | 2.1% | 9910 | 25.1% | 9.1% | 123 | 1.2% | 0.60 |
| Hounslow | 32490 | 15.6% | 420 | 1.3% | 6902 | 21.2% | 20.5% | 151 | 2.2% | 10026 | 30.9% | 9.2% | 81 | 0.8% | 0.37 |
| Isle of Wight | 14227 | 13.9% | 235 | 1.7% | 13203 | 92.8% | 14.2% | 227 | 1.7% | 111 | 0.8% | 6.3% | х | | |
| Isles of Scilly | 235 | 2.6% | 4 | | 184 | 78.3% | 2.2% | 3 | | x | | 0.0% | x | | |
| Islington | 19300 | 31.9% | 196 | 1.0% | 5221 | 27.1% | 31.0% | 67 | 1.3% | 1717 | 8.9% | 31.2% | 11 | 0.6% | 0.50 |
| Kensington and Chelsea | 10017 | 21.6% | 125 | 1.2% | 2057 | 20.5% | 16.9% | 31 | 1.5% | 374 | 3.7% | 19.8% | 8 | | |
| Kent | 183547 | 12.1% | 3671 | 2.0% | 149663 | 81.5% | 12.7% | 3173 | 2.1% | 6594 | 3.6% | 4.2% | 58 | 0.9% | 0.41 |
| Kingston upon Hull, City of | 31432 | 25.1% | 369 | 1.2% | 25840 | 82.2% | 26.3% | 326 | 1.3% | 478 | 1.5% | 16.7% | x | | |
| Kingston upon Thames | 19072 | 9.0% | 331 | 1.7% | 8877 | 46.5% | 8.5% | 207 | 2.3% | 3614 | 18.9% | 7.6% | 36 | 1.0% | 0.43 |
| Kirklees | 55687 | 20.6% | 302 | 0.5% | 34046 | 61.1% | 18.1% | 221 | 0.6% | 14803 | 26.6% | 21.0% | 37 | 0.2% | 0.39 |
| Knowsley | 16164 | 32.5% | 246 | 1.5% | 15126 | 93.6% | 33.1% | 229 | 1.5% | 223 | 1.4% | 8.1% | х | | |
| Lambeth | 29364 | 26.3% | 522 | 1.8% | 4106 | 14.0% | 15.9% | 75 | 1.8% | 1342 | 4.6% | 22.7% | 8 | | |
| Lancashire | 144891 | 14.1% | 1745 | 1.2% | 117789 | 81.3% | 14.1% | 1547 | 1.3% | 15773 | 10.9% | 13.9% | 101 | 0.6% | 0.49 |
| Leeds | 93823 | 17.5% | 587 | 0.6% | 63515 | 67.7% | 16.3% | 422 | 0.7% | 11152 | 11.9% | 16.1% | 48 | 0.4% | 0.65 |
| Leicester | 44625 | 19.1% | 306 | 0.7% | 12939 | 29.0% | 29.6% | 154 | 1.2% | 19234 | 43.1% | 10.7% | 63 | 0.3% | 0.28 |
| Leicestershire | 80348 | 8.2% | 649 | 0.8% | 66605 | 82.9% | 8.4% | 571 | 0.9% | 5978 | 7.4% | 4.9% | 19 | 0.3% | 0.37 |
| Lewisham | 33307 | 20.3% | 1091 | 3.3% | 7314 | 22.0% | 20.1% | 258 | 3.5% | | 6.2% | | 45 | 2.2% | |
| Lincolnshire | 85738 | 12.8% | 1263 | 1.5% | 74994 | 87.5% | 13.5% | 1182 | 1.6% | 891 | 1.0% | 3.3% | 4 | | |
| Liverpool | 53960 | 27.4% | 1086 | 2.0% | 41423 | 76.8% | 26.5% | 922 | 2.2% | 2020 | 3.7% | 20.2% | 22 | 1.1% | 0.49 |
| Luton | 32414 | 17.2% | 307 | 0.9% | 7742 | 23.9% | 18.4% | 130 | 1.7% | 14163 | 43.7% | | 83 | 0.6% | 0.35 |
| Manchester | 65210 | 27.8% | 936 | 1.4% | 25252 | 38.7% | 31.4% | 421 | 1.7% | 14348 | 22.0% | 18.2% | 153 | 1.1% | |
| Medway | 35756 | 13.6% | 796 | 2.2% | 27343 | 76.5% | 14.2% | 670 | 2.5% | 1677 | 4.7% | 6.1% | 17 | 1.0% | |

| | Overall | | | <u> </u> | White British | ,, | | | | Asian (Indian, | Pakistani, Ban | ngladeshi, Any | other Asian) | - | |
|-------------------------|------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | N | ASD | | | | N | ASD | |
| | | | N (pupils with | ASD | N (pupils in LA | % LA pupils | % FSM | (pupils with ASD in | Incidence | N (pupils in LA | % LA pupils | % FSM | (pupils with ASD in | Incidence (% within | |
| | N (pupils) | LA % FSM | (pupils with ASD in LA) | (% in LA) | (pupils in LA in group) | | within group | group) | (% within group) | (pupils in LA in group) | | within group | group) | (% within group) | Risk Ratio |
| Local Authority | (F*F - 7 | | - / | () | 5 - 17 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | 5 - 17 | 5 - 17 | 5 * 117 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | 5 - 17 | 5 - 17 | |
| Merton | 21986 | 15.9% | 231 | 1.1% | 7024 | 31.9% | 17.5% | 84 | 1.2% | 4302 | 19.6% | 12.0% | 35 | 0.8% | 0.68 |
| Middlesbrough | 18350 | 31.0% | 218 | 1.2% | 13793 | 75.2% | 31.6% | 177 | 1.3% | 2071 | 11.3% | 17.7% | 13 | 0.6% | 0.49 |
| Milton Keynes | 36500 | 11.4% | 466 | 1.3% | 21545 | 59.0% | 11.9% | 274 | 1.3% | 3977 | 10.9% | 7.5% | 40 | 1.0% | 0.79 |
| Newcastle upon Tyne | 30490 | 25.0% | 369 | 1.2% | 21600 | 70.8% | 27.0% | 277 | 1.3% | 3665 | 12.0% | 15.3% | 33 | 0.9% | 0.70 |
| Newham | 47827 | 21.7% | 600 | 1.3% | 2835 | 5.9% | 39.6% | 43 | 1.5% | 21582 | 45.1% | 18.4% | 238 | 1.1% | 0.73 |
| Norfolk | 93903 | 13.5% | 1170 | 1.2% | 81313 | 86.6% | 13.7% | 1049 | 1.3% | 1156 | 1.2% | 7.5% | 10 | 0.9% | 0.67 |
| North East Lincolnshire | 19673 | 17.9% | 195 | 1.0% | 18179 | 92.4% | 18.5% | 184 | 1.0% | 139 | 0.7% | 10.8% | х | | |
| North Lincolnshire | 20691 | 14.2% | 162 | 0.8% | 17912 | 86.6% | 14.6% | 146 | 0.8% | 801 | 3.9% | 14.9% | 4 | | |
| North Somerset | 24895 | 9.9% | 177 | 0.7% | 22368 | 89.8% | 10.0% | 161 | 0.7% | 305 | 1.2% | 3.3% | х | | |
| North Tyneside | 24077 | 14.8% | 173 | 0.7% | 22029 | 91.5% | 14.9% | 150 | 0.7% | 526 | 2.2% | 11.2% | 5 | | |
| North Yorkshire | 67652 | 7.6% | 789 | 1.2% | 61992 | 91.6% | 7.7% | 722 | 1.2% | 1070 | 1.6% | 5.1% | 3 | | |
| Northamptonshire | 93159 | 13.0% | 1127 | 1.2% | 71098 | 76.3% | 13.1% | 900 | 1.3% | 4046 | 4.3% | 8.9% | 34 | 0.8% | 0.66 |
| Northumberland | 36031 | 13.3% | 411 | 1.1% | 34231 | 95.0% | 13.5% | 394 | 1.2% | 463 | 1.3% | 5.6% | х | | |
| Nottingham | 35040 | 26.2% | 747 | 2.1% | 17118 | 48.9% | 29.8% | 468 | 2.7% | 5869 | 16.7% | 17.7% | 67 | 1.1% | 0.42 |
| Nottinghamshire | 93984 | 12.7% | 1540 | 1.6% | 81044 | 86.2% | 13.0% | 1401 | 1.7% | 2464 | 2.6% | 7.1% | 17 | 0.7% | 0.40 |
| Oldham | 35816 | 19.5% | 612 | 1.7% | 19967 | 55.7% | 16.8% | 414 | 2.1% | 11468 | 32.0% | 22.2% | 123 | 1.1% | 0.52 |
| Oxfordshire | 74436 | 9.3% | 1070 | 1.4% | 57076 | 76.7% | 8.6% | 884 | 1.5% | 4251 | 5.7% | 10.5% | 28 | 0.7% | 0.43 |
| Peterborough | 29317 | 15.9% | 454 | 1.5% | 15482 | 52.8% | 17.8% | 331 | 2.1% | 5182 | 17.7% | 14.8% | 29 | 0.6% | 0.26 |
| Plymouth | 30735 | 18.1% | 647 | 2.1% | 27220 | 88.6% | 18.3% | 607 | 2.2% | 285 | 0.9% | 12.3% | х | | |
| Poole | 15923 | 10.4% | 127 | 0.8% | 13818 | 86.8% | 10.6% | 110 | 0.8% | 408 | 2.6% | 3.7% | х | | |
| Portsmouth | 21935 | 18.8% | 210 | 1.0% | 17073 | 77.8% | 19.8% | 176 | 1.0% | 1355 | 6.2% | 9.6% | 10 | 0.7% | 0.72 |
| Reading | 16583 | 15.3% | 315 | 1.9% | 7583 | 45.7% | 17.0% | 197 | 2.6% | 3374 | 20.3% | 9.5% | 24 | 0.7% | 0.27 |
| Redbridge | 42397 | 17.0% | 364 | 0.9% | 6095 | 14.4% | 14.9% | 77 | 1.3% | 21973 | 51.8% | 12.6% | 159 | 0.7% | 0.57 |
| Redcar and Cleveland | 17540 | 20.5% | 241 | 1.4% | 16662 | 95.0% | 20.4% | 231 | 1.4% | 213 | 1.2% | 17.8% | 3 | | |
| Richmond upon Thames | 21349 | 8.6% | 292 | 1.4% | 12852 | 60.2% | 7.4% | 185 | 1.4% | 1582 | 7.4% | 10.4% | 22 | 1.4% | 0.97 |
| Rochdale | 29288 | 20.7% | 564 | 1.9% | 18498 | 63.2% | 19.5% | 416 | 2.2% | 7240 | 24.7% | 20.2% | 106 | 1.5% | 0.65 |
| Rotherham | 36032 | 17.1% | 765 | 2.1% | 29845 | 82.8% | 16.6% | 690 | 2.3% | 2779 | 7.7% | 16.9% | 34 | 1.2% | 0.53 |
| Rutland | 4892 | 5.1% | 30 | 0.6% | 4504 | 92.1% | 5.2% | 28 | 0.6% | 46 | 0.9% | 2.2% | x | | |
| Salford | 28375 | 21.7% | 247 | 0.9% | 21091 | 74.3% | 22.0% | 189 | 0.9% | 793 | 2.8% | 18.3% | 4 | | |
| Sandwell | 45416 | 23.1% | 233 | 0.5% | 21308 | 46.9% | 25.3% | 124 | 0.6% | 12597 | 27.7% | 16.5% | 39 | 0.3% | 0.53 |
| Sefton | 32517 | 15.7% | 547 | 1.7% | 30069 | 92.5% | 15.9% | 520 | 1.7% | 303 | 0.9% | 6.6% | х | | |
| Sheffield | 64006 | 19.6% | 1285 | 2.0% | 42466 | 66.3% | 16.1% | 935 | 2.2% | 7300 | 11.4% | 21.1% | 106 | 1.5% | 0.66 |
| Shropshire | 32237 | 9.6% | 325 | 1.0% | 29612 | 91.9% | 9.6% | 302 | 1.0% | 254 | 0.8% | 5.1% | x | | |
| Slough | 22733 | 11.6% | 287 | 1.3% | 3992 | 17.6% | 17.4% | 82 | 2.1% | | 47.3% | 9.1% | 98 | 0.9% | 0.44 |
| Solihull | 31226 | 14.5% | 777 | 2.5% | 23317 | 74.7% | 14.0% | 625 | 2.7% | 3410 | 10.9% | 10.1% | 51 | 1.5% | 0.56 |
| Somerset | 59688 | 10.4% | 469 | 0.8% | 54021 | 90.5% | 10.5% | 427 | 0.8% | 633 | 1.1% | 2.8% | 8 | | |
| South Gloucestershire | 32888 | 9.0% | 386 | 1.2% | 28089 | 85.4% | 8.7% | 332 | 1.2% | 956 | 2.9% | 3.8% | 10 | 1.0% | 0.88 |
| South Tyneside | 17393 | 21.1% | 233 | 1.3% | 15848 | 91.1% | 21.1% | 215 | 1.4% | 659 | 3.8% | 20.3% | 3 | | |
| Southampton | 26380 | 18.8% | 257 | 1.0% | 17494 | 66.3% | 21.4% | 211 | 1.2% | 3032 | 11.5% | 12.4% | 8 | | |

| | Overall | | | | White British | | | | | Asian (Indian, | Pakistani, Bar | ngladeshi, Any | other Asian) | | |
|--------------------------|------------|----------|--------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | Ν | ASD | , , | , | | Ň | ASD | |
| | | | Ν | ASD | N | | | (pupils with | Incidence | N | | | (pupils with | Incidence | |
| | | | (pupils with | Incidence | (pupils in LA | % LA pupils | % FSM | ASD in | (% within | | % LA pupils | % FSM | ASD in | (% within | |
| | N (pupils) | LA % FSM | ASD in LA) | (% in LA) | in group) | in group | within group | group) | group) | in group) | in group | within group | group) | group) | Risk Ratio |
| Local Authority | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Southend-on-Sea | 23237 | 13.2% | 246 | 1.1% | 17527 | 75.4% | 13.9% | 200 | 1.1% | 1477 | 6.4% | | 4 | | |
| Southwark | 32807 | 23.9% | 730 | 2.2% | 6621 | 20.2% | 20.8% | 142 | 2.1% | 1821 | 5.6% | | 32 | 1.8% | 0.82 |
| St. Helens | 21510 | 17.9% | 398 | 1.9% | 20414 | 94.9% | 18.2% | 378 | 1.9% | 161 | 0.7% | | X | | |
| Staffordshire | 99876 | 10.6% | 1399 | 1.4% | 88773 | 88.9% | 10.6% | 1290 | 1.5% | 3616 | 3.6% | | 18 | 0.5% | |
| Stockport | 34225 | 13.5% | 498 | 1.5% | 28387 | 82.9% | 13.1% | 422 | 1.5% | 2205 | 6.4% | | 23 | 1.0% | 0.70 |
| Stockton-on-Tees | 24526 | 19.7% | 322 | 1.3% | 21730 | 88.6% | 19.1% | 299 | 1.4% | 1287 | 5.2% | 19.0% | 9 | | |
| Stoke-on-Trent | 30677 | 22.2% | 214 | 0.7% | 22067 | 71.9% | 22.6% | 165 | 0.7% | 4471 | 14.6% | 16.4% | 17 | 0.4% | 0.51 |
| Suffolk | 82794 | 12.6% | 1076 | 1.3% | 69669 | 84.1% | 12.6% | 939 | 1.3% | 1399 | 1.7% | 9.1% | 13 | 0.9% | 0.69 |
| Sunderland | 32842 | 21.7% | 662 | 2.0% | 30231 | 92.0% | 22.0% | 624 | 2.1% | 1266 | 3.9% | 11.2% | 11 | 0.9% | 0.42 |
| Surrey | 126564 | 7.5% | 2069 | 1.6% | 96893 | 76.6% | 7.4% | 1672 | 1.7% | 7972 | 6.3% | 6.1% | 91 | 1.1% | 0.66 |
| Sutton | 28224 | 11.6% | 423 | 1.5% | 14816 | 52.5% | 13.2% | 264 | 1.8% | 5231 | 18.5% | 5.6% | 38 | 0.7% | 0.41 |
| Swindon | 27468 | 12.7% | 410 | 1.5% | 20359 | 74.1% | 13.4% | 341 | 1.7% | 2775 | 10.1% | 6.1% | 28 | 1.0% | 0.60 |
| Tameside | 30254 | 19.8% | 267 | 0.9% | 23875 | 78.9% | 19.2% | 215 | 0.9% | 3304 | 10.9% | 18.2% | 24 | 0.7% | 0.81 |
| Telford and Wrekin | 23148 | 16.3% | 272 | 1.2% | 18883 | 81.6% | 16.9% | 255 | 1.4% | 1425 | 6.2% | 11.8% | 5 | | |
| Thurrock | 22661 | 14.6% | 243 | 1.1% | 14979 | 66.1% | 16.6% | 163 | 1.1% | 922 | 4.1% | 8.8% | 20 | 2.2% | 1.99 |
| Torbay | 15510 | 16.9% | 134 | 0.9% | 14093 | 90.9% | 17.5% | 127 | 0.9% | 174 | 1.1% | 5.7% | х | | |
| Tower Hamlets | 33842 | 38.5% | 462 | 1.4% | 3199 | 9.5% | 43.9% | 46 | 1.4% | 22213 | 65.6% | 37.8% | 283 | 1.3% | 0.89 |
| Trafford | 31731 | 10.3% | 293 | 0.9% | 21976 | 69.3% | 9.4% | 210 | 1.0% | 4129 | 13.0% | 10.7% | 16 | 0.4% | 0.41 |
| Wakefield | 41367 | 14.9% | 691 | 1.7% | 35894 | 86.8% | 15.2% | 635 | 1.8% | 1571 | 3.8% | 11.0% | 9 | | |
| Walsall | 38721 | 21.8% | 364 | 0.9% | 24372 | 62.9% | 22.6% | 288 | 1.2% | 8375 | 21.6% | 16.4% | 27 | 0.3% | 0.27 |
| Waltham Forest | 34168 | 17.7% | 456 | 1.3% | 5815 | 17.0% | 14.5% | 81 | 1.4% | 8869 | 26.0% | 16.5% | 102 | 1.2% | 0.83 |
| Wandsworth | 24561 | 18.6% | 501 | 2.0% | 6532 | 26.6% | 14.8% | 152 | 2.3% | 4348 | 17.7% | 14.0% | 63 | 1.4% | 0.62 |
| Warrington | 26913 | 10.5% | 269 | 1.0% | 23802 | 88.4% | 10.7% | 239 | 1.0% | 753 | 2.8% | 7.3% | 8 | | |
| Warwickshire | 65410 | 9.1% | 930 | 1.4% | 53878 | 82.4% | 9.3% | 819 | 1.5% | 3451 | 5.3% | 3.8% | 30 | 0.9% | 0.57 |
| West Berkshire | 20712 | 7.3% | 597 | 2.9% | 17509 | 84.5% | 6.7% | 522 | 3.0% | 612 | 3.0% | 8.2% | 12 | 2.0% | 0.66 |
| West Sussex | 94292 | 8.5% | 984 | 1.0% | 77620 | 82.3% | 8.5% | 825 | 1.1% | 4276 | 4.5% | 5.4% | 41 | 1.0% | 0.90 |
| Westminster | 17440 | 28.5% | 192 | 1.1% | 2363 | 13.5% | 17.6% | 39 | 1.7% | 2374 | 13.6% | 26.4% | 29 | 1.2% | 0.74 |
| Wigan | 39700 | 14.7% | 282 | 0.7% | 36676 | 92.4% | 14.4% | 263 | 0.7% | 446 | 1.1% | | 3 | | |
| Wiltshire | 55866 | 7.8% | 965 | 1.7% | 49314 | 88.3% | 7.9% | 893 | 1.8% | 756 | 1.4% | | x | | |
| Windsor and Maidenhead | 16960 | 6.4% | 254 | 1.5% | 11128 | 65.6% | 5.9% | 184 | 1.7% | 2314 | 13.6% | | 20 | 0.9% | 0.52 |
| Wirral | 39877 | 18.4% | 651 | 1.6% | 36642 | 91.9% | 19.0% | 626 | 1.7% | 904 | 2.3% | | x | | |
| Wokingham | 20641 | 5.8% | 472 | 2.3% | 14456 | 70.0% | 5.4% | 361 | 2.5% | 2445 | 11.8% | | 31 | 1.3% | 0.51 |
| Wolverhampton | 32091 | 23.3% | 172 | 0.6% | 15702 | 48.9% | 25.1% | 106 | 0.7% | 6917 | 21.6% | | 25 | 0.4% | |
| Worcestershire | 65175 | 11.9% | 752 | 1.2% | 56536 | 86.7% | 11.7% | 669 | 1.2% | 2305 | 3.5% | | 9 | | |
| York | 20316 | 8.7% | 202 | 1.2% | 18060 | 88.9% | 8.9% | 173 | 1.2% | 402 | 2.0% | | 4 | | |
| National (incl. all LAs) | 6505275 | 15.2% | 86225 | 1.3% | 4512620 | 69.4% | 14.1% | 63436 | 1.0% | 674157 | 10.4% | 15.2% | 5636 | 0.8% | 0.59 |

Abbreviations

| ASD | Autistic Spectrum Disorder |
|-------|--|
| BCRB | Black Caribbean |
| FSM | Free School Meals |
| HI | Hearing Impairment |
| IDACI | Indicators of Deprivation Affecting Children Index |
| LA | Local Authority |
| MLD | Moderate Learning Difficulty |
| MSI | Multi-Sensory Impairment |
| MWBC | Mixed White & Black Caribbean |
| NSA | SEN identification with No Specialist Assessment |
| OR | Odds Ratio |
| PD | Physical Disability |
| PMLD | Profound and Multiple Learning Difficulties |
| RR | Risk Ratio |
| SEMH | Social, Emotional and Mental Health |
| SEN | Special Educational Needs |
| SEND | Special Educational Needs and Disabilities |
| SLCN | Speech, Language and Communication Needs |
| SLD | Severe Learning Difficulty |
| SpLD | Specific Learning Difficulty |
| VI | Visual Impairment |
| | |

Technical Appendix

Data used to produce the reports included in this pack come from the England National Pupil Database, including pupil level 2016 January School Census information.

All analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS.

For tables reporting descriptive information (counts and percentages) and RRs, the RRs were straightforwardly calculated by dividing the incidence of a given SEN type within a particular minority ethnic group within a particular LA by the incidence of the same SEN type in the White British group within the same LA.

For LA-specific ORs, the approach to analysis was the same as that described in Part 1 of the full project report (Strand & Lindorff, 2018):

For unadjusted ORs, multinomial logistic regression models were run on only pupil records for the relevant LA, with primary SEN type as the outcome and only ethnic group as a predictor.

For adjusted ORs, additional controls were included to account for other individual pupil background characteristics that might be expected to be associated with the odds of SEN identification. Control variables included:

- Entitlement to a Free School Meal (FSM) (with not entitled to FSM as the reference group)
- Gender (with Girl as the reference group)
- Birth season (Autumn, Spring or Summer; with Autumn as the reference group)
- Year group (with Y1 as the reference group)
- Indicators of Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI) score for each pupil's home neighbourhood (normalised; a continuous measure)

A small number of pupil records were excluded from calculations of adjusted ORs due to duplicate records (1090; 0.02% of the total 6,506,365 pupil records) or missing data. The only variable on which data were missing was IDACI (14,660; 0.2% of all pupil records).

In any tables including frequencies (counts), "x" means that a particular figure has been suppressed due to small numbers (See the NPD User Guide, 2018, p36).

References

Strand, S. & Lindorff, A. (2018). *The unequal representation of ethnic minorities for different types of special educational needs: Extent, causes and consequences*. Final report to the ESRC.

DfE (2015). SEND code of practice: 0 to 25 years. London: Department for Education.