Ethnic disproportionality in the identification of Special Educational Needs (SEN):

**Knowsley Local Authority Feedback Pack** 

# Contents of this pack

## Summary of national findings

Summary of national minings	
Interpreting the LA feedback: A brief guide	
How to use the LA specific tables	
Knowsley unadjusted Odds Ratios (OR) by ethnic group and SEN type	Table 1
National unadjusted Odds Ratios (OR) by ethnic group and SEN type	Table 2
Knowsley adjusted Odds Ratios (OR) by ethnic group and SEN type	Table 3
National adjusted Odds Ratios (OR) by ethnic group and SEN type	Table 4
Knowsley frequencies (counts) of number of pupils by ethnic group and SEN type	Table 5
National frequencies (counts) of number of pupils by ethnic group and SEN type	Table 6
How to use the All LA tables	
All-LA incidence and Risk Ratio (RR) table - Any SEN	Table 7
All-LA incidence and Risk Ratio (RR) table: Moderate Learning Difficulty (MLD)	Table 8
All-LA incidence and Risk Ratio (RR) table: Social, Emotional & Mental Health (SEMH)	Table 9
All-LA incidence and Risk Ratio (RR) table: Autistic Spectrum Disorder (ASD)	Table 10
Abbreviations	
Technical Appendix	

## Summary of key findings from the national data

This Local Authority (LA) feedback comes from a broader project investigating ethnic disproportionality in the identification of Special Educational Needs (SEN) in England (for the full project report, see Strand & Lindorff, 2018). Disproportionality exists when pupils from an ethnic minority group are more (or less) likely to be identified with SEN than pupils in the majority group (in England, White British pupils). We say an ethnic minority group is *over-represented* when pupils in that group are *more* likely to be identified, and we say an ethnic minority group is *under-represented* when pupils from that group are *less* likely to be identified, than those in the majority ethnic group.

We used data from the 2016 January School Census, and focused on pupils of compulsory school age (aged 5-16 years) looking at all pupils from Year 1 to Year 11. We present key findings here to provide background and context for the interpretation of the results.

#### **Key findings**

Prevalence rates have increased with the requirement introduced in the 2015 School Census to record the type of SEN for all pupils with SEN, not just those at School Action Plus or with a Statement/Education Health and Care plan. For example, between 2014 and 2016 the incidence of Moderate Learning Difficulties (MLD) increased from 2.0% to 4.0%, Social Emotional and Mental Health (SEMH) needs from 2.2% to 2.8% and Autistic Spectrum Disorders (ASD) from 1.0% to 1.3%. However, the extent of ethnic disproportionality in the identification of SEN has hardly varied at all, and indeed has changed very little since 2005.

There is substantial ethnic disproportionality for the following:

- Black Caribbean and Mixed White & Black Caribbean pupils are over-represented for SEMH
- Asian groups (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi and Other Asian) are under-represented for ASD
- Black Caribbean and Pakistani pupils are over-represented for MLD
- Pakistani pupils are over-represented for Hearing Impairment (HI) and Visual Impairment (VI)

The over-representation for MLD is accounted for by pupil background factors including age, socioeconomic deprivation and gender, but the other ethnic disproportionalities remain apparent even after accounting for pupil background characteristics.

LAs account for relatively little (<5%) of the variation in the identification of SEN. For example disproportionality varies little in direction across LAs (e.g. 95 LAs show under-representation of Asian pupils for MLD, only 2 show over-representation; 84 LAs show over-representation of Black Caribbean/MWBC for SEMH, none show under-representation; 79 LAs show under-representation of Asian pupils for ASD, only 3 show over-representation). Nevertheless variation between LAs does exist and it is important that LA's identify whether disproportionate identification exists in their localities and if so to explore factors that may be associated with it.

This data pack presents some of our analyses as a starting point to address the above issues.

## Interpreting the LA feedback: A brief guide

The purpose of this LA-specific feedback is to provide information on ethnic disproportionality in SEN identification within each LA. Just like the national analysis, the underlying data on which results are based include information on pupils in Year 1 to Year 11 (ages 5-16) at the time of the 2016 January School Census. At times, descriptive information provided here may differ slightly from DfE reports/tables that include children and young people outside of this age range.

Results are reported in terms of Odds Ratios (OR). ORs have an intuitive interpretation: If an ethnic group has an OR of 2.0 for example, this means the odds of being identified with SEN are twice as high for pupils from the ethnic group compared to the White British majority. If an ethnic group has an OR of 0.50 then the odds of being identified with SEN are half as high compared to the White British majority. We report *unadjusted ORs* that take into account only pupils' ethnic group membership and type of SEN, and *adjusted ORs* that account for other aspects of pupils' backgrounds and contexts (age, sex and socio-economic deprivation) that may be associated with SEN identification. Details of the analysis that produced these results can be found in the Technical Appendix at the end of this document.

We considered ORs according to the following cut-off values:

```
<= 0.67 "substantially under-represented": reported in blue (bold)
```

<= 0.75 "under-represented": reported in blue (plain font)

>= 1.33 "over-represented": reported in red (bold)

>= 1.50 "substantially over-represented": reported in red (plain font)

Reporting is limited to categories containing a sufficient number of pupils to produce reliable results. That is, in any instance for which there were fewer than 10 pupils with a particular SEN type in a particular ethnic group within your LA, results are not reported.

"Any SEN" refers to an indicator of SEN identification without regard to specific type of need.

Frequencies (counts) are also given in a table showing the total number of pupils within your LA (as of January 2016) in each ethnic group identified with each primary type of SEN.

We have not broken these results down by level of need (SEN support; Education, Health and Care Plan) as this leads to extremely small numbers of pupils, too low to report for many ethnic minority groups for many of the types of SEN.

#### How to use the LA specific tables

On the pages that follow, we report disproportionality estimates specific to your LA alongside the comparable results from national data.

The following questions may be useful to facilitate conversations about your LA's results, to identify any key issues, and to initiate thinking and discussion about what further investigation and/or action might be appropriate in response to any such issues. We suggest looking at one type of primary SEN at a time (e.g. one column in the OR tables) as you consider these questions.

#### **Guiding questions**

- In the "unadjusted" OR table for your LA, which groups are over-represented (in red)? Which groups are under-represented (in blue)?
  - Make a list of the groups you see are over- or under-represented for the particular type of SEN you are looking at, so that you can jot down notes next to each group.
- Do you notice any patterns in terms of which groups are over- or under-represented?
- Thinking about your own knowledge and experience of your LA and the schools within it, do these results surprise you? Why or why not?
- Are the same groups still over/under-represented in your LA "adjusted" OR table?
  - If so, can you think of any reasons why the groups you have identified are over- or underrepresented? (Note: This means reasons beyond the background characteristics we accounted for in the "adjusted" results i.e. socioeconomic disadvantage, gender, birth season, year group.)
- Are the groups over/under-represented in the same way in the "unadjusted" and "adjusted" national tables?
  - o If not, can you think of any reasons why your LA's results are different, based on your knowledge of your LA's particular context and of the schools within it?
- Comparing across the different types of SEN you have considered above, do you notice any patterns? (i.e. are the same groups over- or under-represented for different types of SEN?)
- What further information might help to explain why the groups you have identified are over- or under-represented? Where/how might you find this information?
- Can you think of any concrete steps that might be taken by the LA to follow up the issues you have identified?
- Can you think of any concrete steps that might be taken by schools and/or teachers to follow up the issues you have identified?

Table 1: Knowsley LA Unadjusted Odds-Ratios by type of SEN and ethnic group (Y1-11), 2016

Unadjusted Odds Ratios		Cognition	& Learnin	g	Social, Emotional & Mental Health		nication & action	Se	ensory	& Physic	al	Unspecifi	ed/ Other	Any SEN
Ethnic Group	SpLD	MLD	SLD	PMLD	SEMH	SLCN	ASD	н	VI	MSI	PD	Other	NSA	
White Irish														
Traveller Irish														
Traveller Gypsy/Roma														
White other groups		0.97												0.79
Mixed White & African														1.23
Mixed White & Caribbean														1.09
Mixed White & Asian														
Other mixed		0.94			1.20									1.07
Indian														
Pakistani														
Bangladeshi														
Any Other Asian														0.38 *
Black African														
Black Caribbean														
Black other groups														0.78
Chinese														
Any other group														
Unclassified/Refused														0.77

<sup>\*=</sup>significant at the p<0.05 level.

See notes in the Technical Appendix for details of the underlying model.

Unadjusted Ratios	Co	gnition 8	& Learning	3	Social, Emotional & Mental Health	Commun Intera		Se	nsory & F	Physical		Unspec Oth		Any SEN
Ethnic Group	SpLD	MLD	SLD	PMLD	SEMH	SLCN	ASD	ні	VI	MSI	PD	Other	NSA	
White Irish	1.16 *	0.82 *	0.91	1.29	0.92	0.96	1.04	1.24	0.77		0.97	1.03	1.21 *	0.97
Traveller Irish	2.33 *	4.37 *	1.84 *	*	2.86 *	2.79 *	0.44 *	1.67 *	2.05 *		1.43	2.95 *	5.38 *	2.92 *
Traveller Gypsy/Roma	1.58 *	3.79 *	2.10 *	1.11	1.64 *	2.47 *	0.38 *	3.13 *	1.59 *		1.02	1.71 *	3.40 *	2.26 *
White other groups	0.53 *	0.78 *	0.76 *	1.05	0.57 *	1.29 *	0.60 *	0.74 *	0.61 *	0.69 *	0.54 *	0.85 *	1.16 *	0.77 *
Mixed White & African	0.74 *	0.82 *	1.01	1.34 *	1.18 *	1.22 *	0.93	0.64 *	0.45 *	0.72	0.70 *	0.88 *	1.04	0.95 *
Mixed White & Caribbean	1.03	1.23 *	0.92	1.19	1.94 *	1.21 *	1.12 *	0.74 *	0.97	0.72	0.89 *	1.15 *	1.47 *	1.29 *
Mixed White & Asian	0.53 *	0.68 *	0.86 *	1.00	0.72 *	0.94 *	0.84 *	0.74 *	0.69 *	0.54 *	0.70 *	0.69 *	0.80 *	0.73 *
Other mixed	0.68 *	0.78 *	1.12 *	1.50 *	1.07 *	1.14 *	1.06 *	0.80 *	0.74 *	0.92	0.74 *	0.96	1.04	0.92 *
Indian	0.27 *	0.56 *	0.80 *	1.01	0.24 *	0.85 *	0.46 *	0.78 *	0.72 *	0.42 *	0.56 *	0.60 *	0.60 *	0.51 *
Pakistani	0.38 *	1.36 *	1.52 *	2.61 *	0.50 *	1.39 *	0.54 *	2.09 *	2.13 *	0.75 *	1.14 *	0.96	1.51 *	0.99 *
Bangladeshi	0.36 *	0.87 *	1.19 *	1.93 *	0.46 *	1.62 *	0.79 *	1.45 *	0.96	0.54 *	0.63 *	0.80 *	1.31 *	0.85 *
Any Other Asian	0.31 *	0.59 *	1.10 *	1.49 *	0.31 *	1.15 *	0.62 *	0.92	0.63 *	0.36 *	0.61 *	0.67 *	0.82 *	0.62 *
Black African	0.45 *	0.84 *	1.40 *	1.54 *	0.83 *	1.66 *	1.15 *	0.65 *	0.73 *	0.59 *	0.63 *	0.87 *	1.23 *	0.95 *
Black Caribbean	1.03	1.37 *	1.20 *	1.38 *	2.29 *	1.83 *	1.33 *	0.87	0.86	0.69	0.87 *	1.34 *	1.64 *	1.53 *
Black other groups	0.61 *	1.03	1.63 *	1.64 *	1.31 *	1.71 *	1.34 *	0.67 *	0.67 *	0.85	0.75 *	1.06	1.40 *	1.16 *
Chinese	0.24 *	0.30 *	0.72 *	0.81	0.20 *	1.20 *	0.91	0.82	0.45 *		0.27 *	0.45 *	0.62 *	0.50 *
Any other group	0.48 *	0.91 *	1.03	1.61 *	0.61 *	1.52 *	0.73 *	1.09	0.73 *	0.66 *	0.64 *	0.95	1.52 *	0.88 *

1.11 \*

1.04

1.24 \*

0.89

1.06

1.14

0.90

1.14 \*

1.37 \*

1.04 \*

Unclassified/Refused

See notes in the Technical Appendix for details of the underlying model.

0.94 \*

0.90 \*

1.30 \*

1.22

<sup>\*=</sup>significant at the p<0.05 level.

Table 3: Knowsley LA Adjusted Odds-Ratios by type of SEN and ethnic group (Y1-11), 2016

Table 3: Knowsiey LA Ad	justeu Ot	ius-nuli	ο ουν τυμ	e uj sen (	Social,		-11), 2010							
Adjusted Odds Ratios		Cognition	& Learnin	g	Emotional & Mental Health		nication & raction	Se	ensory	/ & Physic	cal	Unspecific	ed/ Other	Any SEN
Ethnic Group	SpLD	MLD	SLD	PMLD	SEMH	SLCN	ASD	н	VI	MSI	PD	Other	NSA	
White Irish														
Traveller Irish														
Traveller Gypsy/Roma														
White other groups		0.97												0.87
Mixed White & African														1.07
Mixed White & Caribbean														1.11
Mixed White & Asian														
Other mixed		0.94			1.32									1.16
Indian														
Pakistani														
Bangladeshi														
Any Other Asian														0.47 *
Black African														
Black Caribbean														
Black other groups														0.74
Chinese														
Any other group														
Unclassified/Refused														0.79

<sup>\*=</sup>significant at the p<0.05 level.

See notes in the Technical Appendix for control variables and technical details of the underlying model.

Table 4: National Adjus	ted Odd	ls-Ratio	s by typ	e of SEN a	and ethnic g	roup (Y1	-11), 20 <u>:</u>	16						
Adjusted Ratios	c	ognition	ı & Learni	ng	Social, Emotional & Mental Health	Intera	ication &	Sei	nsory & I	Physical		Unspec Oth		Any SEN
Ethnic Group	SpLD	MLD	SLD	PMLD	SEMH	SLCN	ASD	ні	VI	MSI	PD	Other	NSA	
White Irish	1.12 *	0.77	* 0.86	1.29	0.85 *	0.94	1.01	1.21	0.74		0.95	0.99	1.18	0.93 *
Traveller Irish	2.05 *	2.45	* 1.01		1.53 *	1.47 *	0.31 *	1.29	1.49		0.94	2.02 *	3.16 *	1.77 *
Traveller Gypsy/Roma	1.50 *	2.70	* 1.61	0.91	1.17 *	1.78 *	0.33 *	2.76 *	1.36 *		0.85	1.41 *	2.51 *	1.74 *
White other groups	0.56 *	0.70	* 0.80	1.10	0.53 *	1.08 *	0.61 *	0.75 *	0.61 *	0.66 *	0.54 *	0.83 *	1.01	0.72 *
Mixed White & African	0.73 *	0.63	* 0.84	1.18	0.92 *	0.90 *	0.86 *	0.60 *	0.41 *	0.65	0.62 *	0.77 *	0.80 *	0.78 *
Mixed White & Caribbean	0.96 *	0.90	* 0.72	1.01	1.38 *	0.90 *	0.97	0.65 *	0.84 *	0.65 *	0.76 *	0.95	1.13 *	1.00
Mixed White & Asian	0.54 *	0.63	* 0.83	0.96	0.67 *	0.82 *	0.81 *	0.73 *	0.68 *	0.51 *	0.68 *	0.67 *	0.72 *	0.68 *
Other mixed	0.67 *	0.63	* 1.00	1.38 *	0.88 *	0.90 *	0.99	0.76 *	0.69 *	0.82	0.68 *	0.86 *	0.85 *	0.79 *
Indian	0.28 *	0.54	* 0.87	1.10	0.23 *	0.80 *	0.47 *	0.79 *	0.73 *	0.42 *	0.58 *	0.59 *	0.57 *	0.50 *
Pakistani	0.35 *	1.00	1.30 3	2.44 *	0.36 *	1.07 *	0.48 *	1.89 *	1.87 *	0.70 *	1.02	0.80 *	1.18 *	0.79 *
Bangladeshi	0.31 *	0.52	* 0.91	1.72 *	0.26 *	1.09 *	0.65 *	1.21 *	0.77 *	0.49 *	0.53 *	0.59 *	0.89 *	0.58 *
Any Other Asian	0.31 *	0.50	* 1.06	1.48 *	0.27 *	0.97	0.60 *	0.89 *	0.59 *	0.34 *	0.59 *	0.61 *	0.71 *	0.55 *
Black African	0.40 *	0.53	* 1.09	1.35 *	0.52 *	1.11 *	0.97	0.55 *	0.60 *	0.53 *	0.53 *	0.66 *	0.85 *	0.67 *
Black Caribbean	0.89 *	0.89	* 0.92	1.21	1.43 *	1.34 *	1.12 *	0.73 *	0.70 *	0.65	0.73 *	1.01	1.19 *	1.10 *
Black other groups	0.55 *	0.67	* 1.27	1.40 *	0.84 *	1.16 *	1.13 *	0.58 *	0.54 *	0.76	0.64 *	0.82 *	0.98	0.83 *
Chinese	0.25 *	0.30	* 0.80	0.87	0.21 *	1.09 *	0.96	0.85	0.47 *		0.28 *	0.46 *	0.59 *	0.51 *
Any other group	0.44 *	0.61	* 0.81	1.41 *	0.40 *	1.06 *	0.61 *	0.95	0.62 *	0.59 *	0.54 *	0.76 *	1.10 *	0.65 *
Unclassified/Refused	0.86 *	0.80	* 1.19 *	1.19	0.95 *	1.01	1.13 *	0.84 *	0.97	1.16	0.86 *	1.02	1.30 *	0.94 *

<sup>\*=</sup>significant at the p<0.05 level.

See notes in the Technical Appendix for control variables and technical details of the underlying model.

12066

467

1998

30

Total

Table 5: Knowsley LA Frequency (count) by type of SEN and ethnic group (Y1-11), 2016 Social, Unspecified/ Emotional & Communication & **Cognition & Learning Sensory & Physical** Any SEN Total Other No SEN Interaction Mental Health Other NSA **Ethnic Group** SpLD MLD SLD PMLD SEMH **SLCN ASD** HI VI MSI PD White Irish 24 28 х х Х Х Х Х Х Х Traveller Irish х Х Х х Х х Traveller Gypsy/Roma х 6 х 12 Х Х Х White other groups 157 22 х 200 х Х Х 43 Mixed White & African 40 17 57 4 Х Mixed White & Caribbean 45 17 х х 62 х Х х Mixed White & Asian 37 х 3 х х х х х 45 Х Other mixed 114 4 13 42 156 х 12 х Х Х Indian 95 102 х Х Х Х Pakistani х х х х Х Bangladeshi х Х Х Any Other Asian 99 х 13 112 Х Х Х Black African 30 3 х 38 х Х х Х Black Caribbean 3 х х Х Х Х Х Black other groups 41 3 х 11 52 х Х Х Chinese 23 х 27 х Х Х Х Any other group 30 36 4 Х Х Х Unclassified/Refused 71 7 19 90 х Х Х White British 11242 448 1915 28 7 570 402 229 31 25 60 114 55 3884 15126 Х

Note: Some numbers are slightly lower for calculations and reporting of adjusted ORs, as a very small number of pupil records were missing IDACI scores; see details in the Technical Appendix.

441

606

246

35

25

61

4098

16164

119

<sup>&</sup>quot;x" in this table means the figure has been suppressed due to small numbers (See the NPD User Guide, 2018, p36).

Table 6: National Frequency (	count) b	y type	of SEN	and e	thnic g	group (Y1	l-11), 20	16								
	No SEN	Cog	nition &	Learni	ng	Social, Emotional & Mental Health	Communi Intera		Ser	nsory &	Physi	cal	Unspec Oth	-	Any SEN	Total
Ethnic Group		SpLD	MLD	SLD	PMLD	SEMH	SLCN	ASD	н	VI	MSI	PD	Other	NSA		
White Irish	16086	568	640	63	26	528	451	280	65	23	9	87	158	113	3011	19097
Traveller Irish	3136	222	669	25	8	320	257	23	17	12	х	25	88	98	1766	4902
Traveller Gypsy/Roma	15197	733	2808	138	21	889	1103	98	155	45	х	86	247	300	6625	21822
White other groups	320500	5210	12142	1054	422	6472	12130	3211	776	367	88	956	2593	2149	47570	368070
Mixed White & African	38138	855	1523	166	64	1611	1370	598	80	32	11	149	321	230	7010	45148
Mixed White & Caribbean	77058	2411	4634	307	115	5326	2736	1445	185	140	22	381	843	658	19203	96261
Mixed White & Asian	69336	1110	2315	259	87	1773	1909	971	166	89	15	270	457	321	9742	79078
Any other mixed background	104261	2145	3967	505	196	3979	3493	1847	272	144	38	431	949	627	18593	122854
Indian	163363	1367	4468	564	207	1373	4088	1256	414	219	27	508	930	573	15994	179357
Pakistani	231457	2668	15409	1520	756	4117	9413	2101	1576	919	69	1462	2120	2029	44159	275616
Bangladeshi	93392	1022	3945	482	225	1527	4438	1244	440	168	20	325	711	708	15255	108647
Any other Asian	98770	946	2826	469	184	1100	3343	1035	297	116	14	335	631	471	11767	110537
Black African	199483	2709	8208	1210	385	5941	9719	3854	423	272	47	698	1649	1424	36539	236022
Black Caribbean	61919	1937	4150	321	107	5066	3316	1385	176	100	17	299	789	588	18251	80170
Black other groups	38486	713	1937	271	79	1799	1926	867	84	48	13	161	387	312	8597	47083
Chinese	23738	170	352	74	24	170	837	361	63	20	6	35	102	85	2299	26037
Any other ethnic group	95092	1393	4208	425	191	2076	4237	1161	336	129	25	338	862	837	16218	111310
Unknown	50534	1442	2226	284	77	2007	1549	1052	147	100	23	252	549	402	10110	60644
White British	3782520	115151	184642	16352	4730	134939	110969	63436	12312	7066	1506	21026	36015	21956	730100	4512620
Total	5482466	142772	261069	24489	7904	181013	177284	86225	17984	10009	1954	27824	50401	33881	1022809	6505275

Note: Some numbers are slightly lower for calculations and reporting of adjusted ORs, as a very small number of pupil records were missing IDACI scores; see details in the Technical Appendix.

<sup>&</sup>quot;x" in this table means the figure has been suppressed due to small numbers (See the NPD User Guide, 2018, p36).

#### How to use the all LAs tables

On the following pages, we provide some key descriptive information on SEN identification incidence (i.e. percent of pupils identified) and *Risk Ratios* (RR) across all LAs, along with the national equivalent statistics for reference.

An RR is a comparison of the probability of being identified for a pupil in an ethnic minority group, to the probability of being identified for a pupil in the ethnic majority. Unlike the ORs reported above, RRs do not come from an involved statistical procedure - they are calculated simply from the percent of pupils identified in a given minority group, divided by the percent of pupils identified in the White British group. However, interpreting RRs is similar to interpreting ORs: An RR greater than 1 means a pupil in the particular ethnic minority group is *more* likely to be identified than a White British pupil, and an RR less than 1 means a pupil in the particular ethnic minority group is *less* likely to be identified than a White British pupil, on average. We can also apply the same thresholds we outlined earlier to decide what is a substantial RR.

For context, we report overall number of pupils, the percent eligible for FSM, and the SEN incidence for all pupils in each LA. We then report this information separately for White British pupils and for the focal ethnic minority group (see below for details), along with the percent of pupils belonging to both ethnic groups within each LA, and ending with the Risk Ratio comparing the incidence for the ethnic majority group against the incidence for White British pupils.

#### **Explanation of combined ethnic group categories**

For the All-LA incidence and Risk Ratio (RR) tables, we combined some ethnic group categories to make the presentation clearer.

For the overall "Any SEN" table, we use the major ethnic group categories defined by the DfE in the Annual School Census: White, Asian, Black, and Mixed.

For specific types of SEN, we used combined ethnic groups according to the patterns found in the national results (given in the summary at the beginning of this document), so:

- <u>For MLD</u>: As most Asian groups were under-represented (Indian, Bangladeshi, Other Asian, Mixed White & Asian, Chinese), we report combined results for all Asian groups, except Pakistani pupils
- <u>For SEMH</u>: As Black Caribbean and Mixed White & Black Caribbean groups were overrepresented, we report combined results for these groups together
- <u>For ASD</u>: As Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi and Other Asian groups were under-represented, we report combined results for these four groups together.

In reporting results for combined groups, we are not making any statements regarding shared identity across specific ethnic minority groups; rather, we are combining groups according to empirically similar patterns of disproportionality and only to report large amounts of information in a readable format.

## All-LA 'Any SEN' Table

The following pages report results across all LAs (with equivalent national statistics for comparison) for any SEN identification regardless of specific type of need, broken down by combined ethnic groups as previously described.

What this table tells you:

- 1) The first set of columns report the overall number of pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of pupils entitled to FSM in each LA overall, and the total incidence of any SEN in each LA.
- 2) The second set of columns reports the number of White British pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of pupils in the LA that this group constitutes, the percentage of White British pupils entitled to FSM, and the incidence of any identified SEN amongst White British pupils regardless of type of need.
- 3) The subsequent sets of columns report for Asian, Black and Mixed groups: the number of pupils in each LA belonging to the broad ethnic group category, the percentage of pupils in the LA that the particular broad ethnic group constitutes, the percentage of pupils in that particular group entitled to FSM, the incidence of any identified SEN regardless of type of need within the ethnic group, and the Risk Ratio (relative to White British pupils).

This facilitates comparisons across LAs for these ethnic group categories, and comparisons between LAs and the national equivalent statistics.

As a quick-start guide for looking at the data for specific LAs in this table, the distribution of risk ratios across all LAs is as follows:

	Asian	Black	Mixed
Total LAs	152	152	152
LAs with N<10 in ethnic group with any SEN	5	17	2
LAs with Reported Risk Ratios*	147	135	150
LAs with RR <= 0.75	97	27	8
LAs without apparent disproportionality	50	108	142
LAs with RR >= 1.33	0	8	0

<sup>\*</sup>Recall that Risk Ratios are only reported when the number of pupils in an ethnic group with SEN identification is 10 or more.

From this, it is apparent that there is relatively little variation across LAs, for the most part, but a bit more for the Asian group, with about two-thirds of LAs showing under-representation and about one-third showing no disproportionality in terms of the RRs for the Asian group.

When looking at the table, you may want to consider: Does the RR for your LA suggest over-representation (RR>=1.33), no disproportionality, or under-representation (RR<0.75) for these groups? How does this compare to the above distribution over all LAs?

Table 7: Any SEN (including all types of primary need, all levels of need) All-LA descriptive statistics and Risk Ratios (RR), Y1-11 (ages 5-16), 2016

Part	rable 717th y belt (ii	Overall	л. сурс		White	cca, an			Asian	1 4 6 5 6 1	<u> </u>	!		Black		· ·	- (age	,	Mixed			!	
Part								SEN				SEN					SEN					SEN	
Commonweigness   Comm				CENALA	.,									N		0/ 5014			N				
Common								-				***************************************							0 - 1				
Exemple and programme   23122   20106   15.076   13.271   40.085   22.375   13.195   15.075   10.075		N (pupils) P	Percent FSM	(%)		group		group)	group)	group		group)	Risk Ratio	group)	group			Risk Ratio	group)	group			Risk Ratio
Sample   March   Mar	Local Authority																						
Secondary   12400   20.00   16.00   20.00   50.00	Barking and Dagenham	33132	20.0%	15.6%	13521	40.8%	23.3%	19.1%	6462	19.5%	11.6%	9.7%	0.51	9680	29.2%	19.2%	14.7%	0.77	2573	7.8%	27.4%	16.8%	0.88
Each and Profession Services   21,550   8,7%   14,5%   13,00   90,00   15,00   13,00   12,00   15,00	Barnet	43852	16.0%	15.1%	22595	51.5%	11.9%	15.2%	5401	12.3%	11.3%	10.0%	0.65	5440	12.4%	28.2%	18.6%	1.22	4522	10.3%	18.5%	16.1%	1.06
Berlind   1920   121   152   152   152   150   152	Barnsley	27405	20.6%	16.0%	26288	95.9%	20.4%	16.2%	127	0.5%	33.1%			190	0.7%	22.6%	12.6%	0.78	566	2.1%	23.3%	12.9%	0.80
Service   Serv	Bath and North East Somerset	21450	8.7%	14.9%	19408	90.5%	8.3%	15.0%	333	1.6%	4.2%	9.0%	0.60	198	0.9%	19.2%	15.7%	1.04	956	4.5%	14.7%	16.4%	1.09
Demonstration   15699   15690   15690   15690   15740   15860   11344   15990   1249	Bedford	22091	12.1%	15.2%	15041	68.1%	9.6%	15.9%	3363	15.2%	15.2%	11.4%	0.72	1191	5.4%	16.9%	14.3%	0.90	2061	9.3%	22.6%	17.6%	1.11
Pandemon   1928   15.7%   1985   1344   599   24.4%   27.5%   27.8%   13.9%	Bexley	35179	12.0%	15.4%	22972	65.3%	12.7%	17.6%	2377	6.8%	6.7%	7.5%	0.43	6507	18.5%	10.1%	11.5%	0.65	2300	6.5%	16.6%	15.7%	0.90
Seek person   Service	Birmingham	158994	28.3%	18.8%	56749	35.7%	24.7%	21.4%	59523	37.4%	26.1%	16.7%	0.78	19948	12.5%	36.8%	18.0%	0.84	12434	7.8%	37.7%	19.9%	0.93
September   Sept	Blackburn with Darwen	22285	16.7%	19.8%	11344	50.9%	18.4%	21.5%	9733	43.7%	13.5%	18.2%	0.85	203	0.9%	34.5%	20.2%	0.94	700	3.1%	23.1%	16.9%	0.78
Second   S	Blackpool	16560	25.6%	17.4%	15599	94.2%	26.0%	17.6%	300	1.8%	11.3%	11.0%	0.63	48	0.3%	18.8%			457	2.8%	27.6%	15.5%	0.88
Description   Forces   Description   Paragraph   Par	Bolton	39894	17.6%	15.6%	27069	67.9%	16.6%	17.1%	8609	21.6%	13.5%	11.2%	0.66	1888	4.7%	41.2%	15.6%	0.91	1454	3.6%	24.0%	14.0%	0.82
Brashol   78243   13.7%   18.45   3856   49.3%   17.8%   18.84   332.5   42.7%   19.84   17.8%   19.95   1.00   17.7%   10.94   27.55   1.01   1.05   1.00	Bournemouth	18818	13.1%	14.9%	16306	86.7%	13.0%	15.7%	561	3.0%	5.7%	8.0%	0.51	169	0.9%	13.6%	6.5%	0.41	1168	6.2%	17.5%	11.6%	0.74
Bereit No. 2   14.0%	Bracknell Forest	14242	8.6%	15.0%	12127	85.1%	9.0%	15.7%	801	5.6%	2.6%	8.7%	0.56	387	2.7%	9.0%	13.2%	0.84	747	5.2%	9.5%	11.6%	0.74
Seghton and Hows  27001 14.19% 20.68 27792 82.68 13.7% 20.7% 99.1 3.5% 12.1% 12.9% 12.9% 12.9% 12.0% 10.0 32.9 13.5% 10.3% 10.5% 30.1 10.5% 30.1 10.0% 30.	Bradford	78243	19.3%	18.4%	38545	49.3%	17.8%	18.8%	33325	42.6%	19.4%	17.8%	0.95	1122	1.4%	28.0%	17.7%	0.94	3735	4.8%	30.8%	19.9%	1.06
Branch (2) 46/34   21.1%   16.0%   32.15   71.2%   16.0%   32.4   77.5%   12.5   77.5	Brent	38225	14.0%	14.5%	8999	23.5%	10.1%	15.0%	11222	29.4%	6.8%	10.1%	0.67	9300	24.3%	23.6%	19.5%	1.30	2675	7.0%	20.8%	17.6%	1.17
Bromley 39314 0.1% 14.8% 28159 7.1.6% 9.3% 15.3% 2055 5.2% 4.1% 8.6% 0.55 3674 9.3% 16.2% 15.7% 1.00 3860 9.8% 14.1% 14.1% 18.0% 19.	Brighton and Hove	27601	14.1%	20.4%	22792	82.6%	13.7%	20.7%	961	3.5%	12.1%	17.8%	0.86	648	2.3%	21.9%	21.0%	1.01	2521	9.1%	16.3%	19.4%	0.94
Busy 24889 15 2% 17.0% 19388 77.9% 13.6% 17.2% 3388 17.9% 13.6% 16.7% 46.9% 15.9% 15.9% 15.9% 15.2% 10.0% 4376 6.6% 11.4% 13.0% 13.0% 15.2% 10.0% 13.0% 15.2% 10.0% 13.0% 15.2% 10.0% 13.0% 15.2% 10.0% 13.0% 15.2% 10.0% 13.0% 15.0	Bristol, City of	46034	21.1%	16.4%	32815	71.3%	18.6%	16.6%	3524	7.7%	16.6%	13.5%	0.81	4893	10.6%	34.5%	17.5%	1.06	3799	8.3%	29.9%	17.0%	1.02
Sury   California   Californi	Bromley	39314	10.1%	14.8%	28159	71.6%	9.3%	15.3%	2055	5.2%	4.1%	8.6%	0.56	3674	9.3%	16.2%	15.7%	1.03	3860	9.8%	14.1%	14.1%	0.92
Cardeniele 2923 14.4% 16.5% 22992 78.7% 12.9% 16.7% 4649 15.9% 19.1% 16.4% 0.99 24.6 0.8% 27.6% 17.1% 1.00 11.01 3.8% 22.9% 15.8% 62.0mbridgeshire 70514 98.8% 15.0% 6827 39.4% 22.6% 17.1% 3395 19.6% 28.3% 18.2% 1.06 36.66 20.9% 36.9% 18.6% 10.0 20.15 11.6% 29.6% 18.8% Cardinal Bedfordshire 33583 9.4% 15.6% 56.2% 39.4% 22.6% 17.1% 3395 19.6% 28.3% 18.2% 1.06 0.64 806 2.4% 15.5% 15.5% 15.5% 0.98 1003 5.4% 17.2% 0.98 12.0% 10	Buckinghamshire	65865	6.6%	12.2%	47961	72.8%	5.6%	12.2%	10544	16.0%	8.6%	12.0%	0.99	1705	2.6%	9.7%	13.2%	1.08	4376	6.6%	11.4%	13.0%	1.07
Cambridgopshire 70514 9.8% 15.0% 61977 87.9% 9.8% 15.3% 2574 3.7% 5.7% 11.5% 0.75 771 1.1% 11.0% 17.3% 11.12 3352 4.8% 12.8% 12.4% Camden 17336 27.8% 17.6% 6827 39.4% 12.6% 15.5% 19.5% 19.6% 18.2% 10.0% 0.64 806 2.4% 15.5% 15.4% 0.99 18.03 3.6% 18.6% 1.09 20.15 11.6% 17.2% 17.2% 18.6% 10.0% 0.64 806 2.4% 15.5% 15.4% 19.2% 17.2% 17.2% 18.2% 10.0% 0.64 806 2.4% 15.5% 15.4% 19.2% 17.2% 18.2% 10.0% 0.64 806 2.4% 15.5% 15.4% 19.2% 11.2% 19.2% 11.2% 19.2% 11.2% 19.2% 11.2% 19.2% 11.2% 19.2% 11.2% 19.2% 11.2% 19.2% 11.2% 19.2% 11.2% 19.2% 11.2% 19.2% 11.2% 19.2% 11.2% 19.2% 11.2% 19.2% 11.2% 19.2% 11.2% 19.2% 11.2% 19	Bury	24889	15.2%	17.0%	19388	77.9%	13.6%	17.2%	3388	13.6%	16.5%	15.3%	0.89	509	2.0%	37.9%	19.6%	1.14	1097	4.4%	24.2%	17.4%	1.01
Central Bedfordshire  33563  7.8%  17.6%  6827  39.4%  15.6%  29811  88.8%  9.0%  15.6%  717  1.1%  6.6%  10.0%  6.68  10.0%  6.68  10.0%  6.68  6.24%  15.5%  15.4%  1.98  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.19  1.19  1.175  1.196  1.196  1.196  1.197  1.196  1.196  1.197  1.196  1.197  1.196  1.197  1	Calderdale	29233	14.4%	16.5%	22992	78.7%	12.9%	16.7%	4649	15.9%	19.1%	16.4%	0.99	246	0.8%	27.6%	17.1%	1.02	1101	3.8%	22.9%	15.8%	0.95
Central Bedfordshire  33583  9.4%  15.6%  28811  88.8%  9.0%  15.6%  177  172  173  174  177  177  177  177  177  177	Cambridgeshire		9.8%			87.9%		15.3%	2574	3.7%	5.7%		0.75	771						4.8%	12.8%		0.81
Certable Bedfordshire 33888 9.4% 15.6% 29911 88.8% 9.0% 15.6% 77.7 2.1% 6.6% 10.0% 0.64 806 2.4% 15.5% 15.4% 0.98 1803 5.4% 11.2% 17.2% 17.2% 10.0% 0.74 134 0.3% 12.7% 11.9% 11.9% 11.9% 11.9% 11.0% 11.9%	Camden	17336	27.8%	17.6%	6827	39.4%	22.6%	17.1%	3395	19.6%	28.3%	18.2%	1.06	3616	20.9%	36.9%	18.6%	1.09	2015	11.6%	29.6%	18.8%	1.10
Cheshire West and Chester 39766 11.3% 15.3% 37770 95.0% 11.3% 15.4% 522 1.3% 5.9% 10.9% 0.71 128 0.3% 11.7% 18.0% 1.1.6 901 2.3% 12.5% 10.4% City of London 176 24.4% 20.5% 67 38.1% 20.9% 22.4% 58 33.0% 22.4% 17.2% 0.77 14 8.0% 42.9% 24 13.6% 20.8% Cornwall 60692 11.9% 14.6% 58146 95.8% 111.9% 14.6% 12.4% 18.1% 26.00 61.3% 18.1	Central Bedfordshire			15.6%	29811	88.8%	9.0%	15.6%	717	2.1%		10.0%	0.64	806	2.4%	15.5%			1803	5.4%	13.4%		1.10
City of London 176 24.4% 20.5% 67 38.1% 20.9% 22.4% 58 33.0% 22.4% 17.2% 0.77 14 8.0% 42.9% - 24 11.6% 20.8% - Comwall 66692 11.9% 14.6% 58146 95.8% 11.9% 14.6% 223 0.4% 4.9% 9.4% 0.64 59 0.1% 8.5% - 1322 2.2% 14.6% 12.4% 12.4% 15.1% 0.7% 14.8% 15.5% 1.0% 15.3% 14.9% 14.9% 0.64 59 0.1% 8.5% - 1322 2.2% 14.6% 12.4% 12.4% 15.1% 15.0% 11.5% 10.0% 10.56 1463 10.7% 12.81% 15.0% 0.73 2.25% 12.1% 15.0% 11.5% 10.0% 10.56 1463 13.8% 12.8% 15.0% 11.5% 10.0% 10.56 1463 13.5% 12.5% 10.7% 12.4% 10.0% 10.56 1463 13.8% 12.4% 15.1% 10.0% 10.56 1463 14.4% 10.9% 12.0% 14.4% 10.9% 1	Cheshire East	42748	8.4%	10.0%	39817	93.1%	8.2%	10.1%	741	1.7%	6.2%	7.4%	0.74	134	0.3%	12.7%	11.9%	1.19	1375	3.2%	14.4%	10.0%	1.00
Cornwall 60692 11.9% 14.6% 58.146 95.8% 11.9% 14.6% 223 0.4% 4.9% 9.4% 0.64 59 0.1% 8.5% 1322 2.2% 14.6% 12.4% Coventry 43.435 19.4% 18.1% 26601 61.3% 18.3% 20.5% 8391 19.3% 14.9% 12.3% 0.60 4663 10.0% 28.1% 15.0% 0.73 2705 6.2% 28.2% 20.1% 6881 15.0% 11.5% 10.0% 0.56 1353 29.5% 25.7% 17.3% 0.97 6295 18.7% 25.6% 16.7% Cumbria 56065 10.2% 16.2% 54087 96.5% 10.3% 16.3% 387 0.7% 6.7% 13.4% 0.82 87 0.2% 3.4% 13.8% 0.84 758 1.4% 9.9% 12.0% Darlington 13572 17.5% 16.1% 12549 92.5% 17.7% 16.5% 336 2.5% 14.0% 9.8% 0.60 52 0.4% 17.3% 265 2.0% 19.6% 18.3% Derbyshire 34155 17.9% 18.1% 25.579 60.5% 17.2% 19.5% 5995 17.6% 15.9% 18.1% 0.68 1192 3.5% 27.7% 15.1% 0.77 2373 6.9% 26.6% 18.3% Derbyshire 87889 13.2% 15.4% 83572 95.1% 13.1% 15.5% 915 10.0% 6.2% 10.2% 0.66 257 0.3% 13.2% 12.5% 0.80 2205 2.5% 17.4% 14.8% Dorcaster 37669 17.6% 13.2% 3455 17.5% 13.2% 34537 91.7% 17.5% 391 0.97 6.6% 53.3% 8.0% 0.43 13.4 0.2% 17.9% 20.1% 10.09 1652 2.0% 16.6% Dorcaster 37669 17.6% 13.2% 34560 49.7% 12.9% 17.5% 391 0.99 16.6% 0.93 975 2.4% 25.9% 18.8% 0.66 19.0% 13.3% 13.3% 18.3% 18.3% 17.8% 19.5% 391 0.9% 6.1% 9.7% 0.56 90 0.2% 23.3% 13.3% 13.3% 13.5% 15.6% 10.6% 10.0%	Cheshire West and Chester	39766	11.3%	15.3%	37770	95.0%	11.3%	15.4%	522	1.3%	5.9%	10.9%	0.71	128	0.3%	11.7%	18.0%	1.16	901	2.3%	12.5%	10.4%	0.68
Commail   G0692   11.9%   14.6%   S8146   95.8%   11.9%   14.6%   223   0.4%   4.9%   9.4%   0.64   59   0.1%   8.5%   -1     1322   2.2%   14.6%   12.4%   Coventry   43435   19.4%   18.1%   26620   61.3%   18.3%   20.5%   8391   19.3%   14.9%   12.3%   0.60   4663   10.7%   28.1%   15.0%   0.73   2705   6.2%   28.2%   20.1%	City of London	176	24.4%	20.5%	67	38.1%	20.9%	22.4%	58	33.0%	22.4%	17.2%	0.77	14	8.0%	42.9%			24	13.6%	20.8%	i	
Croydon 45929 20.4% 16.1% 17432 38.0% 18.1% 17.7% 6881 15.0% 11.5% 10.0% 0.56 13533 29.5% 25.7% 17.3% 0.97 6295 13.7% 25.6% 16.7% Cumbria 56065 10.2% 16.2% 54087 96.5% 10.3% 16.3% 387 0.7% 6.7% 13.4% 0.82 87 0.2% 3.4% 13.8% 0.84 758 1.4% 9.9% 12.0% Darlington 13572 17.5% 16.1% 12549 92.5% 17.7% 16.5% 336 2.5% 14.0% 9.8% 0.60 52 0.4% 17.3% 0.97 6295 13.7% 25.6% 18.3% Derby 34155 17.9% 18.1% 23557 69.0% 17.2% 19.5% 5995 17.6% 15.9% 13.3% 0.68 1192 3.5% 22.7% 15.1% 0.77 2373 6.9% 26.6% 18.3% Derbyshire 87889 13.2% 15.4% 83572 95.1% 13.1% 15.5% 915 10.0% 6.2% 10.0% 6.2% 10.2% 0.66 257 0.3% 13.2% 12.5% 0.80 2205 2.5% 17.4% 18.8% Doncaster 37669 17.6% 34537 91.7% 17.6% 13.4% 10.73 2.8% 13.5% 8.4% 0.63 420 11.9% 21.0% 10.09 1652 2.0% 16.7% 12.2% Dorset 45771 13.0% 17.4% 43368 94.7% 12.9% 17.5% 391 0.9% 6.1% 9.7% 0.56 90 0.2% 23.3% 13.3% 0.76 1164 2.5% 16.8% 15.9% Dudley 40055 16.9% 17.8% 31640 79.0% 15.2% 17.9% 3984 9.9% 15.9% 16.6% 0.93 975 2.4% 25.9% 18.6% 1.04 2370 5.9% 28.8% 16.8% Durham 57713 19.9% 15.6% 56063 97.1% 20.1% 15.7% 392 0.7% 8.7% 9.2% 0.58 104 0.2% 12.3% 13.3% 0.76 1164 2.5% 16.8% 18.1% East Sussex 56896 13.2% 13.4% 5175 91.0% 13.0% 13.0% 13.0% 13.0% 13.4% 10.5% 99.0% 15.9% 15.9% 15.0% 0.64 368 0.66 19.0% 14.4% 10.6 509 4.4% 11.7% 12.9% 13.9% 16.4 0.4% 24.4% 11.0% 0.9 47 0.1% 6.4% 575 2.0% 14.9% 11.8% 18.8% 14.9% 22144 86.6% 16.4% 15.2% 3703 8.1% 12.6% 9.4% 10.0% 9.4% 0.65 12.0% 15.1% 0.98 379 11.2% 13.0% 13.4% 10.0% 13.6% 15.5% 10.0% 1	Cornwall	60692	11.9%	14.6%	58146	95.8%	11.9%	14.6%	223	0.4%	4.9%	9.4%	0.64	59	0.1%	8.5%			1322	2.2%	14.6%	12.4%	0.85
Croydon 45929 20.4% 16.1% 17432 38.0% 18.1% 17.7% 6881 15.0% 11.5% 10.0% 0.56 13533 29.5% 25.7% 17.3% 0.97 6295 13.7% 25.6% 16.7% Cumbria 56065 10.2% 16.2% 54087 96.5% 10.3% 16.3% 387 0.7% 6.7% 13.4% 0.82 87 0.2% 3.4% 13.8% 0.84 758 1.4% 9.9% 12.0% Derington 13572 17.5% 18.1% 23557 69.5% 17.7% 16.5% 336 2.5% 14.0% 9.8% 0.60 52 0.4% 17.3%	Coventry	43435	19.4%	18.1%	26620	61.3%	18.3%	20.5%	8391	19.3%	14.9%	12.3%	0.60	4663	10.7%	28.1%	15.0%	0.73	2705	6.2%	28.2%	20.1%	0.98
Derington 13572 17.5% 16.1% 12549 92.5% 17.7% 16.5% 336 2.5% 14.0% 9.8% 0.60 52 0.4% 17.3% 265 2.0% 19.6% 14.3% Derby 34155 17.9% 18.1% 23557 69.0% 17.2% 19.5% 5995 17.6% 15.9% 13.3% 0.68 1192 3.5% 22.7% 15.1% 0.77 2373 6.9% 26.6% 18.3% Derbyshire 87889 13.2% 15.4% 83572 95.1% 13.1% 15.5% 915 1.0% 6.2% 10.2% 0.66 257 0.3% 13.2% 12.5% 0.80 2205 2.5% 17.4% 14.8% Devon 81099 13.3% 18.3% 77183 95.2% 13.3% 18.5% 476 0.6% 5.3% 8.0% 0.43 13.4 0.2% 17.9% 20.1% 1.09 1652 2.0% 16.7% 16.6% Doncaster 37669 17.6% 13.2% 34537 91.7% 17.6% 13.4% 1073 2.8% 13.5% 8.4% 0.63 420 1.1% 21.2% 14.0% 1.05 971 2.6% 20.7% 12.2% Dorset 45771 13.0% 17.4% 43368 94.7% 12.9% 17.5% 391 0.9% 6.1% 9.7% 0.56 90 0.2% 23.3% 13.3% 0.76 1164 2.5% 16.8% 15.9% Dudley 40055 16.9% 17.8% 31640 79.0% 15.2% 17.9% 3984 9.9% 15.9% 16.6% 0.93 975 2.4% 25.9% 18.6% 1.04 2370 5.9% 28.8% 16.8% Durham 57713 19.9% 15.6% 56063 97.1% 20.1% 15.7% 392 0.7% 8.7% 9.2% 0.58 104 0.2% 15.4% 15.4% 0.98 712 1.2% 19.7% 16.2% East Riding of Yorkshire 37908 11.9% 13.8% 36457 96.2% 11.9% 13.9% 164 0.4% 2.4% 11.0% 0.79 47 0.1% 6.4% 757 2.0% 14.9% 11.8% East Riding of Yorkshire 37908 11.9% 13.8% 36457 96.2% 11.9% 13.9% 164 0.4% 2.4% 11.0% 0.79 47 0.1% 6.4% 757 2.0% 14.9% 11.8% East Sussex 56896 13.2% 13.4% 21.14 8.6% 16.4% 15.2% 3703 8.1% 10.54 12.6% 9.4% 0.62 11283 24.8% 23.9% 16.7% 1.10 4655 10.2% 21.14 15.0% Gateshead 22613 17.3% 14.2% 15.1180 88.2% 11.0% 14.6% 4053 2.4% 4.9% 7.1% 0.48 4544 2.7% 12.1% 10.6% 0.72 7523 4.4% 15.4% 12.9% 17.0% Gateshead 22613 17.3% 16.8% 20990 92.8% 17.0% 14.4% 10.6% 0.57 10.358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 15.4% 13.8% 13.8% 19.6% 17.5% 14.299 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 26.04 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 15.4% 13.5% 13.8% 13.8% 19.6% 17.5% 14.299 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 26.04 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 15.4% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 15.1% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5% 13.5	Croydon	45929	20.4%	16.1%	17432	38.0%	18.1%	17.7%	6881	15.0%	11.5%	10.0%	0.56	13533	29.5%	25.7%	17.3%	0.97	6295	13.7%	25.6%	16.7%	0.94
Derby 34155 17.9% 18.1% 23557 69.0% 17.2% 19.5% 5995 17.6% 15.9% 13.3% 0.68 1192 3.5% 22.7% 15.1% 0.77 2373 6.9% 26.6% 18.3% Derbyshire 87889 13.2% 15.4% 83572 95.1% 13.1% 15.5% 915 1.0% 6.2% 10.2% 0.66 257 0.3% 13.2% 12.5% 0.80 2205 2.5% 17.4% 14.8% Devon 81099 13.3% 18.3% 77183 95.2% 13.3% 18.5% 476 0.6% 5.3% 8.0% 0.43 134 0.2% 17.9% 20.1% 1.09 1652 2.0% 16.7% 16.6% Dorsater 37669 17.6% 13.2% 34537 91.7% 17.6% 13.4% 1073 2.8% 13.5% 8.4% 0.63 420 1.1% 21.2% 14.0% 1.05 971 2.6% 20.7% 12.2% Dorset 45771 13.0% 17.4% 43368 94.7% 12.9% 17.5% 391 0.9% 6.1% 9.7% 0.56 90 0.2% 23.3% 13.3% 0.76 1164 2.5% 16.8% 15.9% Dudley 40055 16.9% 17.8% 31640 79.0% 15.2% 17.9% 3984 9.9% 15.9% 16.6% 0.93 975 2.4% 25.9% 18.6% 1.04 2370 5.9% 28.8% 16.8% Durham 57713 19.9% 15.6% 56063 97.1% 20.1% 15.5% 392 0.7% 8.7% 9.2% 0.58 104 0.2% 15.4% 15.4% 0.98 712 1.2% 19.7% 16.2% East Riding of Yorkshire 37908 11.9% 13.8% 36457 96.2% 11.9% 13.9% 164 0.4% 2.4% 11.0% 0.79 47 0.1% 6.4% 757 2.0% 14.9% 11.8% East Sussex 56896 13.2% 13.4% 51775 91.0% 13.0% 13.6% 1054 1.9% 7.3% 8.6% 0.64 368 0.6% 19.0% 14.4% 1.06 2509 4.4% 17.7% 12.9% Enfield 45573 18.8% 14.9% 22144 48.6% 16.4% 15.2% 3703 8.1% 12.6% 9.4% 0.62 11283 24.8% 23.9% 16.7% 1.10 4655 10.2% 21.1% 15.0% Easter 17.466 11.1% 14.2% 151180 88.2% 11.0% 17.0% 4702 2.1% 15.5% 10.4% 0.61 269 1.2% 35.3% 15.2% 0.89 359 1.6% 10.9% 11.5% Gateshard 22613 17.3% 16.8% 20990 92.8% 17.0% 17.1% 472 2.1% 15.5% 10.4% 0.58 942 1.3% 15.7% 19.1% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 12.0% 13.88 19.6% 17.5% 14.29 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.55 10.35 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 13.0% 13.88 19.6% 17.5% 14.29 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.55 10.35 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 13.0% 13.88 19.6% 17.5% 14.29 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.55 10.35 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 13.0% 13.0% 13.8% 12.0% 11.9% 13.8% 13.8% 12.0% 11.9% 13.8% 13.6% 13.0% 13.6% 13.0% 13.6% 13.6% 13.0% 13.6% 13.0% 13.6% 13.0% 13.6% 13.0% 13.6% 13.0% 13.6% 13.0%	Cumbria	56065	10.2%	16.2%	54087	96.5%	10.3%	16.3%	387	0.7%	6.7%	13.4%	0.82	87	0.2%	3.4%	13.8%	0.84	758	1.4%	9.9%	12.0%	0.73
Derbyshire 87889 13.2% 15.4% 83572 95.1% 13.1% 15.5% 915 1.0% 6.2% 10.2% 0.66 257 0.3% 13.2% 12.5% 0.80 2205 2.5% 17.4% 14.8% Devon 81099 13.3% 18.3% 77183 95.2% 13.3% 18.5% 476 0.6% 5.3% 8.0% 0.43 134 0.2% 17.9% 20.1% 1.09 1652 2.0% 16.7% 16.6% Doncaster 37669 17.6% 13.2% 34537 91.7% 17.6% 13.4% 1073 2.8% 13.5% 8.4% 0.63 420 1.1% 21.2% 14.0% 1.05 971 2.6% 20.7% 12.2% Dudley 4075 16.9% 17.8% 31640 79.0% 15.2% 17.9% 3984 9.9% 15.9% 16.6% 0.93 975 2.4% 25.9% 18.6% 1.04 2370 5.9% 28.8% 16.8% Durham 57713 19.9% 15.6% 56063 97.1% 20.1% 15.7% 392 0.7% 8.7% 9.2% 0.58 104 0.2% 15.4% 15.4% 0.98 712 1.2% 19.7% 16.2% Ealing 40831 16.1% 15.9% 12453 30.5% 9.8% 15.0% 11562 28.3% 11.9% 13.2% 0.88 7008 17.2% 29.0% 21.3% 14.3 3500 8.6% 18.5% 18.1% East Riding of Yorkshire 37908 11.9% 13.4% 51775 91.0% 13.0% 13.6% 1054 1.9% 7.3% 8.6% 0.64 368 0.6% 19.0% 14.4% 1.06 2509 4.4% 17.7% 12.9% East Sussex 56896 13.2% 13.4% 51775 91.0% 13.0% 13.6% 1054 1.9% 7.3% 8.6% 0.62 11283 24.8% 23.9% 16.7% 1.10 4655 10.2% 21.1% 15.0% Easter Art 11.1% 14.2% 151180 88.2% 11.0% 14.6% 4053 2.4% 4.9% 7.1% 0.48 4544 2.7% 12.1% 10.6% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% Greenwich 31881 19.6% 17.5% 1429 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 13.0% 13.0% 13.0% 13.0% 13.0% 15.2% 12.0% 11.0% 0.58 942 1.3% 15.7% 19.1% 1.18 3023 4.2% 17.7% 15.4% 15.4% 15.4% 15.4% 15.4% 15.4% 15.4% 15.4% 15.4% 15.4% 15.4% 15.4% 15.4% 15.6% 15.4% 15.1% 15	Darlington	13572	17.5%	16.1%	12549	92.5%	17.7%	16.5%	336	2.5%	14.0%	9.8%	0.60	52	0.4%	17.3%			265	2.0%	19.6%	14.3%	0.87
Devon 81099 13.3% 18.3% 77183 95.2% 13.3% 18.5% 476 0.6% 5.3% 8.0% 0.43 134 0.2% 17.9% 20.1% 1.09 1652 2.0% 16.7% 16.6% Doncaster 37669 17.6% 13.2% 34537 91.7% 17.6% 13.4% 1073 2.8% 13.5% 8.4% 0.63 420 1.1% 21.2% 14.0% 1.05 971 2.6% 20.7% 12.2% Dorset 45771 13.0% 17.4% 43368 94.7% 12.9% 17.5% 391 0.9% 6.1% 9.7% 0.56 90 0.2% 23.3% 13.3% 0.76 1164 2.5% 16.8% 15.9% Durlham 57713 19.9% 15.6% 56063 97.1% 20.1% 15.7% 392 0.7% 8.7% 9.2% 0.58 104 0.2% 15.4% 15.4% 0.98 712 1.2% 19.7% 16.8% Ealing 40831 16.1% 15.9% 12453 30.5% 9.8% 15.0% 11562 28.3% 11.9% 13.2% 0.88 7008 17.2% 29.0% 21.3% 1.43 3500 8.6% 18.5% 18.1% East Riding of Yorkshire 37908 11.9% 13.8% 36457 96.2% 11.9% 13.9% 164 0.4% 2.4% 11.0% 0.79 47 0.1% 6.4% 757 2.0% 14.9% 11.8% East Sussex 56896 13.2% 13.4% 51775 91.0% 13.0% 13.6% 1054 1.9% 7.3% 8.6% 0.64 368 0.6% 19.0% 14.4% 1.06 2509 4.4% 17.7% 12.9% Eastex 171466 11.1% 14.2% 151180 88.2% 11.0% 15.2% 3703 8.1% 12.6% 9.4% 0.61 269 1.2% 35.3% 15.9% 16.8% 12.6% 634es 22.613 17.3% 16.8% 2090 9.2.8% 17.0% 17.1% 472 2.1% 15.5% 10.4% 0.51 15.7% 13.5% 15.9% 15.5% 10.5% 0.58 942 1.3% 15.7% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% Greenwich 31881 19.6% 17.5% 1429 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 11.0% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 11.0% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 15.4% 15.2% 13.8% 13.8% 17.5% 14.29 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 15.4% 13.1%	Derby	34155	17.9%	18.1%	23557	69.0%	17.2%	19.5%	5995	17.6%	15.9%	13.3%	0.68	1192	3.5%	22.7%	15.1%	0.77	2373	6.9%	26.6%	18.3%	0.94
Doncaster 37669 17.6% 13.2% 34537 91.7% 17.6% 13.4% 1073 2.8% 13.5% 8.4% 0.63 420 1.1% 21.2% 14.0% 1.05 971 2.6% 20.7% 12.2% Dorset 45771 13.0% 17.4% 43368 94.7% 12.9% 17.5% 391 0.9% 6.1% 9.7% 0.56 90 0.2% 23.3% 13.3% 0.76 1164 2.5% 16.8% 15.9% Dudley 40055 16.9% 17.8% 31640 79.0% 15.2% 17.9% 3984 9.9% 15.9% 16.6% 0.93 975 2.4% 25.9% 18.6% 1.04 2370 5.9% 28.8% 16.8% Durham 57713 19.9% 15.6% 56063 97.1% 20.1% 15.7% 392 0.7% 8.7% 9.2% 0.58 104 0.2% 15.4% 15.4% 0.98 712 1.2% 19.7% 16.2% Ealing 40831 16.1% 15.9% 12453 30.5% 9.8% 15.0% 11562 28.3% 11.9% 13.2% 0.88 7008 17.2% 29.0% 21.3% 1.43 3500 8.6% 18.5% 18.1% East Riding of Yorkshire 37908 11.9% 13.8% 36457 96.2% 11.9% 13.9% 164 0.4% 2.4% 11.0% 0.79 47 0.1% 6.4% 757 2.0% 14.9% 11.8% East Sussex 56896 13.2% 13.4% 51775 91.0% 13.6% 1054 1.9% 7.3% 8.6% 0.64 368 0.6% 19.0% 14.4% 1.06 2509 4.4% 17.7% 12.9% Essex 17.1466 11.1% 14.2% 151180 88.2% 11.0% 14.6% 4053 2.4% 4.9% 7.1% 0.48 4544 2.7% 12.1% 10.6% 0.72 7523 4.4% 15.4% 19.2% 17.0% Gloucestershire 71237 10.2% 16.1% 6422 90.2% 9.9% 16.2% 1942 2.7% 6.1% 9.4% 0.58 942 1.3% 15.7% 19.1% 11.8 3023 4.2% 17.7% 15.4% Greenwich 31881 19.6% 17.5% 1429 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.7% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.9% 11.9% 13.8% 10.5% 11.9% 13.8% 10.5% 11.9% 13.8% 10.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 10.5% 13.8% 19.6% 17.5% 1429 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 10.5	Derbyshire	87889	13.2%	15.4%	83572	95.1%	13.1%	15.5%	915	1.0%	6.2%	10.2%	0.66	257	0.3%	13.2%	12.5%	0.80	2205	2.5%	17.4%	14.8%	0.95
Dorset 45771 13.0% 17.4% 43368 94.7% 12.9% 17.5% 391 0.9% 6.1% 9.7% 0.56 90 0.2% 23.3% 13.3% 0.76 1164 2.5% 16.8% 15.9% Dudley 40055 16.9% 17.8% 31640 79.0% 15.2% 17.9% 3984 9.9% 15.9% 16.6% 0.93 975 2.4% 25.9% 18.6% 1.04 2370 5.9% 28.8% 16.8% Durham 57713 19.9% 15.6% 56063 97.1% 20.1% 15.7% 392 0.7% 8.7% 9.2% 0.58 104 0.2% 15.4% 15.4% 0.98 712 1.2% 19.7% 16.2% Ealing 40831 16.1% 15.9% 12453 30.5% 9.8% 15.0% 11562 28.3% 11.9% 13.2% 0.88 7008 17.2% 29.0% 21.3% 1.43 3500 8.6% 18.5% 18.1% East Riding of Yorkshire 37908 11.9% 13.8% 36457 96.2% 11.9% 13.9% 164 0.4% 2.4% 11.0% 0.79 47 0.1% 6.4% 757 2.0% 14.9% 11.8% 11.8% 15.9% 18.8% 14.9% 22144 48.6% 16.4% 15.2% 3703 8.1% 12.6% 9.4% 0.62 11283 24.8% 23.9% 16.7% 1.06 2509 4.4% 15.4% 15.0% 15.9% 15.9% 15.9% 16.8% 15.9% 15.5% 10.4% 0.61 269 1.2% 35.3% 15.2% 0.89 359 1.6% 19.2% 17.0% 15.4% 15.1% 0.68 19.2% 17.0% 15.1% 0.61 269 1.2% 35.3% 15.2% 0.89 359 1.6% 19.2% 17.0% 15.4% Greenwich 31881 19.6% 17.5% 1429 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.9% 15.1% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.9% 15.1% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.9% 15.1% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.9% 15.1% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.9% 15.1% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.9% 15.1% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.9% 15.1% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.9% 15.1% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.9% 15.1% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.9% 15.1% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.9% 15.1% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.9% 15.1% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.9% 15.1% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.9% 15.1% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.9% 15.1% 0.57	Devon	81099	13.3%	18.3%	77183	95.2%	13.3%	18.5%	476	0.6%	5.3%	8.0%	0.43	134	0.2%	17.9%	20.1%	1.09	1652	2.0%	16.7%	16.6%	0.90
Dudley 40055 16.9% 17.8% 31640 79.0% 15.2% 17.9% 3984 9.9% 15.9% 16.6% 0.93 975 2.4% 25.9% 18.6% 1.04 2370 5.9% 28.8% 16.8% Durham 57713 19.9% 15.6% 56063 97.1% 20.1% 15.7% 392 0.7% 8.7% 9.2% 0.58 104 0.2% 15.4% 15.4% 0.98 712 1.2% 19.7% 16.2% Ealing 40831 16.1% 15.9% 12453 30.5% 9.8% 15.0% 11562 28.3% 11.9% 13.2% 0.88 7008 17.2% 29.0% 21.3% 1.43 3500 8.6% 18.5% 18.1% East Riding of Yorkshire 37908 11.9% 13.8% 36457 96.2% 11.9% 13.9% 164 0.4% 2.4% 11.0% 0.79 47 0.1% 6.4% 757 2.0% 14.9% 11.8% East Sussex 56896 13.2% 13.4% 51775 91.0% 13.0% 13.6% 1054 1.9% 7.3% 8.6% 0.64 368 0.6% 19.0% 14.4% 1.06 2509 4.4% 17.7% 12.9% Enfield 45573 18.8% 14.9% 22144 48.6% 16.4% 15.2% 3703 8.1% 12.6% 9.4% 0.62 11283 24.8% 23.9% 16.7% 1.10 4655 10.2% 21.1% 15.0% Gateshead 22613 17.3% 16.8% 20990 92.8% 17.0% 17.1% 472 2.1% 15.5% 10.4% 0.61 269 1.2% 35.3% 15.2% 0.89 359 1.6% 19.2% 17.0% Gloucestershire 71237 10.2% 16.1% 6422 90.2% 9.9% 16.2% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.5% 15.4% 15.1% 10.6% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 15.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 15.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 17.5% 15.9% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 10.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7% 10.5% 1	Doncaster	37669	17.6%	13.2%	34537	91.7%	17.6%	13.4%	1073	2.8%	13.5%	8.4%	0.63	420	1.1%	21.2%	14.0%	1.05	971	2.6%	20.7%	12.2%	0.91
Durham 57713 19.9% 15.6% 56063 97.1% 20.1% 15.7% 392 0.7% 8.7% 9.2% 0.58 104 0.2% 15.4% 15.4% 0.98 712 1.2% 19.7% 16.2% Ealing 40831 16.1% 15.9% 12453 30.5% 9.8% 15.0% 11562 28.3% 11.9% 13.2% 0.88 7008 17.2% 29.0% 21.3% 1.43 3500 8.6% 18.5% 18.1% East Riding of Yorkshire 37908 11.9% 13.8% 36457 96.2% 11.9% 13.9% 164 0.4% 2.4% 11.0% 0.79 47 0.1% 6.4% 757 2.0% 14.9% 11.8% East Sussex 56896 13.2% 13.4% 51775 91.0% 13.0% 13.6% 1054 1.9% 7.3% 8.6% 0.64 368 0.6% 19.0% 14.4% 1.06 2509 4.4% 17.7% 12.9% Enfield 45573 18.8% 14.9% 22144 48.6% 16.4% 15.2% 3703 8.1% 12.6% 9.4% 0.62 11283 24.8% 23.9% 16.7% 1.10 4655 10.2% 21.1% 15.0% Essex 171466 11.1% 14.2% 151180 88.2% 11.0% 14.6% 4053 2.4% 4.9% 7.1% 0.48 4544 2.7% 12.1% 10.6% 0.72 7523 4.4% 15.4% 12.6% Gateshead 22613 17.3% 16.8% 20990 92.8% 17.0% 17.1% 472 2.1% 15.5% 10.4% 0.61 269 1.2% 35.3% 15.2% 0.89 359 1.6% 19.2% 17.0% Gloucestershire 71237 10.2% 16.1% 6422 90.2% 9.9% 16.2% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7%	Dorset	45771	13.0%	17.4%	43368	94.7%	12.9%	17.5%	391	0.9%	6.1%	9.7%	0.56	90	0.2%	23.3%	13.3%	0.76	1164	2.5%	16.8%	15.9%	0.91
Ealing 4081 16.1% 15.9% 12453 30.5% 9.8% 15.0% 11562 28.3% 11.9% 13.2% 0.88 7008 17.2% 29.0% 21.3% 1.43 3500 8.6% 18.5% 18.1% East Riding of Yorkshire 37908 11.9% 13.8% 36457 96.2% 11.9% 13.9% 164 0.4% 2.4% 11.0% 0.79 47 0.1% 6.4% 757 2.0% 14.9% 11.8% East Sussex 56896 13.2% 13.4% 51775 91.0% 13.0% 13.6% 1054 1.9% 7.3% 8.6% 0.64 368 0.6% 19.0% 14.4% 1.06 2509 4.4% 17.7% 12.9% Enfield 45573 18.8% 14.9% 22144 48.6% 16.4% 15.2% 3703 8.1% 12.6% 9.4% 0.62 11283 24.8% 23.9% 16.7% 1.10 4655 10.2% 21.1% 15.0% Essex 171466 11.1% 14.2% 151180 88.2% 11.0% 14.6% 4053 2.4% 4.9% 7.1% 0.48 4544 2.7% 12.1% 10.6% 0.72 7523 4.4% 15.4% 12.6% Gateshead 22613 17.3% 16.8% 20990 92.8% 17.0% 17.1% 472 2.1% 15.5% 10.4% 0.61 269 1.2% 35.3% 15.2% 0.89 359 1.6% 19.2% 17.0% Gloucestershire 71237 10.2% 16.1% 6422 90.2% 9.9% 16.2% 1942 2.7% 6.1% 9.4% 0.58 942 1.3% 15.7% 19.1% 1.18 3023 4.2% 16.7% 15.4% Greenwich 31881 19.6% 17.5% 1429 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7%	Dudley	40055	16.9%	17.8%	31640	79.0%	15.2%	17.9%	3984	9.9%	15.9%	16.6%	0.93	975	2.4%	25.9%	18.6%	1.04	2370	5.9%	28.8%	16.8%	0.94
East Riding of Yorkshire 37908 11.9% 13.8% 36457 96.2% 11.9% 13.9% 164 0.4% 2.4% 11.0% 0.79 47 0.1% 6.4% 757 2.0% 14.9% 11.8% East Sussex 56896 13.2% 13.4% 51775 91.0% 13.0% 13.6% 1054 1.9% 7.3% 8.6% 0.64 368 0.6% 19.0% 14.4% 1.06 2509 4.4% 17.7% 12.9% Enfield 45573 18.8% 14.9% 22144 48.6% 16.4% 15.2% 3703 8.1% 12.6% 9.4% 0.62 11283 24.8% 23.9% 16.7% 1.10 4655 10.2% 21.1% 15.0% Essex 171466 11.1% 14.2% 151180 88.2% 11.0% 14.6% 4053 2.4% 4.9% 7.1% 0.48 4544 2.7% 12.1% 10.6% 0.72 7523 4.4% 15.4% 12.6% Gateshead 22613 17.3% 16.8% 20990 92.8% 17.0% 17.1% 472 2.1% 15.5% 10.4% 0.61 269 1.2% 35.3% 15.2% 0.89 359 1.6% 19.2% 17.0% Gloucestershire 71237 10.2% 16.1% 6422 90.2% 9.9% 16.2% 1942 2.7% 6.1% 9.4% 0.58 942 1.3% 15.7% 19.1% 1.18 3023 4.2% 16.7% 15.4% Greenwich 31881 19.6% 17.5% 1429 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7%	Durham	57713	19.9%	15.6%	56063	97.1%	20.1%	15.7%	392	0.7%	8.7%	9.2%	0.58	104	0.2%	15.4%	15.4%	0.98	712	1.2%	19.7%	16.2%	1.03
East Sussex 56896 13.2% 13.4% 51775 91.0% 13.0% 13.6% 1054 1.9% 7.3% 8.6% 0.64 368 0.6% 19.0% 14.4% 1.06 2509 4.4% 17.7% 12.9% Enfield 45573 18.8% 14.9% 22144 48.6% 16.4% 15.2% 3703 8.1% 12.6% 9.4% 0.62 11283 24.8% 23.9% 16.7% 1.10 4655 10.2% 21.1% 15.0% Essex 171466 11.1% 14.2% 151180 88.2% 11.0% 14.6% 4053 2.4% 4.9% 7.1% 0.48 4544 2.7% 12.1% 10.6% 0.72 7523 4.4% 15.4% 12.6% Gateshead 22613 17.3% 16.8% 20990 92.8% 17.0% 17.1% 472 2.1% 15.5% 10.4% 0.61 269 1.2% 35.3% 15.2% 0.89 359 1.6% 19.2% 17.0% Gloucestershire 71237 10.2% 16.1% 64222 90.2% 9.9% 16.2% 1942 2.7% 6.1% 9.4% 0.58 942 1.3% 15.7% 19.1% 1.18 3023 4.2% 16.7% 15.4% Greenwich 31881 19.6% 17.5% 14299 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7%	Ealing	40831	16.1%	15.9%	12453	30.5%	9.8%	15.0%	11562	28.3%	11.9%	13.2%	0.88	7008	17.2%	29.0%	21.3%	1.43	3500	8.6%	18.5%	18.1%	1.21
Enfield 45573 18.8% 14.9% 22144 48.6% 16.4% 15.2% 3703 8.1% 12.6% 9.4% 0.62 11283 24.8% 23.9% 16.7% 1.10 4655 10.2% 21.1% 15.0% Essex 171466 11.1% 14.2% 151180 88.2% 11.0% 14.6% 4053 2.4% 4.9% 7.1% 0.48 4544 2.7% 12.1% 10.6% 0.72 7523 4.4% 15.4% 12.6% Gateshead 22613 17.3% 16.8% 20990 92.8% 17.0% 17.1% 472 2.1% 15.5% 10.4% 0.61 269 1.2% 35.3% 15.2% 0.89 359 1.6% 19.2% 17.0% Gloucestershire 71237 10.2% 16.1% 64222 90.2% 9.9% 16.2% 1942 2.7% 6.1% 9.4% 0.58 942 1.3% 15.7% 19.1% 1.18 3023 4.2% 16.7% 15.4% Greenwich 31881 19.6% 17.5% 1429 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7%	East Riding of Yorkshire	37908	11.9%	13.8%	36457	96.2%	11.9%	13.9%	164	0.4%	2.4%	11.0%	0.79	47	0.1%	6.4%			757	2.0%	14.9%	11.8%	0.85
Essex 171466 11.1% 14.2% 151180 88.2% 11.0% 14.6% 4053 2.4% 4.9% 7.1% 0.48 4544 2.7% 12.1% 10.6% 0.72 7523 4.4% 15.4% 12.6% Gateshead 22613 17.3% 16.8% 20990 92.8% 17.0% 17.1% 472 2.1% 15.5% 10.4% 0.61 269 1.2% 35.3% 15.2% 0.89 359 1.6% 19.2% 17.0% Gloucestershire 71237 10.2% 16.1% 6422 90.2% 9.9% 16.2% 1942 2.7% 6.1% 9.4% 0.58 942 1.3% 15.7% 19.1% 1.18 3023 4.2% 16.7% 15.4% Greenwich 31881 19.6% 17.5% 1429 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7%	East Sussex	56896	13.2%	13.4%	51775	91.0%	13.0%	13.6%	1054	1.9%	7.3%	8.6%	0.64	368	0.6%	19.0%	14.4%	1.06	2509	4.4%	17.7%	12.9%	0.95
Gateshead 22613 17.3% 16.8% 20990 92.8% 17.0% 17.1% 472 2.1% 15.5% 10.4% 0.61 269 1.2% 35.3% 15.2% 0.89 359 1.6% 19.2% 17.0% Gloucestershire 71237 10.2% 16.1% 64222 90.2% 9.9% 16.2% 1942 2.7% 6.1% 9.4% 0.58 942 1.3% 15.7% 19.1% 1.18 3023 4.2% 16.7% 15.4% Greenwich 31881 19.6% 17.5% 1429 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7%	Enfield	45573	18.8%	14.9%	22144	48.6%	16.4%	15.2%	3703	8.1%	12.6%	9.4%	0.62	11283	24.8%	23.9%	16.7%	1.10	4655	10.2%	21.1%	15.0%	0.98
Gateshead 22613 17.3% 16.8% 2099 92.8% 17.0% 17.1% 472 2.1% 15.5% 10.4% 0.61 269 1.2% 35.3% 15.2% 0.89 359 1.6% 19.2% 17.0% Gloucestershire 71237 10.2% 16.1% 6422 90.2% 9.9% 16.2% 1942 2.7% 6.1% 9.4% 0.58 942 1.3% 15.7% 19.1% 1.18 3023 4.2% 16.7% 15.4% Greenwich 31881 19.6% 17.5% 1429 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7%	Essex	171466	11.1%	14.2%	151180	88.2%	11.0%	14.6%	4053	2.4%	4.9%	7.1%	0.48	4544	2.7%	12.1%	10.6%	0.72	7523	4.4%	15.4%	12.6%	0.86
Gloucestershire 71237 10.2% 16.1% 64222 90.2% 9.9% 16.2% 1942 2.7% 6.1% 9.4% 0.58 942 1.3% 15.7% 19.1% 1.18 3023 4.2% 16.7% 15.4% Greenwich 31881 19.6% 17.5% 14299 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7%	Gateshead																						0.99
Greenwich 31881 19.6% 17.5% 14299 44.9% 21.0% 20.8% 2604 8.2% 12.0% 11.9% 0.57 10358 32.5% 17.9% 15.1% 0.72 3212 10.1% 24.2% 17.7%	Gloucestershire																						0.95
	Greenwich											-											0.85
	Hackney	27041	33.0%	21.0%	9237	34.2%	24.9%	19.3%	3256	12.0%	30.7%	17.8%	0.92	9852	36.4%	40.2%	23.8%		2651	9.8%	36.6%	21.9%	1.13
Halton 16300 28.5% 16.8% 15660 96.1% 28.5% 16.9% 45 0.3% 6.7% 16 0.1% 37.5% 383 2.3% 31.6% 12.8%	Halton																					1	0.76
Hammers mith and Fulham 15307 22.6% 18.9% 6557 42.8% 14.6% 16.3% 1123 7.3% 18.3% 16.4% 1.01 3863 25.2% 31.9% 22.1% 1.36 1836 12.0% 26.7% 20.9%	Hammersmith and Fulham											16.4%	1.01				22.1%	1.36					1.29
Hampshire 153538 8.9% 14.3% 139988 91.2% 8.9% 14.6% 4319 2.8% 4.4% 8.7% 0.60 1592 1.0% 8.6% 12.1% 0.83 5064 3.3% 11.9% 13.0%	Hampshire																						0.89
Haringey 30434 22.0% 18.4% 14478 47.6% 15.1% 16.5% 1828 6.0% 21.4% 15.2% 0.92 8047 26.4% 33.7% 22.9% 1.39 3331 10.9% 21.6% 18.3%	Haringey																						1.11

Table 7: Any SEN (including all types of primary need, all levels of need) All-LA descriptive statistics and Risk Ratios (RR), Y1-11 (ages 5-16), 2016

Table 7. Ally SEIV (II		an type			ccu, an				- ucsci					.103 (1111	,,	T (age	,	, 2010				
	Overall			White			SEN	Asian			SEN		Black			SEN		Mixed			SEN	
					% of LA	In	ncidence (%	N	% of LA	li .	ncidence (%		N	% of LA		Incidence		N	% of LA		Incidence (%	i
			SEN total	N	pupils in	% FSM	within	(pupils in	pupils in	% FSM	within		(pupils in	pupils in	% FSM	(% within		(pupils in	pupils in	% FSM	within	l .
	Al (numila)	Doroont FCA4	Incidence	(pupils in	ethnic	(within	ethnic	ethnic	ethnic	(within	ethnic	Diele Destin	ethnic	ethnic	(within	ethnic	Diele Desie	ethnic	ethnic	(within	ethnic	Diele Destin
Lacal Authority	N (pupils)	Percent raivi	(%)	ethnic group)	group	group)	group)	group)	group	group)	group)	Risk Ratio	group)	group	group)	group)	Risk Ratio	group)	group	group)	group)	Risk Ratio
Local Authority Harrow	20074	11.00/	12.20/	7072	27.00/	0.10/	45.20/	12101	46.00/	7.00/	0.00/	0.66	2200	11.00/	24.00/	10.70/	1.20	2202	0.20/	45 50/	15 40/	1.00
Hartlepool	28671	11.0%	13.2%	7973	27.8%	9.1%	15.2%	13191	46.0%	7.8%	9.9%	0.66	3388	11.8%	21.0%	19.7%	1.30	2382	8.3%	15.5%	15.4%	1.02
·	12113	23.9%	15.3%	11575	95.6%	23.9%	15.5%	274	2.3%	17.2%	8.4%	0.54	49	0.4%	32.7%			133	1.1%	29.3%	15.0%	ī
Havering	32773	12.3%	9.8%	24248	74.0%	12.3%	10.3%	1830	5.6%	7.8%	6.0%	0.58	3782	11.5%	11.9%	8.3%	0.81	1989	6.1%	18.1%	10.3%	1.00
Herefordshire	19958	9.1%	19.0%	19203	96.2%	9.1%	19.2%	92	0.5%	0.0%			39	0.2%	5.1%			475	2.4%	12.4%	14.3%	
Hertfordshire	148782	8.7%	14.9%	118524	79.7%	8.6%	15.5%	11189	7.5%	6.1%	10.5%	0.68	5908	4.0%	9.7%	13.3%	0.86	9957	6.7%	12.5%	14.6%	1
Hillingdon	39407	15.5%	15.6%	17448	44.3%	15.6%	18.5%	9910	25.1%	9.1%	11.5%	0.62	4267	10.8%	26.9%	15.5%	0.84	4414	11.2%	17.1%	14.9%	0.81
Hounslow	32490	15.6%	19.3%	11620	35.8%	15.4%	22.8%	10026	30.9%	9.2%	14.0%	0.61	4105	12.6%	26.1%	24.4%	1.07	2762	8.5%	19.3%	20.5%	0.90
Isle of Wight	14227	13.9%	18.4%	13576	95.4%	13.9%	18.5%	111	0.8%	6.3%	13.5%	0.73	25	0.2%	16.0%			378	2.7%	17.2%	15.3%	0.83
Isles of Scilly	235	2.6%	15.3%	221	94.0%	2.7%	16.3%	х		0.0%	j		х		0.0%	i		7	3.0%	0.0%		i
Islington	19300	31.9%	20.3%	8313	43.1%	28.7%	20.3%	1717	8.9%	31.2%	17.4%	0.85	4829	25.0%	35.7%	22.7%	1.11	2821	14.6%	36.4%	19.0%	0.94
Kensington and Chelsea	10017	21.6%	14.6%	3953	39.5%	14.0%	14.1%	374	3.7%	19.8%	15.0%	1.06	1832	18.3%	31.2%	17.3%	1.23	1758	17.6%	24.9%	14.8%	1.05
Kent	183547	12.1%	13.5%	161014	87.7%	12.6%	14.1%	6594	3.6%	4.2%	6.3%	0.45	4151	2.3%	8.3%	9.1%	0.65	8510	4.6%	12.1%	11.0%	0.79
Kingston upon Hull, City of	31432	25.1%	19.1%	28418	90.4%	24.8%	19.5%	478	1.5%	16.7%	9.6%	0.49	490	1.6%	35.7%	15.9%	0.82	1009	3.2%	27.2%	15.5%	0.79
Kingston upon Thames	19072	9.0%	12.0%	11408	59.8%	7.7%	13.4%	3614	18.9%	7.6%	8.4%	0.63	638	3.3%	21.6%	15.7%	1.17	1891	9.9%	13.2%	12.3%	0.92
Kirklees	55687	20.6%	12.5%	35489	63.7%	18.3%	12.7%	14803	26.6%	21.0%	11.5%	0.90	1088	2.0%	36.2%	15.2%	1.20	3390	6.1%	36.1%	14.5%	1.14
Knowsley	16164	32.5%	25.4%	15371	95.1%	32.9%	25.6%	223	1.4%	8.1%	9.9%	0.38	97	0.6%	25.8%	23.7%	0.93	320	2.0%	36.9%	26.3%	1.02
Lambeth	29364	26.3%	20.4%	8779	29.9%	16.0%	18.3%	1342	4.6%	22.7%	13.5%	0.74	13204	45.0%	32.7%	23.0%	1.26	3890	13.2%	30.0%	20.2%	1.10
Lancashire	144891	14.1%	12.6%	122226	84.4%	13.9%	13.0%	15773	10.9%	13.9%	10.5%	0.81	467	0.3%	12.0%	11.8%	0.91	4111	2.8%	21.5%	11.8%	0.91
Leeds	93823	17.5%	15.0%	68504	73.0%	16.2%	15.1%	11152	11.9%	16.1%	13.1%	0.87	6004	6.4%	25.5%	16.9%	1.12	5419	5.8%	26.9%	17.3%	
Leicester	44625	19.1%	16.9%	16336	36.6%	25.7%	24.0%	19234	43.1%	10.7%	11.1%	0.46	4649	10.4%	24.0%	15.6%	0.65	3040	6.8%	29.0%	19.4%	
Leicestershire	80348	8.2%	13.2%	69089	86.0%	8.3%	13.9%	5978	7.4%	4.9%	6.2%	0.44	735	0.9%	9.7%	11.6%	0.83	3371	4.2%	11.8%	12.9%	0.93
Lewisham	33307	20.3%	17.9%	10778	32.4%	17.7%	18.1%	2065	6.2%	13.2%	12.1%	0.67	13324	40.0%	22.1%	19.5%	1.08	4724	14.2%	24.6%	17.0%	
Lincolnshire	85738	12.8%	17.3%	81454	95.0%	12.9%	17.6%	891	1.0%	3.3%	5.2%	0.29	328	0.4%	8.5%	11.0%	0.62	2089	2.4%	15.0%	13.5%	
Liverpool	53960	27.4%	19.4%	44064	81.7%	26.1%	19.9%	2020	3.7%	20.2%	10.4%	0.52	2233	4.1%	42.0%	19.0%	0.95	2745	5.1%	35.6%	19.5%	0.98
Luton	32414	17.2%	15.9%	11080	34.2%	15.9%	17.5%	14163	43.7%	16.2%	14.7%	0.84	3675	11.3%	18.6%	15.5%	0.89	2713	8.4%	26.8%	16.7%	0.95
Manchester	65210	27.8%	16.8%	28925	44.4%	29.6%	20.0%	14348	22.0%	18.2%	12.8%	0.64	10925	16.8%	30.6%	14.1%	0.71	5306	8.1%	35.3%	19.4%	
Medway	35756	13.6%	18.9%	29515	82.5%	14.0%	20.0%	1677	4.7%	6.1%	9.5%	0.48	1855	5.2%	8.7%	12.0%	0.60	2042	5.7%	17.5%	17.9%	0.89
Merton	21986	15.0%	18.3%	10899	49.6%	13.7%	19.2%	4302	19.6%	12.0%	13.1%	0.48	3572	16.2%	23.0%	21.6%	1.13	2288	10.4%	22.9%	20.1%	
Middlesbrough	18350	31.0%	19.5%	14588	79.5%	31.5%	20.3%	2071	11.3%	17.7%	16.8%	0.83	282	1.5%	56.7%	11.0%	0.54	809	4.4%	37.8%	20.1%	
Milton Keynes	36500	11.4%	14.9%	23930	65.6%	11.3%	16.7%	3977	10.9%	7.5%	9.3%	0.83	4937	13.5%	14.0%	12.0%		2637	7.2%	13.8%	13.7%	i
Newcastle upon Tyne	30490	25.0%	17.7%	23930	76.4%	26.5%	18.8%	3665	12.0%	15.3%	9.5% 14.7%	0.30	1265	4.1%	32.2%	15.2%	0.72	1143	3.7%	22.7%	12.1%	
Newham																	0.81					
Norfolk	47827	21.7%	16.1%	8682	18.2%	20.7%	19.0%	21582	45.1%	18.4%	13.7%	0.72	11487	24.0%	27.7%	18.6%	0.98	2962	6.2%	29.8%	19.1%	î
North East Lincolnshire	93903	13.5%	16.7%	87541	93.2%	13.4%	16.9%	1156	1.2%	7.5%	8.3%	0.49	788	0.8%	19.3%	15.1%	0.89	2595	2.8%	18.0%	14.6%	0.87
North Lincolnshire	19673	17.9%	12.7%	18743	95.3%	18.1%	12.8%	139	0.7%	10.8%	9.4%	0.73	46	0.2%	13.0%	42.604		464	2.4%	14.9%	11.2%	1
	20691	14.2%	15.0%	19169	92.6%	14.0%	15.4%	801	3.9%	14.9%	7.7%	0.50	118	0.6%	32.2%	13.6%	0.88	328	1.6%	17.7%	11.9%	
North Somerset	24895	9.9%	12.8%	23533	94.5%	9.8%	12.9%	305	1.2%	3.3%	7.5%	0.58	116	0.5%	12.1%	9.5%	0.74	759	3.0%	14.0%	12.9%	1.00
North Tyneside	24077	14.8%	14.9%	22446	93.2%	14.7%	15.1%	526	2.2%	11.2%	11.4%	0.76	175	0.7%	24.6%	14.3%	0.95	534	2.2%	17.8%	15.2%	1.01
North Yorkshire	67652	7.6%	12.0%	64142	94.8%	7.6%	12.1%	1070	1.6%	5.1%	7.4%	0.61	246	0.4%	6.5%	12.6%	1.04	1436	2.1%	9.2%	11.2%	ī .
Northamptonshire	93159	13.0%	12.5%	78676	84.5%	12.5%	12.9%	4046	4.3%	8.9%	8.0%	0.62	4291	4.6%	19.0%	9.9%	0.77	4713	5.1%	20.6%	12.3%	0.95
Northumberland	36031	13.3%	17.0%	34766	96.5%	13.4%	17.2%	463	1.3%	5.6%	7.6%	0.44	36	0.1%	5.6%			551	1.5%	18.5%	16.3%	0.95
Nottingham	35040	26.2%	17.2%	19835	56.6%	27.6%	19.0%	5869	16.7%	17.7%	12.5%	0.66	3742	10.7%	25.3%	15.9%	0.84	4230	12.1%	34.7%	17.8%	0.94
Nottinghamshire	93984	12.7%	9.8%	84904	90.3%	12.8%	10.0%	2464	2.6%	7.1%	5.4%	0.54	976	1.0%	11.3%	6.4%	0.63	3873	4.1%	16.6%	9.3%	0.93
Oldham	35816	19.5%	14.9%	21029	58.7%	16.6%	15.0%	11468	32.0%	22.2%	15.1%	1.01	880	2.5%	37.8%	13.5%	0.90	1476	4.1%	29.1%	14.4%	0.96
Oxfordshire	74436	9.3%	15.6%	62163	83.5%	8.4%	15.6%	4251	5.7%	10.5%	15.0%	0.96	1771	2.4%	19.9%	18.3%	1.17	4449	6.0%	16.4%	15.5%	0.99
Peterborough	29317	15.9%	17.1%	20921	71.4%	15.7%	18.1%	5182	17.7%	14.8%	14.2%	0.78	959	3.3%	15.5%	15.1%	0.84	1641	5.6%	21.6%	15.8%	0.87
Plymouth	30735	18.1%	17.6%	28567	92.9%	17.9%	18.0%	285	0.9%	12.3%	8.4%	0.47	236	0.8%	25.0%	15.3%	0.85	808	2.6%	24.1%	16.5%	0.92
Poole	15923	10.4%	17.6%	14565	91.5%	10.5%	18.1%	408	2.6%	3.7%	9.3%	0.51	86	0.5%	7.0%	12.8%	0.71	603	3.8%	10.1%	12.4%	0.69
Portsmouth	21935	18.8%	15.8%	18328	83.6%	19.1%	16.7%	1355	6.2%	9.6%	8.3%	0.50	668	3.0%	19.5%	17.1%	1.02	955	4.4%	22.2%	12.6%	0.75
Reading	16583	15.3%	14.7%	9199	55.5%	15.0%	16.7%	3374	20.3%	9.5%	9.0%	0.54	1528	9.2%	18.8%	14.0%	0.84	1799	10.8%	25.9%	16.6%	
Redbridge	42397	17.0%	13.9%	10463	24.7%	14.0%	14.2%	21973	51.8%	12.6%	12.1%			12.7%	35.2%	19.5%	1.38	3366	7.9%	25.1%	14.7%	
Redcar and Cleveland	17540	20.5%	20.7%	16796	95.8%	20.4%	20.7%	213	1.2%	17.8%	16.0%	0.77		0.2%	10.7%	!		291	1.7%	21.0%	15.5%	1

Table 7: Any SEN (including all types of primary need, all levels of need) All-LA descriptive statistics and Risk Ratios (RR), Y1-11 (ages 5-16), 2016

Table 7. Ally SEN (II	Overall	an type		White	cu, an			Asian	- ucsci				Black	.103 (1111	<i>),</i> 1	I (age	3 3 10)	Mixed				
	Overun			vviiite			SEN	ASIUII			SEN		DIUCK			SEN		IVIIXEU			SEN	
					% of LA	II.	ncidence (%	N	% of LA	I.	ncidence (%		N	% of LA		Incidence		N	% of LA		Incidence (%	1
			SEN total	N	pupils in	% FSM	within	(pupils in	pupils in	% FSM	within		(pupils in	pupils in	% FSM	(% within		(pupils in	pupils in	% FSM	within	
	N (pupils)	Percent FSM	Incidence (%)	(pupils in ethnic group)	ethnic group	(within group)	ethnic group)	ethnic group)	ethnic group	(within group)	ethnic group)	Risk Ratio	ethnic group)	ethnic group	(within group)	ethnic group)	Risk Ratio	ethnic group)	ethnic group	(within group)	ethnic group)	Risk Ratio
Local Authority	и (рариз)	7 6766116 7 5111	(/0/	ctime group)	group	g, cup,	g/oup/	g/cup)	group	group,	g.cup)	THISK THE LIFE	g/oup)	group	у/сир/	g.cup,	nisk natio	g,cup)	group	g.cup)	group)	/ III SK MULTO
Richmond upon Thames	21349	8.6%	13.8%	16024	75.1%	7.1%	13.9%	1582	7.4%	10.4%	10.6%	0.76	681	3.2%	20.1%	20.0%	1.44	2164	10.1%	12.8%	14.1%	1.02
Rochdale	29288	20.7%	14.5%	19421	66.3%	19.6%	15.3%	7240	24.7%	20.2%	12.8%	0.70	962	3.3%	34.1%	11.4%	0.75	1220	4.2%	28.9%	13.0%	
Rotherham	36032	17.1%	17.3%	31371	87.1%	16.5%	17.8%	2779	7.7%	16.9%	13.7%	0.84	478	1.3%	29.9%	13.0%	0.73	955	2.7%	25.7%	17.4%	0.98
Rutland	4892	5.1%	13.6%	4624	94.5%	5.1%	13.7%	46	0.9%	2.2%	13.770	0.77	50	1.0%	2.0%	13.076	0.73	131	2.7%	6.1%	15.3%	
Salford	28375	21.7%	19.9%	23138	81.5%	21.2%	20.8%		2.8%	18.3%	11 00/	0.57				16 20/	0.70		6.5%	22.5%		
Sandwell	45416							793			11.9%	0.57	1407	5.0%	26.3%	16.3%	0.78	1849			17.4%	1
Sefton		23.1%	18.7%	23518	51.8%	24.2%	21.7%	12597	27.7%	16.5%	13.2%	0.61	4052	8.9%	26.9%	19.1%	0.88	3615	8.0%	33.3%	19.3%	0.89
Sheffield	32517	15.7%	12.1%	30999	95.3%	15.8%	12.2%	303	0.9%	6.6%	5.9%	0.48	134	0.4%	11.9%	16.4%	1.34	671	2.1%	21.5%	9.5%	0.78
Shropshire	64006	19.6%	17.8%	46081	72.0%	16.5%	17.9%	7300	11.4%	21.1%	17.0%	0.95	3333	5.2%	34.9%	17.2%	0.96	4397	6.9%	30.3%	19.7%	i
·	32237	9.6%	13.9%	30725	95.3%	9.5%	14.1%	254	0.8%	5.1%	7.9%	0.56	77	0.2%	7.8%	40.00/		738	2.3%	13.8%	10.6%	0.75
Slough Solihull	22733	11.6%	16.5%	6930	30.5%	12.5%	21.5%	10759	47.3%	9.1%	12.9%	0.60	1961	8.6%	15.7%	19.0%	0.88	1977	8.7%	17.3%	18.2%	0.84
_	31226	14.5%	16.1%	24046	77.0%	13.8%	16.8%	3410	10.9%	10.1%	10.9%	0.65	678	2.2%	20.1%	18.3%	1.09	2308	7.4%	24.7%	16.8%	î
Somerset	59688	10.4%	14.8%	56928	95.4%	10.5%	15.0%	633	1.1%	2.8%	7.3%	0.48	155	0.3%	6.5%	14.2%	0.95	1373	2.3%	12.2%	12.5%	0.84
South Gloucestershire	32888	9.0%	15.2%	29435	89.5%	8.7%	15.4%	956	2.9%	3.8%	9.6%	0.62	486	1.5%	17.3%	15.4%	1.00	1550	4.7%	15.6%	13.9%	
South Tyneside	17393	21.1%	22.1%	15983	91.9%	21.0%	21.9%	659	3.8%	20.3%	21.5%	0.98	89	0.5%	37.1%	30.3%	1.38	318	1.8%	19.5%	22.0%	
Southampton	26380	18.8%	20.6%	20443	77.5%	19.4%	22.4%	3032	11.5%	12.4%	12.6%	0.56	739	2.8%	22.7%	13.4%	0.60	1600	6.1%	22.6%	18.9%	0.84
Southend-on-Sea	23237	13.2%	12.5%	18807	80.9%	13.7%	13.4%	1477	6.4%	6.1%	7.0%	0.52	1123	4.8%	10.3%	6.9%	0.51	1300	5.6%	16.7%	12.7%	0.95
Southwark	32807	23.9%	18.9%	9573	29.2%	19.7%	20.0%	1821	5.6%	23.9%	12.2%	0.61	14637	44.6%	26.5%	19.6%	0.98	3394	10.3%	27.8%	19.4%	ì
St. Helens	21510	17.9%	19.9%	20715	96.3%	18.1%	20.0%	161	0.7%	1.2%	10.6%	0.53	55	0.3%	21.8%	21.8%	1.09	360	1.7%	17.2%	18.6%	
Staffordshire	99876	10.6%	13.3%	91433	91.5%	10.4%	13.4%	3616	3.6%	10.2%	11.3%	0.84	606	0.6%	13.2%	12.7%	0.95	2982	3.0%	17.5%	12.6%	
Stockport	34225	13.5%	14.5%	29232	85.4%	13.0%	14.9%	2205	6.4%	12.8%	10.8%	0.73	391	1.1%	29.7%	15.6%	1.05	1669	4.9%	17.3%	14.3%	
Stockton-on-Tees	24526	19.7%	16.5%	22051	89.9%	19.2%	16.8%	1287	5.2%	19.0%	13.6%	0.81	281	1.1%	35.2%	11.4%	0.68	576	2.3%	26.6%	13.4%	0.79
Stoke-on-Trent	30677	22.2%	16.6%	23276	75.9%	22.3%	17.5%	4471	14.6%	16.4%	13.7%	0.78	871	2.8%	29.4%	12.2%	0.70	1367	4.5%	35.0%	16.0%	0.92
Suffolk	82794	12.6%	13.5%	74494	90.0%	12.3%	13.8%	1399	1.7%	9.1%	7.1%	0.52	700	0.8%	18.1%	12.3%	0.89	4116	5.0%	16.8%	11.5%	0.83
Sunderland	32842	21.7%	16.0%	30603	93.2%	21.9%	16.2%	1266	3.9%	11.2%	11.5%	0.71	208	0.6%	25.5%	9.1%	0.56	433	1.3%	30.9%	14.3%	0.88
Surrey	126564	7.5%	14.9%	106637	84.3%	7.4%	15.3%	7972	6.3%	6.1%	11.4%	0.74	1998	1.6%	10.9%	14.2%	0.93	7135	5.6%	9.7%	13.0%	0.85
Sutton	28224	11.6%	13.8%	17110	60.6%	12.5%	16.3%	5231	18.5%	5.6%	6.4%	0.39	2155	7.6%	17.0%	14.2%	0.87	2572	9.1%	14.2%	13.8%	0.85
Swindon	27468	12.7%	17.9%	22032	80.2%	12.9%	19.1%	2775	10.1%	6.1%	12.0%	0.63	690	2.5%	18.1%	11.6%	0.61	1405	5.1%	19.1%	15.6%	0.82
Tameside	30254	19.8%	14.6%	24648	81.5%	19.1%	15.4%	3304	10.9%	18.2%	9.4%	0.61	545	1.8%	35.2%	13.2%	0.86	1200	4.0%	28.1%	13.9%	0.90
Telford and Wrekin	23148	16.3%	20.7%	19863	85.8%	16.4%	21.3%	1425	6.2%	11.8%	18.2%	0.86	531	2.3%	11.7%	13.0%	0.61	1068	4.6%	23.7%	18.4%	0.86
Thurrock	22661	14.6%	15.1%	16774	74.0%	15.7%	16.6%	922	4.1%	8.8%	8.5%	0.51	2990	13.2%	9.1%	10.5%	0.63	1224	5.4%	18.7%	13.7%	0.82
Torbay	15510	16.9%	19.8%	14644	94.4%	17.1%	20.1%	174	1.1%	5.7%	9.2%	0.46	26	0.2%	7.7%			457	2.9%	17.3%	17.1%	0.85
Tower Hamlets	33842	38.5%	18.9%	4713	13.9%	37.0%	25.8%	22213	65.6%	37.8%	16.7%	0.65	3666	10.8%	43.7%	20.5%	0.80	1911	5.6%	44.2%	26.2%	1.01
Trafford	31731	10.3%	14.5%	23108	72.8%	9.3%	15.1%	4129	13.0%	10.7%	11.3%	0.75	1112	3.5%	18.8%	17.4%	1.15	2043	6.4%	14.3%	14.0%	0.93
Wakefield	41367	14.9%	16.1%	37804	91.4%	14.9%	16.2%	1571	3.8%	11.0%	15.5%	0.95	466	1.1%	12.2%	11.8%	0.73	1140	2.8%	21.1%	14.9%	0.92
Walsall	38721	21.8%	14.4%	25614	66.2%	22.4%	15.6%	8375	21.6%	16.4%	11.7%	0.75	1667	4.3%	25.4%	11.8%	0.75	2272	5.9%	32.7%	14.5%	0.93
Waltham Forest	34168	17.7%	20.2%	12914	37.8%	12.4%	19.1%	8869	26.0%	16.5%	18.3%	0.96	7079	20.7%	25.8%	25.0%	1.31	3610	10.6%	22.6%	20.2%	1.06
Wandsworth	24561	18.6%	20.4%	9862	40.2%	12.6%	19.5%	4348	17.7%	14.0%	15.1%	0.77	5906	24.0%	28.7%	24.5%	1.26	3088	12.6%	24.8%	23.4%	1.20
Warrington	26913	10.5%	15.2%	24809	92.2%	10.5%	15.4%	753	2.8%	7.3%	10.2%	0.66	143	0.5%	20.3%	21.0%	1.36	755	2.8%	12.8%	13.2%	0.86
Warwickshire	65410	9.1%	15.4%	57346	87.7%	9.1%	15.7%	3451	5.3%	3.8%	9.4%	0.60	645	1.0%	15.0%	14.0%	0.89	2758	4.2%	13.5%	13.9%	0.88
West Berkshire	20712	7.3%	14.7%	18514	89.4%	6.9%	14.8%	612	3.0%	8.2%	11.6%	0.78	287	1.4%	15.3%	18.5%	1.25	967	4.7%	12.7%	13.8%	0.93
West Sussex	94292	8.5%	17.4%	83045	88.1%	8.4%	17.8%	4276	4.5%	5.4%	11.4%	0.64	1365	1.4%	13.5%	20.2%	1.14	4000	4.2%	12.1%	15.2%	0.85
Westminster	17440	28.5%	20.1%	4758	27.3%	16.2%	18.5%	2374	13.6%	26.4%	18.5%	1.00	3420	19.6%	31.6%	21.1%	1.14	1834	10.5%	26.7%	19.6%	1.06
Wigan	39700	14.7%	15.7%	37489	94.4%	14.4%	15.8%	446	1.1%	18.2%	13.0%	0.82	360	0.9%	28.6%	15.8%	1.00	752	1.9%	18.2%	13.2%	0.84
Wiltshire	55866	7.8%	16.5%	51577	92.3%	7.9%	16.9%	756	1.4%	3.6%	5.6%	0.33	643	1.2%	7.8%	14.3%	0.85	1651	3.0%	10.0%	13.0%	
Windsor and Maidenhead	16960	6.4%	16.5%	12660	74.6%	5.7%	17.1%	2314	13.6%	7.4%	13.4%	0.78		1.4%	14.7%	17.7%	1.03	1295	7.6%	8.5%	15.7%	
Wirral	39877	18.4%	18.7%	37321	93.6%	18.8%	19.1%	904	2.3%	5.3%	11.0%	0.57	122	0.3%	23.0%	10.7%	0.56	1015	2.5%	18.8%	15.8%	<u>-</u>
Wokingham	20641	5.8%	13.1%	15699	76.1%	5.5%	14.0%	2445	11.8%	4.7%	8.0%	0.57		3.0%	10.8%	13.2%	0.94	1317	6.4%	9.5%	13.4%	
Wolverhampton	32091	23.3%	18.9%	17678	55.1%	24.1%	21.4%	6917	21.6%	12.4%	12.9%	0.61		10.0%	27.8%	16.8%	0.78	3608	11.2%	35.8%	20.7%	1
Worcestershire	65175	11.9%	16.5%	59648	91.5%	11.8%	16.6%	2305	3.5%	9.8%	13.3%	0.80		0.4%	20.4%	20.1%	1.21	2140	3.3%	17.1%	16.2%	•
York	20316	8.7%	12.7%	18992	93.5%	8.9%	12.9%	402	2.0%	3.2%	6.0%	0.46		0.4%	8.0%	20.176	1.21	538	2.6%	10.2%	11.2%	
National (incl. all LAs)	6505275										12.9%	0.40				17.4%	1.09		5.3%		15.9%	
iutional (inci. ali LAS)	05052/5	15.2%	15.7%	4926511	75.7%	13.8%	16.0%	674157	10.4%	15.2%	12.9%	0.81	363275	5.6%	25.4%	17.4%	1.09	343341	5.3%	21.7%	15.9%	0.5

#### **All-LA MLD Table**

The following pages report results across all LAs (with equivalent national statistics for comparison) for MLD identification, focusing specifically on the combined Asian (excluding Pakistani) group as previously described.

What this table tells you:

- 1) The first set of columns report the overall number of pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of pupils entitled to FSM entitlement in the LA overall, the number of pupils identified with MLD in the LA, and the total incidence of MLD identification in the LA for all pupils.
- 2) The second set of columns reports the number of White British pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of White British pupils in the LA, the percentage of White British pupils entitled to FSM, the number of White British pupils identified with MLD, and the incidence amongst White British pupils of MLD identification.
- 3) The third set of columns reports the number of Asian (excluding Pakistani) pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of pupils in the LA that this group constitutes, the percentage of pupils in this group entitled to FSM, the number of pupils in this group identified with MLD, the incidence of MLD identification in this group, and the Risk Ratio relative to White British pupils.

This facilitates comparisons across LAs for these ethnic group categories, and comparisons between LAs and the national equivalent statistics.

As a quick-start guide for looking at the data for specific LAs in this table, the distribution of risk ratios across all LAs is as follows:

	Asian (excl.
	Pakistani)
Total LAs	152
LAs with N<10 in ethnic group with MLD	24
LAs with Reported Risk Ratios*	128
LAs with RR <= 0.75	95
LAs without apparent disproportionality	31
LAs with RR >=1.33	2

<sup>\*</sup>Recall that Risk Ratios are only reported when the number of pupils in an ethnic group with SEN identification is 10 or more.

From this, it is apparent that there is some variation across LAs, but for the most part, the Asian (excluding Pakistani) group is under-represented in most LAs, not disproportionately represented in about one-quarter of LAs with reported RRs, and only over-represented in two LAs for MLD.

When looking at the table, you may want to consider: Does the RR for your LA suggest over-representation (RR>=1.33), no disproportionality, or under-representation (RR<=0.75) for MLD for Asian (excluding Pakistani) pupils? How does this compare to the above distribution over all LAs?

Table 8. IVILD All-LA Desci	Overall				White British					Asian (exclusii	ng Pakistani)				
								N	MLD					MLD	
	N		N (auailausith	MLD	N (munila in 1.4	0/ 1.4 mumile	% FSM	(pupils	Incidence	N (munila in 1.4	0/ 1 A mumila	0/ FCM	N (pupils	Incidence	
	( pupils in LA)	LA % FSM	(pupils with MLD in LA)	Incidence (% in LA)	(pupils in LA in group)	% LA pupils in aroup v	אינוליז % within group	with MLD in group)	(% within group)	(pupils in LA in group)	% LA pupils in aroup	within group	with MLD in group)	(% within group)	Risk Ratio
Local Authority	- 1,			(/	9	g	<u> </u>	g /	9.00,	g. cp/	9		g p,	9.557	
Barking and Dagenham	33132	20.0%	1602	4.8%	8719	26.3%	30.4%	631	7.2%	4899	14.8%	10.6%	153	3.1%	0.43
Barnet	43852	16.0%	638	1.5%	13286	30.3%	11.3%	197	1.5%	6199	14.1%		65	1.0%	0.71
Barnsley	27405	20.6%	1623	5.9%	25248	92.1%	20.5%	1544	6.1%	207	0.8%		6		
Bath and North East Somerset	21450	8.7%	747	3.5%	18466	86.1%	8.5%	667	3.6%	633	3.0%		9		
Bedford	22091	12.1%	1008	4.6%	12661	57.3%	9.8%	517	4.1%	3008	13.6%		124	4.1%	1.01
Bexley	35179	12.0%	609	1.7%	20963	59.6%	12.7%	442	2.1%	2961	8.4%		24	0.8%	0.38
Birmingham	158994	28.3%	11677	7.3%	49808	31.3%	25.8%	3792	7.6%	22885	14.4%		1258	5.5%	0.72
Blackburn with Darwen	22285	16.7%	932	4.2%	10658	47.8%	18.9%	485	4.6%	5003	22.5%		120	2.4%	0.53
Blackpool	16560	25.6%	758	4.6%	14866	89.8%	26.7%	715	4.8%	459	2.8%		10	2.2%	0.45
Bolton	39894	17.6%	2462	6.2%	25810	64.7%	16.5%	1623	6.3%	5122	12.8%		178	3.5%	0.55
Bournemouth	18818	13.1%	317	1.7%	14076	74.8%	14.0%	240	1.7%	969	5.1%	8.3%	7	أ	
Bracknell Forest	14242	8.6%	384	2.7%	11254	79.0%	9.4%	320	2.8%	959	6.7%	2.7%	17	1.8%	0.62
Bradford	78243	19.3%	5170	6.6%	34305	43.8%	18.3%	2103	6.1%	6601	8.4%	23.4%	414	6.3%	1.02
Brent	38225	14.0%	1462	3.8%	2697	7.1%	14.3%	98	3.6%	9526	24.9%	5.5%	214	2.2%	0.62
Brighton and Hove	27601	14.1%	791	2.9%	20769	75.2%	14.4%	603	2.9%	1749	6.3%	10.1%	49	2.8%	0.96
Bristol, City of	46034	21.1%	1480	3.2%	29296	63.6%	19.7%	972	3.3%	2962	6.4%	11.9%	73	2.5%	0.74
Bromley	39314	10.1%	963	2.4%	25172	64.0%	9.3%	657	2.6%	3111	7.9%	3.9%	41	1.3%	0.50
Buckinghamshire	65865	6.6%	1526	2.3%	44355	67.3%	5.5%	978	2.2%	6053	9.2%	4.3%	50	0.8%	0.37
Bury	24889	15.2%	1091	4.4%	18594	74.7%	13.6%	813	4.4%	1060	4.3%	19.4%	29	2.7%	0.63
Calderdale	29233	14.4%	1742	6.0%	22125	75.7%	13.0%	1209	5.5%	1075	3.7%	18.6%	39	3.6%	0.66
Cambridgeshire	70514	9.8%	2436	3.5%	55186	78.3%	10.1%	2010	3.6%	3649	5.2%	4.9%	78	2.1%	0.59
Camden	17336	27.8%	363	2.1%	3863	22.3%	24.2%	87	2.3%	3671	21.2%	27.0%	78	2.1%	0.94
Central Bedfordshire	33583	9.4%	1159	3.5%	28233	84.1%	8.9%	919	3.3%	1188	3.5%	7.2%	30	2.5%	0.78
Cheshire East	42748	8.4%	601	1.4%	37862	88.6%	8.3%	520	1.4%	1078	2.5%	6.1%	5		
Cheshire West and Chester	39766	11.3%	1206	3.0%	36406	91.6%	11.4%	1125	3.1%	881	2.2%	7.5%	10	1.1%	0.37
City of London	176	24.4%	х		38	21.6%	7.9%	х		61	34.7%	19.7%	x		
Cornwall	60692	11.9%	2149	3.5%	56290	92.7%	12.0%	2006	3.6%	738	1.2%	8.3%	20	2.7%	0.76
Coventry	43435	19.4%	2719	6.3%	23011	53.0%	19.7%	1646	7.2%	6883	15.8%	12.8%	230	3.3%	0.47
Croydon	45929	20.4%	1097	2.4%	13248	28.8%	19.8%	349	2.6%	6059	13.2%	10.1%	85	1.4%	0.53
Cumbria	56065	10.2%	1509	2.7%	52793	94.2%	10.5%	1448	2.7%	741	1.3%	7.2%	6		
Darlington	13572	17.5%	568	4.2%	11948	88.0%	18.0%	498	4.2%	469	3.5%	13.9%	19	4.1%	0.97
Derby	34155	17.9%	2094	6.1%	20741	60.7%	17.5%	1246	6.0%	3118	9.1%	12.6%	105	3.4%	0.56
Derbyshire	87889	13.2%	4263	4.9%	81848	93.1%	13.2%	4019	4.9%	1503	1.7%	8.1%	37	2.5%	0.50
Devon	81099	13.3%	2899	3.6%	74608	92.0%	13.5%	2706	3.6%	1173	1.4%	8.3%	26	2.2%	0.61
Doncaster	37669	17.6%	1833	4.9%	32170	85.4%	17.9%	1536	4.8%	1032	2.7%	13.7%	32	3.1%	0.65
Dorset	45771	13.0%	928	2.0%	41869	91.5%	12.9%	857	2.0%	906	2.0%	8.3%	8		
Dudley	40055	16.9%	2486	6.2%	30855	77.0%	15.2%	1881	6.1%	1780	4.4%	15.2%	83	4.7%	0.76
Durham	57713	19.9%	2540	4.4%	55024	95.3%	20.1%	2462	4.5%	660	1.1%	12.4%	12	1.8%	0.41
Ealing	40831	16.1%	1734	4.2%	6199	15.2%	12.6%	212	3.4%	9831	24.1%	9.5%	326	3.3%	0.97

Table 8: MILD All-LA Des	Overall	otios arra	- THOR TRUE OF		White British	, 10/) 201				Asian (exclusi	na Pakistani)			Į.	
	over un				Winte British			N	MLD	,	ng r ukistum,			MLD	
	N		Ν	MLD	N			(pupils	Incidence	N			N (pupils	Incidence	
	( pupils in		(pupils with	Incidence	(pupils in LA	% LA pupils	% FSM	with MLD	(% within	(pupils in LA	% LA pupils	% FSM	with MLD in	(% within	
	LA)	LA % FSM	MLD in LA)	(% in LA)	in group)	in group	within group	in group)	group)	in group)	in group	within group	group)	group)	Risk Ratio
Local Authority															
East Riding of Yorkshire	37908	11.9%	1758	4.6%	35345	93.2%	11.9%	1650	4.7%	310	0.8%	6.1%	9	j	
East Sussex	56896	13.2%	1098	1.9%	49180	86.4%	13.0%	964	2.0%	1846	3.2%	8.2%	17	0.9%	0.47
Enfield	45573	18.8%	1261	2.8%	8992	19.7%	16.3%	219	2.4%	4079	9.0%	11.6%	44	1.1%	
Essex	171466	11.1%	8712	5.1%	143717	83.8%	11.2%	7719	5.4%	5449	3.2%	5.1%	123	2.3%	0.42
Gateshead	22613	17.3%	978	4.3%	20357	90.0%	17.1%	901	4.4%	535	2.4%	10.7%	10	1.9%	0.42
Gloucestershire	71237	10.2%	3818	5.4%	60326	84.7%	10.0%	3164	5.2%	2563	3.6%	6.8%	71	2.8%	0.53
Greenwich	31881	19.6%	692	2.2%	10644	33.4%	24.6%	301	2.8%	2909	9.1%	11.9%	38	1.3%	0.46
Hackney	27041	33.0%	1425	5.3%	4259	15.8%	18.4%	181	4.2%	3318	12.3%	29.7%	166	5.0%	1.18
Halton	16300	28.5%	648	4.0%	15381	94.4%	28.7%	633	4.1%	115	0.7%	19.1%	x	İ	
Hammersmith and Fulham	15307	22.6%	415	2.7%	4142	27.1%	16.2%	97	2.3%	1219	8.0%	15.7%	26	2.1%	0.91
Hampshire	153538	8.9%	7036	4.6%	134531	87.6%	9.0%	6350	4.7%	5984	3.9%	4.9%	158	2.6%	0.56
Haringey	30434	22.0%	941	3.1%	5766	18.9%	8.7%	72	1.2%	2378	7.8%	17.3%	56	2.4%	1.89
Harrow	28671	11.0%	676	2.4%	3565	12.4%	12.4%	92	2.6%	12632	44.1%	7.2%	214	1.7%	0.66
Hartlepool	12113	23.9%	487	4.0%	11416	94.2%	24.0%	470	4.1%	299	2.5%	15.7%	6		
Havering	32773	12.3%	1080	3.3%	22059	67.3%	12.7%	813	3.7%	2031	6.2%	6.5%	38	1.9%	0.51
Herefordshire	19958	9.1%	599	3.0%	17812	89.2%	9.2%	548	3.1%	238	1.2%	6.3%	3		
Hertfordshire	148782	8.7%	4216	2.8%	106780	71.8%	8.7%	3099	2.9%	11563	7.8%	4.9%	179	1.5%	0.53
Hillingdon	39407	15.5%	852	2.2%	14033	35.6%	16.4%	362	2.6%	8899	22.6%	8.5%	148	1.7%	0.64
Hounslow	32490	15.6%	1253	3.9%	6902	21.2%	20.5%	316	4.6%	8155	25.1%	6.8%	236	2.9%	0.63
Isle of Wight	14227	13.9%	672	4.7%	13203	92.8%	14.2%	629	4.8%	223	1.6%	13.5%	8		
Isles of Scilly	235	2.6%	5		184	78.3%	2.2%	5		х		0.0%	x	i	
Islington	19300	31.9%	600	3.1%	5221	27.1%	31.0%	177	3.4%	1899	9.8%	28.8%	54	2.8%	0.84
Kensington and Chelsea	10017	21.6%	224	2.2%	2057	20.5%	16.9%	56	2.7%	568	5.7%	17.6%	7		
Kent	183547	12.1%	3842	2.1%	149663	81.5%	12.7%	3221	2.2%	8899	4.8%	5.1%	69	0.8%	0.36
Kingston upon Hull, City of	31432	25.1%	1045	3.3%	25840	82.2%	26.3%	910	3.5%	528	1.7%	16.9%	9		
Kingston upon Thames	19072	9.0%	321	1.7%	8877	46.5%	8.5%	187	2.1%	3745	19.6%	7.0%	37	1.0%	0.47
Kirklees	55687	20.6%	2046	3.7%	34046	61.1%	18.1%	1164	3.4%	5432	9.8%	19.8%	157	2.9%	0.85
Knowsley	16164	32.5%	1998	12.4%	15126	93.6%	33.1%	1915	12.7%	288	1.8%	11.8%	12	4.2%	0.33
Lambeth	29364	26.3%	1323	4.5%	4106	14.0%	15.9%	132	3.2%	1457	5.0%	20.9%	40	2.7%	0.85
Lancashire	144891	14.1%	5137	3.5%	117789	81.3%	14.1%	4211	3.6%	7571	5.2%	13.7%	170	2.2%	0.63
Leeds	93823	17.5%	4055	4.3%	63515	67.7%	16.3%	2612	4.1%	6724	7.2%	16.2%	232	3.5%	0.84
Leicester	44625	19.1%	2765	6.2%	12939	29.0%	29.6%	1210	9.4%	18475	41.4%	11.0%	786	4.3%	
Leicestershire	80348	8.2%	3973	4.9%	66605	82.9%	8.4%	3485	5.2%	6914	8.6%	5.1%	160	2.3%	
Lewisham	33307	20.3%	570	1.7%	7314	22.0%	20.1%	150	2.1%		8.3%		28	1.0%	
Lincolnshire	85738	12.8%	4936	5.8%	74994	87.5%	13.5%	4408	5.9%		1.6%			1.6%	
Liverpool	53960	27.4%	1860	3.4%	41423	76.8%	26.5%	1413	3.4%		4.8%		39	1.5%	
Luton	32414	17.2%	1494	4.6%	7742	23.9%	18.4%	391	5.1%		21.5%			4.2%	
Manchester	65210	27.8%	2737	4.2%	25252	38.7%	31.4%	1290	5.1%		8.7%		167	3.0%	
Medway	35756	13.6%	1059	3.0%	27343	76.5%	14.2%	879	3.2%		5.5%			2.0%	

Table 6. WILD All-LA Des	Overall				White British	7,				Asian (exclusi	ng Pakistani)				
								N	MLD					MLD	
	N		N	MLD	N	0/ 1 4	0/ 5014	(pupils	Incidence	N	0/ 1 A	0/ 558.4	N (pupils	Incidence	
	( pupils in LA)	LA % FSM	(pupils with MLD in LA)	Incidence (% in LA)	(pupils in LA in group)	% LA pupils	% FSM within group	with MLD in group)	(% within group)	(pupils in LA in group)	% LA pupils	% FSIVI within group	with MLD in group)	(% within group)	
Local Authority	2.17	B17013W	WILD III D I	(70 111 12 17	iii gioup)	iii group	Within group	iii gioup)	group	m group)	m group	Within group	group	g.oup)	mok natio
Merton	21986	15.9%	618	2.8%	7024	31.9%	17.5%	239	3.4%	3617	16.5%	10.8%	65	1.8%	0.53
Middlesbrough	18350	31.0%	1183	6.4%	13793	75.2%	31.6%	888	6.4%	696	3.8%		39	5.6%	-
Milton Keynes	36500	11.4%	1819	5.0%	21545	59.0%	11.9%	1240	5.8%	3586	9.8%		99	2.8%	
Newcastle upon Tyne	30490	25.0%	1703	5.6%	21600	70.8%	27.0%	1118	5.2%	3005	9.9%		139	4.6%	
Newham	47827	21.7%	1545	3.2%	2835	5.9%	39.6%	150	5.3%	16047	33.6%		381	2.4%	=
Norfolk	93903	13.5%	3758	4.0%	81313	86.6%	13.7%	3272	4.0%	2061	2.2%		44	2.1%	
North East Lincolnshire	19673	17.9%	953	4.8%	18179	92.4%	18.5%	902	5.0%	230	1.2%		6		
North Lincolnshire	20691	14.2%	1102	5.3%	17912	86.6%	14.6%	1005	5.6%	805	3.9%	16.0%	13	1.6%	
North Somerset	24895	9.9%	562	2.3%	22368	89.8%	10.0%	517	2.3%	604	2.4%	5.1%	7		
North Tyneside	24077	14.8%	766	3.2%	22029	91.5%	14.9%	704	3.2%	749	3.1%	9.2%	17	2.3%	0.71
North Yorkshire	67652	7.6%	1789	2.6%	61992	91.6%	7.7%	1643	2.7%	1313	1.9%	4.4%	22	1.7%	0.63
Northamptonshire	93159	13.0%	2744	2.9%	71098	76.3%	13.1%	2235	3.1%	4689	5.0%	9.2%	82	1.7%	0.56
Northumberland	36031	13.3%	1834	5.1%	34231	95.0%	13.5%	1765	5.2%	595	1.7%	5.7%	16	2.7%	0.52
Nottingham	35040	26.2%	1772	5.1%	17118	48.9%	29.8%	1009	5.9%	2652	7.6%	15.5%	80	3.0%	0.51
Nottinghamshire	93984	12.7%	2312	2.5%	81044	86.2%	13.0%	2073	2.6%	2720	2.9%	6.2%	33	1.2%	0.47
Oldham	35816	19.5%	1384	3.9%	19967	55.7%	16.8%	680	3.4%	5906	16.5%	23.8%	278	4.7%	1.38
Oxfordshire	74436	9.3%	3890	5.2%	57076	76.7%	8.6%	2996	5.2%	4072	5.5%	9.1%	163	4.0%	0.76
Peterborough	29317	15.9%	1118	3.8%	15482	52.8%	17.8%	603	3.9%	1988	6.8%	11.1%	43	2.2%	0.56
Plymouth	30735	18.1%	973	3.2%	27220	88.6%	18.3%	899	3.3%	618	2.0%	10.7%	13	2.1%	0.64
Poole	15923	10.4%	479	3.0%	13818	86.8%	10.6%	418	3.0%	627	3.9%	3.2%	15	2.4%	0.79
Portsmouth	21935	18.8%	765	3.5%	17073	77.8%	19.8%	650	3.8%	1652	7.5%	10.6%	29	1.8%	0.46
Reading	16583	15.3%	297	1.8%	7583	45.7%	17.0%	168	2.2%	2592	15.6%	6.2%	23	0.9%	0.40
Redbridge	42397	17.0%	1865	4.4%	6095	14.4%	14.9%	188	3.1%	15452	36.4%	10.4%	553	3.6%	1.16
Redcar and Cleveland	17540	20.5%	1103	6.3%	16662	95.0%	20.4%	1055	6.3%	254	1.4%	13.0%	9		<u> </u>
Richmond upon Thames	21349	8.6%	447	2.1%	12852	60.2%	7.4%	262	2.0%	2173	10.2%	7.9%	41	1.9%	0.93
Rochdale	29288	20.7%	1376	4.7%	18498	63.2%	19.5%	857	4.6%	1989	6.8%	26.0%	89	4.5%	0.97
Rotherham	36032	17.1%	1215	3.4%	29845	82.8%	16.6%	993	3.3%	844	2.3%	21.9%	28	3.3%	1.00
Rutland	4892	5.1%	254	5.2%	4504	92.1%	5.2%	237	5.3%	83	1.7%	3.6%	х		<u> </u>
Salford	28375	21.7%	1635	5.8%	21091	74.3%	22.0%	1315	6.2%	759	2.7%	14.1%	22	2.9%	0.46
Sandwell	45416	23.1%	3495	7.7%	21308	46.9%	25.3%	1906	8.9%	9094	20.0%	14.6%	438	4.8%	0.54
Sefton	32517	15.7%	734	2.3%	30069	92.5%	15.9%	700	2.3%	509	1.6%		3		
Sheffield	64006	19.6%	2528	3.9%	42466	66.3%	16.1%	1585	3.7%	3683	5.8%	21.7%	85	2.3%	0.62
Shropshire	32237	9.6%	1334	4.1%	29612	91.9%	9.6%	1249	4.2%	528	1.6%	6.1%	8		Ī
Slough	22733	11.6%	749	3.3%	3992	17.6%	17.4%	211	5.3%	5705	25.1%	5.2%	99	1.7%	0.33
Solihull	31226	14.5%	1158	3.7%	23317	74.7%	14.0%	875	3.8%	2604	8.3%	8.4%	57	2.2%	0.58
Somerset	59688	10.4%	1535	2.6%	54021	90.5%	10.5%	1397	2.6%	1144	1.9%	5.5%	12	1.0%	0.41
South Gloucestershire	32888	9.0%	1110	3.4%	28089	85.4%	8.7%	992	3.5%	1209	3.7%	3.8%	26	2.2%	-
South Tyneside	17393	21.1%	639	3.7%	15848	91.1%	21.1%	574	3.6%	680	3.9%	19.7%	27	4.0%	1.10
Southampton	26380	18.8%	1936	7.3%	17494	66.3%	21.4%	1445	8.3%	2939	11.1%	11.5%	128	4.4%	0.53

Tuble 6: WIED All EA Descri	Overall				White British	••				Asian (exclusi	ng Pakistani)				
								N	MLD					MLD	
	, ., ., .		N	MLD	N	0/.14	0/ 5514	(pupils	Incidence	N	0/ 1.4 '1	0/ 5514	N (pupils	Incidence	
	( pupils in LA)	LA % FSM	(pupils with MLD in LA)	Incidence (% in LA)	(pupils in LA in group)	% LA pupils	% FSM within group	with MLD in group)	(% within group)	(pupils in LA in group)	% LA pupils	% FSIVI within group	with MLD in group)	(% within group)	Risk Ratio
Local Authority	21)	B17013W	WILD III D I	(70 111 12 1)	iii gioup)	m group 1	vicinii group	m group)	group)	m group)	m group	within group	group	group)	nisk nado
Southend-on-Sea	23237	13.2%	1161	5.0%	17527	75.4%	13.9%	946	5.4%	1601	6.9%	6.2%	29	1.8%	0.34
Southwark	32807	23.9%	1271	3.9%	6621	20.2%	20.8%	267	4.0%	2327	7.1%		62	2.7%	0.66
St. Helens	21510	17.9%	1055	4.9%	20414	94.9%	18.2%	1011	5.0%	321	1.5%		7		
Staffordshire	99876	10.6%	4268	4.3%	88773	88.9%	10.6%	3823	4.3%	2787	2.8%		85	3.0%	0.71
Stockport	34225	13.5%	1461	4.3%	28387	82.9%	13.1%	1275	4.5%	1487	4.3%		39	2.6%	0.58
Stockton-on-Tees	24526	19.7%	1439	5.9%	21730	88.6%	19.1%	1289	5.9%	832	3.4%		39	4.7%	0.79
Stoke-on-Trent	30677	22.2%	2344	7.6%	22067	71.9%	22.6%	1726	7.8%	1832	6.0%		96	5.2%	0.67
Suffolk	82794	12.6%	2119	2.6%	69669	84.1%	12.6%	1848	2.7%	1956	2.4%		24	1.2%	0.46
Sunderland	32842	21.7%	1499	4.6%	30231	92.0%	22.0%	1384	4.6%	1395	4.2%		60	4.3%	0.94
Surrey	126564	7.5%	4195	3.3%	96893	76.6%	7.4%	3275	3.4%	8627	6.8%	4.0%	167	1.9%	0.57
Sutton	28224	11.6%	567	2.0%	14816	52.5%	13.2%	365	2.5%	5430	19.2%		40	0.7%	0.30
Swindon	27468	12.7%	1274	4.6%	20359	74.1%	13.4%	1049	5.2%	2879	10.5%		75	2.6%	0.51
Tameside	30254	19.8%	1339	4.4%	23875	78.9%	19.2%	1135	4.8%	2199	7.3%	21.0%	55	2.5%	0.53
Telford and Wrekin	23148	16.3%	1449	6.3%	18883	81.6%	16.9%	1230	6.5%	1147	5.0%	9.2%	38	3.3%	0.51
Thurrock	22661	14.6%	1095	4.8%	14979	66.1%	16.6%	859	5.7%	1080	4.8%	8.2%	19	1.8%	0.31
Torbay	15510	16.9%	457	2.9%	14093	90.9%	17.5%	431	3.1%	379	2.4%	6.3%	5		
Tower Hamlets	33842	38.5%	868	2.6%	3199	9.5%	43.9%	121	3.8%	22377	66.1%	37.7%	532	2.4%	0.63
Trafford	31731	10.3%	1234	3.9%	21976	69.3%	9.4%	856	3.9%	3192	10.1%	8.3%	100	3.1%	0.80
Wakefield	41367	14.9%	1429	3.5%	35894	86.8%	15.2%	1278	3.6%	798	1.9%	15.7%	12	1.5%	0.42
Walsall	38721	21.8%	2527	6.5%	24372	62.9%	22.6%	1777	7.3%	5294	13.7%	13.6%	202	3.8%	0.52
Waltham Forest	34168	17.7%	1949	5.7%	5815	17.0%	14.5%	290	5.0%	4347	12.7%	14.3%	179	4.1%	0.83
Wandsworth	24561	18.6%	704	2.9%	6532	26.6%	14.8%	185	2.8%	2810	11.4%	13.2%	60	2.1%	0.75
Warrington	26913	10.5%	1250	4.6%	23802	88.4%	10.7%	1116	4.7%	856	3.2%	6.4%	21	2.5%	0.52
Warwickshire	65410	9.1%	3592	5.5%	53878	82.4%	9.3%	3019	5.6%	3989	6.1%	4.2%	127	3.2%	0.57
West Berkshire	20712	7.3%	300	1.4%	17509	84.5%	6.7%	260	1.5%	815	3.9%	6.5%	x		
West Sussex	94292	8.5%	3184	3.4%	77620	82.3%	8.5%	2656	3.4%	4535	4.8%	4.8%	87	1.9%	0.56
Westminster	17440	28.5%	557	3.2%	2363	13.5%	17.6%	52	2.2%	2644	15.2%	24.3%	77	2.9%	1.32
Wigan	39700	14.7%	2179	5.5%	36676	92.4%	14.4%	2046	5.6%	601	1.5%	14.5%	12	2.0%	0.36
Wiltshire	55866	7.8%	1887	3.4%	49314	88.3%	7.9%	1700	3.4%	1296	2.3%	3.5%	17	1.3%	0.38
Windsor and Maidenhead	16960	6.4%	479	2.8%	11128	65.6%	5.9%	339	3.0%	1468	8.7%	3.6%	14	1.0%	0.31
Wirral	39877	18.4%	1082	2.7%	36642	91.9%	19.0%	1021	2.8%	1348	3.4%	6.3%	23	1.7%	0.61
Wokingham	20641	5.8%	375	1.8%	14456	70.0%	5.4%	275	1.9%	2171	10.5%	2.2%	20	0.9%	0.48
Wolverhampton	32091	23.3%	2773	8.6%	15702	48.9%	25.1%	1514	9.6%	6525	20.3%	12.7%	360	5.5%	0.57
Worcestershire	65175	11.9%	2503	3.8%	56536	86.7%	11.7%	2173	3.8%	1769	2.7%	8.0%	37	2.1%	0.54
York	20316	8.7%	550	2.7%	18060	88.9%	8.9%	507	2.8%	628	3.1%	3.3%	10	1.6%	0.57
National (incl. all LAs)	6505275	15.2%	261069	4.0%	4512620	69.4%	14.1%	184642	4.1%	503656	7.7%	12.8%	13906	2.8%	0.67

#### **All-LA SEMH Table**

The following pages report results across all LAs (with equivalent national statistics for comparison) for SEMH identification, focusing specifically on the combined Black Caribbean and Mixed White & Caribbean (BCRB/MWBC) group as previously described.

What this table tells you:

- 1) The first set of columns report the total number of pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of pupils entitled to FSM in the LA overall, the number of pupils identified with SEMH in the LA, and the incidence of SEMH identification in the LA.
- 2) The second set of columns reports the number of White British pupils in the LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of White British pupils in the LA the percentage of White British pupils entitled to FSM, the number of White British pupils identified with SEM, and the incidence amongst White British pupils of SEMH identification.
- 3) The third set of columns reports the number of BCRB/MWBC pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of pupils in the LA that this group constitutes, the percentage of pupils in this group entitled to FSM, the number of pupils in this group identified with SEMH, the incidence of SEMH identification amongst pupils in this group in the LA, and the Risk Ratio (relative to White British pupils) of identification with SEMH.

This facilitates comparisons across LAs for these ethnic group categories, and comparisons between LAs and the national equivalent statistics.

As a quick-start guide for looking at the data for specific LAs in this table, the distribution of risk ratios across all LAs is as follows:

	BCRB/MWBC
Total LAs	152
N<10 in ethnic group with SEMH	39
Reported Risk Ratios*	113
LAs with RR <= 0.75	0
LAs without apparent disproportionality	29
LAs with RR >= 1.33	84

<sup>\*</sup>Recall that Risk Ratios are only reported when the number of pupils in an ethnic group with SEN identification is 10 or more.

From this, it is apparent that there is some variation across LAs; pupils in the BCRB/MWBC group are over-represented in the majority of LAs, not disproportionately represented in about a fourth of LAs for which results were reported, and not under-represented in any LAs.

When looking at the table, you may want to consider: Does the RR for your LA suggest over-representation (RR>=1.33), no disproportionality, or under-representation (RR<=0.75) for these groups for SEMH in particular for BCRB/MWBC pupils? How does this compare to the above distribution over all LAs?

	Overall				White British	5 3-10), 20				Black Caribbe	an & Mixed Wh	nite & Caribb	pean		
								N	SEMH				N	SEMH	i
			N	SEMH	N		% FSM	(pupils with	Incidence	N		% FSM	(pupils with	Incidence	
			(pupils with	Incidence	(pupils in LA	% LA pupils	within	SEMH in	(% within	(pupils in LA	% LA pupils	within	SEMH in	(% within	
	N (pupils)	LA % FSM	SEMH in LA)	(% in LA)	in group)	in group	group	group)	group)	in group)	in group	group	group)	group)	Risk Ratio
Local Authority															
Barking and Dagenham	33132	20.0%	1141	3.4%	8719	26.3%	30.4%	553	6.3%	1609	4.9%	29.3%	109	6.8%	1.07
Barnet	43852	16.0%	1286	2.9%	13286	30.3%	11.3%	519	3.9%	1516	3.5%	28.0%	114	7.5%	1.93
Barnsley	27405	20.6%	948	3.5%	25248	92.1%	20.5%	891	3.5%	99	0.4%	34.3%	11	11.1%	3.15
Bath and North East Somerset	21450	8.7%	563	2.6%	18466	86.1%	8.5%	487	2.6%	349	1.6%	19.8%	28	8.0%	3.04
Bedford	22091	12.1%	535	2.4%	12661	57.3%	9.8%	347	2.7%	1116	5.1%	24.0%	47	4.2%	1.54
Bexley	35179	12.0%	947	2.7%	20963	59.6%	12.7%	708	3.4%	939	2.7%	17.4%	43	4.6%	1.36
Birmingham	158994	28.3%	4241	2.7%	49808	31.3%	25.8%	1960	3.9%	11831	7.4%	36.7%	699	5.9%	1.50
Blackburn with Darwen	22285	16.7%	608	2.7%	10658	47.8%	18.9%	463	4.3%	51	0.2%	25.5%	x		 <b>!</b>
Blackpool	16560	25.6%	679	4.1%	14866	89.8%	26.7%	636	4.3%	118	0.7%	33.9%	12	10.2%	2.38
Bolton	39894	17.6%	1142	2.9%	25810	64.7%	16.5%	957	3.7%	320	0.8%	24.1%	15	4.7%	1.26
Bournemouth	18818	13.1%	426	2.3%	14076	74.8%	14.0%	359	2.6%	255	1.4%	25.9%	13	5.1%	2.00
Bracknell Forest	14242	8.6%	315	2.2%	11254	79.0%	9.4%	271	2.4%	192	1.3%	18.8%	7		<u>-</u> -
Bradford	78243	19.3%	2851	3.6%	34305	43.8%	18.3%	1596	4.7%	1232	1.6%	25.9%	81	6.6%	1.41
Brent	38225	14.0%	840	2.2%	2697	7.1%	14.3%	121	4.5%	3650	9.5%	25.4%	217	5.9%	1.33
Brighton and Hove	27601	14.1%	1068	3.9%	20769	75.2%	14.4%	897	4.3%	489	1.8%	24.7%	31	6.3%	1.47
Bristol, City of	46034	21.1%	1532	3.3%	29296	63.6%	19.7%	1022	3.5%	2397	5.2%	36.9%	186	7.8%	2.22
Bromley	39314	10.1%	1094	2.8%	25172	64.0%	9.3%	726	2.9%	2368	6.0%	20.2%	116	4.9%	1.70
Buckinghamshire	65865	6.6%	1178	1.8%	44355	67.3%	5.5%	816	1.8%	1921	2.9%	17.5%	102	5.3%	2.89
Bury	24889	15.2%	644	2.6%	18594	74.7%	13.6%	547	2.9%	386	1.6%	25.4%	17	4.4%	1.50
Calderdale	29233	14.4%	770	2.6%	22125	75.7%	13.0%	676	3.1%	385	1.3%	18.7%	15	3.9%	1.28
Cambridgeshire	70514	9.8%	1825	2.6%	55186	78.3%	10.1%	1538	2.8%	794	1.1%	24.4%	23	2.9%	1.04
Camden	17336	27.8%	592	3.4%	3863	22.3%	24.2%	195	5.0%	1022	5.9%	37.8%	62	6.1%	1.20
Central Bedfordshire	33583	9.4%	933	2.8%	28233	84.1%	8.9%	786	2.8%	768	2.3%	16.7%	38	4.9%	
Cheshire East	42748	8.4%	722	1.7%	37862	88.6%	8.3%	663	1.8%	345	0.8%	19.7%	8		<u>.</u>
Cheshire West and Chester	39766	11.3%	932	2.3%	36406	91.6%	11.4%	870	2.4%	165	0.4%	9.7%	6		
City of London	176	24.4%	9		38	21.6%	7.9%	х		6	3.4%	66.7%	x		<u>.</u>
Cornwall	60692	11.9%	1715	2.8%	56290	92.7%	12.0%	1606	2.9%	275	0.5%	18.5%	13	4.7%	1.66
Coventry	43435	19.4%	1240	2.9%	23011	53.0%	19.7%	835	3.6%	1345	3.1%	29.3%	83	6.2%	
Croydon	45929	20.4%	1471	3.2%	13248	28.8%	19.8%	565	4.3%	7313	15.9%	28.8%	392	5.4%	
Cumbria	56065	10.2%	1152	2.1%	52793	94.2%	10.5%	1111	2.1%	91	0.2%	14.3%	4		
Darlington	13572	17.5%	468	3.4%	11948	88.0%	18.0%	433	3.6%	70	0.5%	24.3%	4		
Derby	34155	17.9%	1073	3.1%	20741	60.7%	17.5%	749	3.6%	1386	4.1%	26.6%	69	5.0%	1.38
Derbyshire	87889	13.2%	2841	3.2%	81848	93.1%	13.2%	2673	3.3%		0.9%	23.8%	44	5.5%	
Devon	81099	13.3%	3467	4.3%	74608	92.0%	13.5%	3253	4.4%	326	0.4%	25.8%		9.8%	
Doncaster	37669	17.6%	827	2.2%	32170	85.4%	17.9%	757	2.4%		0.8%	20.8%		5.570	23
Dorset	45771	13.0%	1326	2.9%	41869	91.5%	12.9%	1234	2.9%		0.5%	25.7%		5.5%	1.86
Dudley	40055	16.9%	989	2.5%	30855	77.0%	15.2%	813	2.6%		3.4%	28.8%	71	5.2%	
Durham	57713	19.9%	1699	2.5%	55024	95.3%	20.1%	1639	3.0%	119	0.2%	28.6%		3.2%	1.97
Ealing	40831	16.1%	1262	3.1%	6199	15.2%	12.6%	255	4.1%		6.5%	27.8%		9.4%	2.28

Table 9. Scivin All-LA De	Overall				White British					Black Caribbe	an & Mixed Wh	nite & Caribb	ean		
								N	SEMH				N	SEMH	
			Ν	SEMH	N		% FSM	(pupils with	Incidence	N		% FSM	(pupils with	Incidence	
			(pupils with	Incidence	(pupils in LA	% LA pupils	within	SEMH in	(% within		% LA pupils	within	SEMH in	(% within	
	N (pupils)	LA % FSM	SEMH in LA)	(% in LA)	in group)	in group	group	group)	group)	in group)	in group	group	group)	group)	Risk Ratio
Local Authority															
East Riding of Yorkshire	37908	11.9%	624	1.6%	35345	93.2%	11.9%	599	1.7%	118	0.3%	19.5%	х	<b>j</b>	
East Sussex	56896	13.2%	1386	2.4%	49180	86.4%	13.0%	1232	2.5%	523	0.9%	26.6%	24	4.6%	
Enfield	45573	18.8%	1630	3.6%	8992	19.7%	16.3%	428	4.8%	3869	8.5%	24.5%	294	7.6%	
Essex	171466	11.1%	4040	2.4%	143717	83.8%	11.2%	3547	2.5%	2492	1.5%	20.5%	80	3.2%	1.30
Gateshead	22613	17.3%	600	2.7%	20357	90.0%	17.1%	566	2.8%	42	0.2%	16.7%	х		
Gloucestershire	71237	10.2%	1618	2.3%	60326	84.7%	10.0%	1418	2.4%	1249	1.8%	23.8%	63	5.0%	
Greenwich	31881	19.6%	922	2.9%	10644	33.4%	24.6%	470	4.4%	1888	5.9%	26.7%	77	4.1%	
Hackney	27041	33.0%	1107	4.1%	4259	15.8%	18.4%	167	3.9%	3847	14.2%	45.0%	316	8.2%	2.09
Halton	16300	28.5%	510	3.1%	15381	94.4%	28.7%	484	3.1%	84	0.5%	27.4%	x		
Hammersmith and Fulham	15307	22.6%	565	3.7%	4142	27.1%	16.2%	169	4.1%	1567	10.2%	36.9%	124	7.9%	
Hampshire	153538	8.9%	3693	2.4%	134531	87.6%	9.0%	3361	2.5%	1150	0.7%	16.2%	50	4.3%	
Haringey	30434	22.0%	1111	3.7%	5766	18.9%	8.7%	192	3.3%	3599	11.8%	32.0%	310	8.6%	2.59
Harrow	28671	11.0%	572	2.0%	3565	12.4%	12.4%	107	3.0%	1460	5.1%	21.2%	105	7.2%	2.40
Hartlepool	12113	23.9%	324	2.7%	11416	94.2%	24.0%	307	2.7%	16	0.1%	31.3%	X		
Havering	32773	12.3%	357	1.1%	22059	67.3%	12.7%	278	1.3%	1307	4.0%	17.7%	21	1.6%	1.27
Herefordshire	19958	9.1%	604	3.0%	17812	89.2%	9.2%	562	3.2%	67	0.3%	20.9%	Х		
Hertfordshire	148782	8.7%	4045	2.7%	106780	71.8%	8.7%	3191	3.0%	3712	2.5%	16.9%	186	5.0%	1.68
Hillingdon	39407	15.5%	720	1.8%	14033	35.6%	16.4%	364	2.6%	1629	4.1%	24.4%	54	3.3%	1.28
Hounslow	32490	15.6%	1344	4.1%	6902	21.2%	20.5%	527	7.6%	1021	3.1%	26.9%	79	7.7%	1.01
Isle of Wight	14227	13.9%	458	3.2%	13203	92.8%	14.2%	431	3.3%	100	0.7%	16.0%	4		
Isles of Scilly	235	2.6%	5		184	78.3%	2.2%	x		х	0.9%	0.0%	x		
Islington	19300	31.9%	708	3.7%	5221	27.1%	31.0%	197	3.8%	1901	9.8%	40.7%	159	8.4%	2.22
Kensington and Chelsea	10017	21.6%	303	3.0%	2057	20.5%	16.9%	57	2.8%	779	7.8%	31.8%	66	8.5%	3.06
Kent	183547	12.1%	4988	2.7%	149663	81.5%	12.7%	4409	2.9%	1931	1.1%	18.0%	83	4.3%	1.46
Kingston upon Hull, City of	31432	25.1%	752	2.4%	25840	82.2%	26.3%	670	2.6%	80	0.3%	37.5%	3		
Kingston upon Thames	19072	9.0%	332	1.7%	8877	46.5%	8.5%	195	2.2%	432	2.3%	24.1%	19	4.4%	2.00
Kirklees	55687	20.6%	1163	2.1%	34046	61.1%	18.1%	878	2.6%	1864	3.3%	36.0%	79	4.2%	1.64
Knowsley	16164	32.5%	606	3.7%	15126	93.6%	33.1%	570	3.8%	69	0.4%	34.8%	х		
Lambeth	29364	26.3%	1109	3.8%	4106	14.0%	15.9%	130	3.2%	6078	20.7%	36.1%	420	6.9%	2.18
Lancashire	144891	14.1%	2901	2.0%	117789	81.3%	14.1%	2616	2.2%	1031	0.7%	19.5%	30	2.9%	1.31
Leeds	93823	17.5%	2762	2.9%	63515	67.7%	16.3%	1909	3.0%	2524	2.7%	28.8%	192	7.6%	2.53
Leicester	44625	19.1%	1270	2.8%	12939	29.0%	29.6%	678	5.2%	1456	3.3%	31.7%	108	7.4%	1.42
Leicestershire	80348	8.2%	1339	1.7%	66605	82.9%	8.4%	1201	1.8%	1187	1.5%	14.8%	44	3.7%	2.06
Lewisham	33307	20.3%	847	2.5%	7314	22.0%	20.1%	194	2.7%	7063	21.2%	26.6%	325	4.6%	
Lincolnshire	85738	12.8%	2082	2.4%	74994	87.5%	13.5%	1901	2.5%	473	0.6%	22.4%	11	2.3%	
Liverpool	53960	27.4%	1791	3.3%	41423	76.8%	26.5%	1470	3.5%	431	0.8%	46.4%	27	6.3%	
Luton	32414	17.2%	910	2.8%	7742	23.9%	18.4%	349	4.5%		6.7%	25.5%		6.4%	
Manchester	65210	27.8%	2156	3.3%	25252	38.7%	31.4%	1181	4.7%		4.7%	35.1%	209	6.8%	
Medway	35756	13.6%	1491	4.2%	27343	76.5%	14.2%	1282	4.7%	735	2.1%	21.4%	43	5.9%	

Table 9. SEIVIN AII-LA DE	Overall				White British					Black Caribbe	an & Mixed Wh	nite & Caribb	pean		
								N	SEMH				N	SEMH	
			N	SEMH	N		% FSM	(pupils with	Incidence	N		% FSM	(pupils with	Incidence	
			(pupils with	Incidence	(pupils in LA	% LA pupils	within	SEMH in	(% within		% LA pupils	within	SEMH in	(% within	
	N (pupils)	LA % FSM	SEMH in LA)	(% in LA)	in group)	in group	group	group)	group)	in group)	in group	group	group)	group)	Risk Ratio
Local Authority															
Merton	21986	15.9%	766	3.5%	7024	31.9%	17.5%	311	4.4%	1563	7.1%	27.7%		7.4%	1.66
Middlesbrough	18350	31.0%	653	3.6%	13793	75.2%	31.6%	571	4.1%	96	0.5%	42.7%			
Milton Keynes	36500	11.4%	860	2.4%	21545	59.0%	11.9%	657	3.0%	978	2.7%	16.3%		2.9%	0.94
Newcastle upon Tyne	30490	25.0%	913	3.0%	21600	70.8%	27.0%	803	3.7%	98	0.3%	22.4%			
Newham	47827	21.7%	1673	3.5%	2835	5.9%	39.6%	292	10.3%	2446	5.1%	33.6%		7.9%	
Norfolk	93903	13.5%	3087	3.3%	81313	86.6%	13.7%	2808	3.5%	524	0.6%	24.8%		4.2%	1.22
North East Lincolnshire	19673	17.9%	323	1.6%	18179	92.4%	18.5%	311	1.7%	64	0.3%	21.9%			
North Lincolnshire	20691	14.2%	406	2.0%	17912	86.6%	14.6%	382	2.1%	51	0.2%	25.5%			
North Somerset	24895	9.9%	678	2.7%	22368	89.8%	10.0%	622	2.8%	186	0.7%	20.4%		5.9%	2.13
North Tyneside	24077	14.8%	582	2.4%	22029	91.5%	14.9%	559	2.5%	60	0.2%	25.0%			
North Yorkshire	67652	7.6%	1352	2.0%	61992	91.6%	7.7%	1276	2.1%	300	0.4%	13.7%			
Northamptonshire	93159	13.0%	2269	2.4%	71098	76.3%	13.1%	1910	2.7%	2202	2.4%	26.0%	96	4.4%	1.62
Northumberland	36031	13.3%	1346	3.7%	34231	95.0%	13.5%	1294	3.8%	94	0.3%	23.4%	7		
Nottingham	35040	26.2%	1386	4.0%	17118	48.9%	29.8%	792	4.6%	3350	9.6%	35.3%	225	6.7%	1.45
Nottinghamshire	93984	12.7%	1700	1.8%	81044	86.2%	13.0%	1533	1.9%	1626	1.7%	18.8%	44	2.7%	1.43
Oldham	35816	19.5%	819	2.3%	19967	55.7%	16.8%	579	2.9%	581	1.6%	26.0%	24	4.1%	1.42
Oxfordshire	74436	9.3%	2210	3.0%	57076	76.7%	8.6%	1769	3.1%	1406	1.9%	24.7%	100	7.1%	2.29
Peterborough	29317	15.9%	701	2.4%	15482	52.8%	17.8%	513	3.3%	585	2.0%	26.5%	19	3.2%	0.98
Plymouth	30735	18.1%	1507	4.9%	27220	88.6%	18.3%	1406	5.2%	195	0.6%	28.2%	15	7.7%	1.49
Poole	15923	10.4%	612	3.8%	13818	86.8%	10.6%	564	4.1%	121	0.8%	24.0%	7		<u> </u>
Portsmouth	21935	18.8%	686	3.1%	17073	77.8%	19.8%	617	3.6%	218	1.0%	22.9%	10	4.6%	1.27
Reading	16583	15.3%	624	3.8%	7583	45.7%	17.0%	396	5.2%	984	5.9%	36.1%	80	8.1%	1.56
Redbridge	42397	17.0%	1033	2.4%	6095	14.4%	14.9%	236	3.9%	2275	5.4%	31.6%	142	6.2%	1.61
Redcar and Cleveland	17540	20.5%	769	4.4%	16662	95.0%	20.4%	728	4.4%	53	0.3%	28.3%	x		<u>i</u>
Richmond upon Thames	21349	8.6%	547	2.6%	12852	60.2%	7.4%	383	3.0%	570	2.7%	21.9%	38	6.7%	2.24
Rochdale	29288	20.7%	645	2.2%	18498	63.2%	19.5%	534	2.9%	204	0.7%	36.8%	7		
Rotherham	36032	17.1%	881	2.4%	29845	82.8%	16.6%	777	2.6%	268	0.7%	19.8%	16	6.0%	2.29
Rutland	4892	5.1%	73	1.5%	4504	92.1%	5.2%	69	1.5%	40	0.8%	7.5%	x		-
Salford	28375	21.7%	1069	3.8%	21091	74.3%	22.0%	896	4.2%	312	1.1%	24.4%	17	5.4%	1.28
Sandwell	45416	23.1%	1610	3.5%	21308	46.9%	25.3%	977	4.6%	3431	7.6%	31.0%	220	6.4%	1.40
Sefton	32517	15.7%	649	2.0%	30069	92.5%	15.9%	615	2.0%	110	0.3%	27.3%	х		-
Sheffield	64006	19.6%	1724	2.7%	42466	66.3%	16.1%	1191	2.8%	2196	3.4%	34.1%	113	5.1%	1.83
Shropshire	32237	9.6%	643	2.0%	29612	91.9%	9.6%	601	2.0%	204	0.6%	18.6%	6		-
Slough	22733	11.6%	754	3.3%	3992	17.6%	17.4%	267	6.7%	673	3.0%	23.5%	56	8.3%	1.24
Solihull	31226	14.5%	932	3.0%	23317	74.7%	14.0%	736	3.2%	1448	4.6%	29.4%		4.2%	
Somerset	59688	10.4%	1917	3.2%	54021	90.5%	10.5%	1755	3.2%	294	0.5%	15.0%		5.1%	l
South Gloucestershire	32888	9.0%	810	2.5%	28089	85.4%	8.7%	697	2.5%		1.9%	24.6%		4.3%	
South Tyneside	17393	21.1%	556	3.2%	15848	91.1%	21.1%	517	3.3%	35	0.2%	25.7%			<u>i</u>
Southampton	26380	18.8%	1142	4.3%	17494	66.3%	21.4%	959	5.5%		1.9%	33.4%		6.1%	1.11

Table 9: SEMH All-LA Descriptive Statistics and Risk Ratios (RR), Y1-11 (ages 5-16), 2016

	Overall				White British					Black Caribbe	an & Mixed W	hite & Caribb	ean		
								Ν	SEMH				N	SEMH	
			N	SEMH	N		% FSM	(pupils with	Incidence	N			(pupils with	Incidence	
	A1 (	1 A O/ FCA A	(pupils with	Incidence	(pupils in LA	% LA pupils	within	SEMH in	(% within	'' '	% LA pupils	within	SEMH in	(% within	
	N (pupils)	LA % FSIVI	SEMH in LA)	(% in LA)	in group)	in group	group	group)	group)	in group)	in group	group	group)	group)	Risk Ratio
Local Authority		. [													
Southend-on-Sea	23237	13.2%	532	2.3%	17527	75.4%	13.9%	460	2.6%	359	1.5%	22.3%	13	3.6%	
Southwark	32807	23.9%	1119	3.4%	6621	20.2%	20.8%	260	3.9%	4136	12.6%	33.3%	239	5.8%	1.47
St. Helens	21510	17.9%	767	3.6%	20414	94.9%	18.2%	739	3.6%	82	0.4%	22.0%	9		
Staffordshire	99876	10.6%	1724	1.7%	88773	88.9%	10.6%	1599	1.8%	1114	1.1%	20.2%	30	2.7%	
Stockport	34225	13.5%	1071	3.1%	28387	82.9%	13.1%	940	3.3%	513	1.5%	18.9%	33	6.4%	1.94
Stockton-on-Tees	24526	19.7%	706	2.9%	21730	88.6%	19.1%	673	3.1%	56	0.2%	26.8%	х		
Stoke-on-Trent	30677	22.2%	853	2.8%	22067	71.9%	22.6%	726	3.3%	404	1.3%	40.3%	24	5.9%	1.81
Suffolk	82794	12.6%	1842	2.2%	69669	84.1%	12.6%	1635	2.3%	1290	1.6%	21.7%	50	3.9%	1.65
Sunderland	32842	21.7%	1088	3.3%	30231	92.0%	22.0%	1056	3.5%	58	0.2%	29.3%	4		
Surrey	126564	7.5%	3330	2.6%	96893	76.6%	7.4%	2748	2.8%	1597	1.3%	15.7%	74	4.6%	1.63
Sutton	28224	11.6%	766	2.7%	14816	52.5%	13.2%	555	3.7%	1031	3.7%	21.2%	40	3.9%	1.04
Swindon	27468	12.7%	939	3.4%	20359	74.1%	13.4%	819	4.0%	455	1.7%	25.7%	21	4.6%	1.15
Tameside	30254	19.8%	734	2.4%	23875	78.9%	19.2%	650	2.7%	276	0.9%	31.9%	12	4.3%	1.60
Telford and Wrekin	23148	16.3%	802	3.5%	18883	81.6%	16.9%	721	3.8%	456	2.0%	25.9%	22	4.8%	1.26
Thurrock	22661	14.6%	594	2.6%	14979	66.1%	16.6%	469	3.1%	537	2.4%	22.3%	19	3.5%	1.13
Torbay	15510	16.9%	847	5.5%	14093	90.9%	17.5%	802	5.7%	101	0.7%	27.7%	8		
Tower Hamlets	33842	38.5%	1313	3.9%	3199	9.5%	43.9%	325	10.2%	1162	3.4%	47.8%	120	10.3%	1.02
Trafford	31731	10.3%	724	2.3%	21976	69.3%	9.4%	566	2.6%	861	2.7%	17.0%	32	3.7%	1.44
Wakefield	41367	14.9%	1266	3.1%	35894	86.8%	15.2%	1168	3.3%	217	0.5%	19.8%	9		
Walsall	38721	21.8%	649	1.7%	24372	62.9%	22.6%	488	2.0%	1630	4.2%	30.0%	35	2.1%	1.07
Waltham Forest	34168	17.7%	1433	4.2%	5815	17.0%	14.5%	301	5.2%	3512	10.3%	25.8%	294	8.4%	1.62
Wandsworth	24561	18.6%	1204	4.9%	6532	26.6%	14.8%	343	5.3%	2805	11.4%	32.3%	323	11.5%	2.19
Warrington	26913	10.5%	723	2.7%	23802	88.4%	10.7%	671	2.8%	136	0.5%	15.4%	3		
Warwickshire	65410	9.1%	1682	2.6%	53878	82.4%	9.3%	1459	2.7%	936	1.4%	20.0%	43	4.6%	1.70
West Berkshire	20712	7.3%	388	1.9%	17509	84.5%	6.7%	334	1.9%	363	1.8%	21.5%	17	4.7%	
West Sussex	94292	8.5%	2775	2.9%	77620	82.3%	8.5%	2395	3.1%	853	0.9%	17.6%	52	6.1%	
Westminster	17440	28.5%	857	4.9%	2363	13.5%	17.6%	116	4.9%	960	5.5%	29.6%	84	8.8%	
Wigan	39700	14.7%	1217	3.1%	36676	92.4%	14.4%	1134	3.1%	152	0.4%	24.3%	10	6.6%	
Wiltshire	55866	7.8%	1474	2.6%	49314	88.3%	7.9%	1343	2.7%	609	1.1%	14.1%	27	4.4%	
Windsor and Maidenhead	16960	6.4%	448	2.6%	11128	65.6%	5.9%	337	3.0%	248	1.5%	17.7%	12	4.8%	
Wirral	39877	18.4%	1436	3.6%	36642	91.9%	19.0%	1373	3.7%	180	0.5%	23.9%	10	5.6%	
Wokingham	20641	5.8%	471	2.3%	14456	70.0%	5.4%	364	2.5%	409	2.0%	15.2%	21	5.1%	
Wolverhampton	32091	23.3%	820	2.6%	15702	48.9%	25.1%	483	3.1%	3565	11.1%	36.6%	157	4.4%	
Worcestershire	65175	11.9%	1882	2.9%	56536	86.7%	11.7%	1670	3.0%	759	1.2%	23.1%	38	5.0%	
York	20316	8.7%	534	2.6%	18060	88.9%	8.9%	497	2.8%	81	0.4%	23.5%	4	3.070	1.03
National (incl. all LAs)	6505275	15.2%	181013	2.8%	4512620	69.4%	14.1%	134939	3.0%	176431	2.7%	28.4%	10392	5.9%	1.97

#### **All-LA ASD Table**

The following pages report results across all LAs (with equivalent national statistics for comparison) for ASD identification, focusing specifically on the combined Asian (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, and Asian Other) group as previously described.

What this table tells you:

- 1) The first set of columns report the overall number of pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of pupils with FSM entitlement in each LA overall, the number of pupils identified with ASD in each LA, and the total incidence of ASD identification in each LA overall.
- 2) The second set of columns reports the number of White British pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of White British pupils in the LA, the percentage of White British pupils entitled to FSM, the number of White British pupils identified with ASD, and the incidence amongst White British pupils of identification with ASD.
- 3) The third set of columns reports the number of Asian (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi and Asian Other) pupils in each LA (Y1-11, ages 5-16), the percentage of pupils in the LA that this group constitutes, the percentage of pupils in this group entitled to FSM, the number of pupils in this group identified with ASD, the incidence of ASD identification amongst pupils in this group, and the Risk Ratio (relative to White British pupils) of identification with ASD.

This facilitates comparisons across LAs for these ethnic group categories, and comparisons between LAs and the national equivalent statistics.

As a quick-start guide for looking at the data for specific LAs in this table, the distribution of risk ratios across all LAs is as follows:

	Asian (as defined above)
Total LAs	152
LAs with N<10 in ethnic group with ASD	58
LAs with Reported Risk Ratios*	94
LAs with RR <= 0.75	79
LAs without apparent disproportionality	12
LAs with RR >= 1.33	3

<sup>\*</sup>Recall that Risk Ratios are only reported when the number of pupils in an ethnic group with SEN identification is 10 or more.

From this, it is apparent that there is some variation across LAs; pupils in the Asian (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, and Asian Other) group are under-represented in most LAs, not disproportionately represented in about one-eighth of LAs with reported RRs, and over-represented in three LAs.

When looking at the table, you may want to consider: Does the RR for your LA suggest over-representation (RR>=1.33), no disproportionality, or under-representation (RR<=0.75) for these groups for ASD in particular for Asian (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, and Asian Other) pupils? How does this compare to the above distribution over all LAs?

Table 10: ASD All-LA Descriptive Statistics and Risk Ratios (RR), Y1-11 (ages 5-16), 2016

Tubic 10. ASD All LA Des	Overall				White British					Asian (Indian,	Pakistani, Bar	ngladeshi, Any	other Asian)		
								N	ASD				N	ASD	
			N	ASD	N			(pupils with	Incidence	N			(pupils with	Incidence	
	N (nunils)	LA % FSM	(pupils with ASD in LA)	Incidence (% in LA)	(pupils in LA	% LA pupils	% FSM	ASD in		(pupils in LA	% LA pupils	% FSM	ASD in	(% within	
Local Authority	N (pupils)	LA % FSIVI	ASD III LA)	(% III LA)	in group)	ını group	within group	group)	group)	in group)	ın group	within group	group)	group)	Risk Ratio
Barking and Dagenham	33132	20.0%	381	1.1%	8719	26.3%	30.4%	109	1.3%	6462	19.5%	11.6%	49	0.8%	0.61
Barnet	43852	16.0%	609	1.4%	13286	30.3%		197	1.5%	5401	12.3%		56	1.0%	0.70
Barnsley	27405	20.6%	330	1.2%	25248	92.1%	20.5%	306	1.2%	127	0.5%	33.1%	х		
Bath and North East Somerset	27403	20.070	330	1.270	23240	32.170	20.570	300	1.270	12,	0.570	33.170			
	21450	8.7%	312	1.5%	18466	86.1%	8.5%	279	1.5%	333	1.6%	4.2%	x		
Bedford	22091	12.1%	286	1.3%	12661	57.3%	9.8%	188	1.5%	3363	15.2%	15.2%	22	0.7%	0.44
Bexley	35179	12.0%	695	2.0%	20963	59.6%	12.7%	475	2.3%	2377	6.8%	6.7%	24	1.0%	0.45
Birmingham	158994	28.3%	2806	1.8%	49808	31.3%	25.8%	1433	2.9%	59523	37.4%	26.1%	641	1.1%	0.37
Blackburn with Darwen	22285	16.7%	127	0.6%	10658	47.8%	18.9%	63	0.6%	9733	43.7%	13.5%	56	0.6%	0.97
Blackpool	16560	25.6%	151	0.9%	14866	89.8%	26.7%	135	0.9%	300	1.8%	11.3%	3		
Bolton	39894	17.6%	366	0.9%	25810	64.7%	16.5%	285	1.1%	8609	21.6%	13.5%	34	0.4%	0.36
Bournemouth	18818	13.1%	267	1.4%	14076	74.8%	14.0%	225	1.6%	561	3.0%	5.7%	х		
Bracknell Forest	14242	8.6%	220	1.5%	11254	79.0%	9.4%	198	1.8%	801	5.6%	2.6%	5		
Bradford	78243	19.3%	795	1.0%	34305	43.8%	18.3%	480	1.4%	33325	42.6%	19.4%	224	0.7%	0.48
Brent	38225	14.0%	447	1.2%	2697	7.1%	14.3%	38	1.4%	11222	29.4%	6.8%	87	0.8%	0.55
Brighton and Hove	27601	14.1%	291	1.1%	20769	75.2%	14.4%	229	1.1%	961	3.5%	12.1%	8		
Bristol, City of	46034	21.1%	651	1.4%	29296	63.6%	19.7%	432	1.5%	3524	7.7%	16.6%	37	1.0%	0.71
Bromley	39314	10.1%	596	1.5%	25172	64.0%	9.3%	410	1.6%	2055	5.2%	4.1%	20	1.0%	0.60
Buckinghamshire	65865	6.6%	692	1.1%	44355	67.3%	5.5%	516	1.2%	10544	16.0%	8.6%	63	0.6%	0.51
Bury	24889	15.2%	208	0.8%	18594	74.7%	13.6%	169	0.9%	3388	13.6%	16.5%	13	0.4%	0.42
Calderdale	29233	14.4%	236	0.8%	22125	75.7%	13.0%	191	0.9%	4649	15.9%	19.1%	24	0.5%	0.60
Cambridgeshire	70514	9.8%	922	1.3%	55186	78.3%	10.1%	748	1.4%	2574	3.7%	5.7%	22	0.9%	0.63
Camden	17336	27.8%	250	1.4%	3863	22.3%	24.2%	67	1.7%	3395	19.6%	28.3%	38	1.1%	0.65
Central Bedfordshire	33583	9.4%	547	1.6%	28233	84.1%	8.9%	481	1.7%	717	2.1%	6.6%	6		
Cheshire East	42748	8.4%	355	0.8%	37862	88.6%	8.3%	320	0.8%	741	1.7%	6.2%	4		
Cheshire West and Chester	39766	11.3%	526	1.3%	36406	91.6%	11.4%	473	1.3%	522	1.3%	5.9%	10	1.9%	1.47
City of London	176	24.4%	7		38	21.6%	7.9%	3		58	33.0%	22.4%	x		
Cornwall	60692	11.9%	713	1.2%	56290	92.7%	12.0%	672	1.2%	223	0.4%	4.9%	X		
Coventry	43435	19.4%	1015	2.3%	23011	53.0%	19.7%	748	3.3%	8391	19.3%	14.9%	87	1.0%	
Croydon	45929	20.4%	735	1.6%	13248	28.8%	19.8%	274	2.1%	6881	15.0%	11.5%	78	1.1%	0.55
Cumbria	56065	10.2%	651	1.2%	52793	94.2%		621	1.2%	387	0.7%		4		
Darlington	13572	17.5%	206	1.5%	11948	88.0%	18.0%	181	1.5%	336	2.5%	14.0%	8		
Derby	34155	17.9%	467	1.4%	20741	60.7%	17.5%	331	1.6%	5995	17.6%	15.9%	49	0.8%	0.51
Derbyshire	87889	13.2%	1164	1.3%	81848	93.1%		1074	1.3%		1.0%	6.2%	7		
Devon	81099	13.3%	847	1.0%	74608	92.0%		784	1.1%	476	0.6%		4		
Doncaster	37669	17.6%	602	1.6%	32170	85.4%		550	1.7%	1073	2.8%		12	1.1%	0.65
Dorset	45771	13.0%	749	1.6%	41869	91.5%		668	1.6%	391	0.9%		х		
Dudley	40055	16.9%	386	1.0%	30855	77.0%		319	1.0%	3984	9.9%		20	0.5%	0.49
Durham	57713	19.9%	722	1.3%	55024	95.3%		685	1.2%	392	0.7%		8		
Ealing	40831	16.1%	416	1.0%	6199	15.2%	12.6%	80	1.3%	11562	28.3%	11.9%	85	0.7%	0.57

Table 10. ASD All LA De	Overall			(,	White British					Asian (Indian.	Pakistani. Bai	ngladeshi, Any o	other Asian)		
								N	ASD			<b></b>	N	ASD	
			N	ASD	N		(	pupils with	Incidence	N		(	pupils with	Incidence	
			(pupils with			% LA pupils	% FSM	ASD in	(% within		% LA pupils	% FSM	ASD in	(% within	
	N (pupils)	LA % FSM	ASD in LA)	(% in LA)	in group)	in group	within group	group)	group)	in group)	in group	within group	group)	group)	Risk Ratio
Local Authority															
East Riding of Yorkshire	37908	11.9%	287	0.8%	35345	93.2%	11.9%	272	0.8%	164	0.4%		х		
East Sussex	56896	13.2%	762	1.3%	49180	86.4%	13.0%	665	1.4%	1054	1.9%		10	0.9%	
Enfield	45573	18.8%	572	1.3%	8992	19.7%	16.3%	114	1.3%	3703	8.1%		35	0.9%	
Essex	171466	11.1%	2128	1.2%	143717	83.8%	11.2%	1820	1.3%	4053	2.4%		41	1.0%	0.80
Gateshead	22613	17.3%	302	1.3%	20357	90.0%	17.1%	266	1.3%	472	2.1%		5		
Gloucestershire	71237	10.2%	470	0.7%	60326	84.7%	10.0%	401	0.7%	1942	2.7%		5		
Greenwich	31881	19.6%	629	2.0%	10644	33.4%	24.6%	262	2.5%	2604	8.2%		33	1.3%	<b>:</b>
Hackney	27041	33.0%	389	1.4%	4259	15.8%	18.4%	66	1.5%	3256	12.0%		38	1.2%	0.75
Halton	16300	28.5%	217	1.3%	15381	94.4%	28.7%	207	1.3%	45	0.3%		х		
Hammersmith and Fulham	15307	22.6%	296	1.9%	4142	27.1%	16.2%	54	1.3%	1123	7.3%		23	2.0%	
Hampshire	153538	8.9%	1233	0.8%	134531	87.6%	9.0%	1121	0.8%	4319	2.8%	4.4%	19	0.4%	
Haringey	30434	22.0%	564	1.9%	5766	18.9%	8.7%	92	1.6%	1828	6.0%	21.4%	38	2.1%	l
Harrow	28671	11.0%	352	1.2%	3565	12.4%	12.4%	77	2.2%	13191	46.0%	7.8%	123	0.9%	0.43
Hartlepool	12113	23.9%	127	1.0%	11416	94.2%	24.0%	120	1.1%	274	2.3%	17.2%	Х		
Havering	32773	12.3%	245	0.7%	22059	67.3%	12.7%	176	0.8%	1830	5.6%	7.8%	7		
Herefordshire	19958	9.1%	155	0.8%	17812	89.2%	9.2%	144	0.8%	92	0.5%	0.0%	Х		
Hertfordshire	148782	8.7%	1956	1.3%	106780	71.8%	8.7%	1500	1.4%	11189	7.5%	6.1%	93	0.8%	0.59
Hillingdon	39407	15.5%	637	1.6%	14033	35.6%	16.4%	290	2.1%	9910	25.1%	9.1%	123	1.2%	0.60
Hounslow	32490	15.6%	420	1.3%	6902	21.2%	20.5%	151	2.2%	10026	30.9%	9.2%	81	0.8%	0.37
Isle of Wight	14227	13.9%	235	1.7%	13203	92.8%	14.2%	227	1.7%	111	0.8%	6.3%	х		
Isles of Scilly	235	2.6%	4		184	78.3%	2.2%	3		х		0.0%	x		
Islington	19300	31.9%	196	1.0%	5221	27.1%	31.0%	67	1.3%	1717	8.9%	31.2%	11	0.6%	0.50
Kensington and Chelsea	10017	21.6%	125	1.2%	2057	20.5%	16.9%	31	1.5%	374	3.7%	19.8%	8		
Kent	183547	12.1%	3671	2.0%	149663	81.5%	12.7%	3173	2.1%	6594	3.6%	4.2%	58	0.9%	0.41
Kingston upon Hull, City of	31432	25.1%	369	1.2%	25840	82.2%	26.3%	326	1.3%	478	1.5%	16.7%	х		<u> </u>
Kingston upon Thames	19072	9.0%	331	1.7%	8877	46.5%	8.5%	207	2.3%	3614	18.9%	7.6%	36	1.0%	0.43
Kirklees	55687	20.6%	302	0.5%	34046	61.1%	18.1%	221	0.6%	14803	26.6%	21.0%	37	0.2%	0.39
Knowsley	16164	32.5%	246	1.5%	15126	93.6%	33.1%	229	1.5%	223	1.4%	8.1%	х		
Lambeth	29364	26.3%	522	1.8%	4106	14.0%	15.9%	75	1.8%	1342	4.6%	22.7%	8		
Lancashire	144891	14.1%	1745	1.2%	117789	81.3%	14.1%	1547	1.3%	15773	10.9%	13.9%	101	0.6%	0.49
Leeds	93823	17.5%	587	0.6%	63515	67.7%	16.3%	422	0.7%	11152	11.9%	16.1%	48	0.4%	0.65
Leicester	44625	19.1%	306	0.7%	12939	29.0%	29.6%	154	1.2%	19234	43.1%	10.7%	63	0.3%	0.28
Leicestershire	80348	8.2%	649	0.8%	66605	82.9%	8.4%	571	0.9%	5978	7.4%	4.9%	19	0.3%	0.37
Lewisham	33307	20.3%	1091	3.3%	7314	22.0%	20.1%	258	3.5%	2065	6.2%	13.2%	45	2.2%	0.62
Lincolnshire	85738	12.8%	1263	1.5%	74994	87.5%	13.5%	1182	1.6%	891	1.0%	3.3%	4		<u> </u>
Liverpool	53960	27.4%	1086	2.0%	41423	76.8%	26.5%	922	2.2%	2020	3.7%	20.2%	22	1.1%	0.49
Luton	32414	17.2%	307	0.9%	7742	23.9%	18.4%	130	1.7%	14163	43.7%	16.2%	83	0.6%	0.35
Manchester	65210	27.8%	936	1.4%	25252	38.7%	31.4%	421	1.7%	14348	22.0%	18.2%	153	1.1%	0.64
Medway	35756	13.6%	796	2.2%	27343	76.5%	14.2%	670	2.5%	1677	4.7%	6.1%	17	1.0%	0.41

Table 10: ASD All-LA Descriptive Statistics and Risk Ratios (RR), Y1-11 (ages 5-16), 2016

Table 10. ASD All LA Di	Overall			,,,	White British					Asian (Indian.	Pakistani. Baı	ngladeshi, Any o	other Asian)		
								N	ASD	, ,	, , ,	, ,	N	ASD	
			N	ASD	N			(pupils with	Incidence	N		(	pupils with	Incidence	
		14.0/ 5014	(pupils with	Incidence		% LA pupils	% FSM	ASD in	(% within		% LA pupils	% FSM	ASD in	(% within	
	N (pupils)	LA % FSM	ASD in LA)	(% in LA)	in group)	ın group	within group	group)	group)	in group)	ın group	within group	group)	group)	Risk Ratio
Local Authority															
Merton	21986	15.9%	231	1.1%	7024	31.9%	17.5%	84	1.2%	4302	19.6%		35	0.8%	
Middlesbrough	18350	31.0%	218	1.2%	13793	75.2%	31.6%	177	1.3%	2071	11.3%		13	0.6%	0.49
Milton Keynes	36500	11.4%	466	1.3%	21545	59.0%	11.9%	274	1.3%	3977	10.9%		40	1.0%	
Newcastle upon Tyne	30490	25.0%	369	1.2%	21600	70.8%	27.0%	277	1.3%	3665	12.0%		33	0.9%	
Newham	47827	21.7%	600	1.3%	2835	5.9%	39.6%	43	1.5%	21582	45.1%		238	1.1%	
Norfolk	93903	13.5%	1170	1.2%	81313	86.6%	13.7%	1049	1.3%	1156	1.2%		10	0.9%	0.67
North East Lincolnshire	19673	17.9%	195	1.0%	18179	92.4%	18.5%	184	1.0%	139	0.7%		Х		
North Lincolnshire	20691	14.2%	162	0.8%	17912	86.6%	14.6%	146	0.8%	801	3.9%		4		
North Somerset	24895	9.9%	177	0.7%	22368	89.8%	10.0%	161	0.7%	305	1.2%		Х		
North Tyneside	24077	14.8%	173	0.7%	22029	91.5%	14.9%	150	0.7%	526	2.2%		5		
North Yorkshire	67652	7.6%	789	1.2%	61992	91.6%	7.7%	722	1.2%	1070	1.6%		3		
Northamptonshire	93159	13.0%	1127	1.2%	71098	76.3%	13.1%	900	1.3%	4046	4.3%		34	0.8%	0.66
Northumberland	36031	13.3%	411	1.1%	34231	95.0%	13.5%	394	1.2%	463	1.3%		x		
Nottingham	35040	26.2%	747	2.1%	17118	48.9%	29.8%	468	2.7%	5869	16.7%		67	1.1%	
Nottinghamshire	93984	12.7%	1540	1.6%	81044	86.2%	13.0%	1401	1.7%	2464	2.6%	7.1%	17	0.7%	0.40
Oldham	35816	19.5%	612	1.7%	19967	55.7%	16.8%	414	2.1%	11468	32.0%	22.2%	123	1.1%	
Oxfordshire	74436	9.3%	1070	1.4%	57076	76.7%	8.6%	884	1.5%	4251	5.7%	10.5%	28	0.7%	0.43
Peterborough	29317	15.9%	454	1.5%	15482	52.8%	17.8%	331	2.1%	5182	17.7%	14.8%	29	0.6%	0.26
Plymouth	30735	18.1%	647	2.1%	27220	88.6%	18.3%	607	2.2%	285	0.9%	12.3%	Х		
Poole	15923	10.4%	127	0.8%	13818	86.8%	10.6%	110	0.8%	408	2.6%	3.7%	х		
Portsmouth	21935	18.8%	210	1.0%	17073	77.8%	19.8%	176	1.0%	1355	6.2%	9.6%	10	0.7%	0.72
Reading	16583	15.3%	315	1.9%	7583	45.7%	17.0%	197	2.6%	3374	20.3%	9.5%	24	0.7%	0.27
Redbridge	42397	17.0%	364	0.9%	6095	14.4%	14.9%	77	1.3%	21973	51.8%	12.6%	159	0.7%	0.57
Redcar and Cleveland	17540	20.5%	241	1.4%	16662	95.0%	20.4%	231	1.4%	213	1.2%	17.8%	3		
Richmond upon Thames	21349	8.6%	292	1.4%	12852	60.2%	7.4%	185	1.4%	1582	7.4%	10.4%	22	1.4%	0.97
Rochdale	29288	20.7%	564	1.9%	18498	63.2%	19.5%	416	2.2%	7240	24.7%	20.2%	106	1.5%	0.65
Rotherham	36032	17.1%	765	2.1%	29845	82.8%	16.6%	690	2.3%	2779	7.7%	16.9%	34	1.2%	0.53
Rutland	4892	5.1%	30	0.6%	4504	92.1%	5.2%	28	0.6%	46	0.9%	2.2%	х		
Salford	28375	21.7%	247	0.9%	21091	74.3%	22.0%	189	0.9%	793	2.8%	18.3%	4		
Sandwell	45416	23.1%	233	0.5%	21308	46.9%	25.3%	124	0.6%	12597	27.7%	16.5%	39	0.3%	0.53
Sefton	32517	15.7%	547	1.7%	30069	92.5%	15.9%	520	1.7%	303	0.9%	6.6%	х		
Sheffield	64006	19.6%	1285	2.0%	42466	66.3%	16.1%	935	2.2%	7300	11.4%	21.1%	106	1.5%	0.66
Shropshire	32237	9.6%	325	1.0%	29612	91.9%	9.6%	302	1.0%	254	0.8%	5.1%	х		
Slough	22733	11.6%	287	1.3%	3992	17.6%	17.4%	82	2.1%	10759	47.3%	9.1%	98	0.9%	0.44
Solihull	31226	14.5%	777	2.5%	23317	74.7%	14.0%	625	2.7%	3410	10.9%	10.1%	51	1.5%	0.56
Somerset	59688	10.4%	469	0.8%	54021	90.5%	10.5%	427	0.8%	633	1.1%	2.8%	8		<u>i</u>
South Gloucestershire	32888	9.0%	386	1.2%	28089	85.4%	8.7%	332	1.2%	956	2.9%	3.8%	10	1.0%	0.88
South Tyneside	17393	21.1%	233	1.3%	15848	91.1%	21.1%	215	1.4%	659	3.8%	20.3%	3		
Southampton	26380	18.8%	257	1.0%	17494	66.3%	21.4%	211	1.2%	3032	11.5%	12.4%	8		

Table 10: ASD All-LA Descriptive Statistics and Risk Ratios (RR), Y1-11 (ages 5-16), 2016

	Overall				White British Asian (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, Any other Asian)										
								N	ASD				N	ASD	
			N (mmilaith	ASD	N (munila in 1.4	0/ 1 4 mumile	0/ 5014	(pupils with	Incidence	N (munila in 1.4	0/ 1 A mumila	0/ FCNA	(pupils with	Incidence	
	N (pupils)	LA % FSM	(pupils with ASD in LA)	Incidence (% in LA)	(pupils in LA in group)	% LA pupils in aroup	% FSM within group	ASD in group)	(% within group)	(pupils in LA in group)	% LA pupils in aroup	% FSM within group	ASD in group)	(% within group)	Risk Ratio
Local Authority	11 (μαμπο)	21,010	7.02 2.1,	(/0 2 ./	g.cup)	g.cup	g.cap	g,oup,	g.cup)	g.cup)	g.cup	mam group	group,	g.cup)	711511 714410
Southend-on-Sea	23237	13.2%	246	1.1%	17527	75.4%	13.9%	200	1.1%	1477	6.4%	6.1%	4	i	
Southwark	32807	23.9%	730	2.2%	6621	20.2%		142	2.1%	1821	5.6%	23.9%	32	1.8%	0.82
St. Helens	21510	17.9%	398	1.9%	20414	94.9%	18.2%	378	1.9%	161	0.7%		x		
Staffordshire	99876	10.6%	1399	1.4%	88773	88.9%	10.6%	1290	1.5%	3616	3.6%	10.2%	18	0.5%	0.34
Stockport	34225	13.5%	498	1.5%	28387	82.9%	13.1%	422	1.5%	2205	6.4%		23	1.0%	0.70
Stockton-on-Tees	24526	19.7%	322	1.3%	21730	88.6%	19.1%	299	1.4%	1287	5.2%	19.0%	9		
Stoke-on-Trent	30677	22.2%	214	0.7%	22067	71.9%	22.6%	165	0.7%	4471	14.6%	16.4%	17	0.4%	0.51
Suffolk	82794	12.6%	1076	1.3%	69669	84.1%	12.6%	939	1.3%	1399	1.7%	9.1%	13	0.9%	0.69
Sunderland	32842	21.7%	662	2.0%	30231	92.0%	22.0%	624	2.1%	1266	3.9%	11.2%	11	0.9%	0.42
Surrey	126564	7.5%	2069	1.6%	96893	76.6%	7.4%	1672	1.7%	7972	6.3%	6.1%	91	1.1%	0.66
Sutton	28224	11.6%	423	1.5%	14816	52.5%	13.2%	264	1.8%	5231	18.5%	5.6%	38	0.7%	0.41
Swindon	27468	12.7%	410	1.5%	20359	74.1%	13.4%	341	1.7%	2775	10.1%	6.1%	28	1.0%	0.60
Tameside	30254	19.8%	267	0.9%	23875	78.9%	19.2%	215	0.9%	3304	10.9%	18.2%	24	0.7%	0.81
Telford and Wrekin	23148	16.3%	272	1.2%	18883	81.6%	16.9%	255	1.4%	1425	6.2%	11.8%	5		
Thurrock	22661	14.6%	243	1.1%	14979	66.1%	16.6%	163	1.1%	922	4.1%	8.8%	20	2.2%	1.99
Torbay	15510	16.9%	134	0.9%	14093	90.9%	17.5%	127	0.9%	174	1.1%	5.7%	х		
Tower Hamlets	33842	38.5%	462	1.4%	3199	9.5%	43.9%	46	1.4%	22213	65.6%	37.8%	283	1.3%	0.89
Trafford	31731	10.3%	293	0.9%	21976	69.3%	9.4%	210	1.0%	4129	13.0%	10.7%	16	0.4%	0.41
Wakefield	41367	14.9%	691	1.7%	35894	86.8%	15.2%	635	1.8%	1571	3.8%	11.0%	9		
Walsall	38721	21.8%	364	0.9%	24372	62.9%	22.6%	288	1.2%	8375	21.6%	16.4%	27	0.3%	0.27
Waltham Forest	34168	17.7%	456	1.3%	5815	17.0%	14.5%	81	1.4%	8869	26.0%	16.5%	102	1.2%	0.83
Wandsworth	24561	18.6%	501	2.0%	6532	26.6%	14.8%	152	2.3%	4348	17.7%	14.0%	63	1.4%	0.62
Warrington	26913	10.5%	269	1.0%	23802	88.4%	10.7%	239	1.0%	753	2.8%	7.3%	8		
Warwickshire	65410	9.1%	930	1.4%	53878	82.4%	9.3%	819	1.5%	3451	5.3%	3.8%	30	0.9%	0.57
West Berkshire	20712	7.3%	597	2.9%	17509	84.5%	6.7%	522	3.0%	612	3.0%	8.2%	12	2.0%	0.66
West Sussex	94292	8.5%	984	1.0%	77620	82.3%	8.5%	825	1.1%	4276	4.5%	5.4%	41	1.0%	0.90
Westminster	17440	28.5%	192	1.1%	2363	13.5%	17.6%	39	1.7%	2374	13.6%	26.4%	29	1.2%	0.74
Wigan	39700	14.7%	282	0.7%	36676	92.4%	14.4%	263	0.7%	446	1.1%	18.2%	3	¦	
Wiltshire	55866	7.8%	965	1.7%	49314	88.3%	7.9%	893	1.8%	756	1.4%	3.6%	x		
Windsor and Maidenhead	16960	6.4%	254	1.5%	11128	65.6%	5.9%	184	1.7%	2314	13.6%	7.4%	20	0.9%	0.52
Wirral	39877	18.4%	651	1.6%	36642	91.9%	19.0%	626	1.7%	904	2.3%	5.3%	x		
Wokingham	20641	5.8%	472	2.3%	14456	70.0%	5.4%	361	2.5%	2445	11.8%	4.7%	31	1.3%	0.51
Wolverhampton	32091	23.3%	179	0.6%	15702	48.9%	25.1%	106	0.7%	6917	21.6%	12.4%	25	0.4%	0.54
Worcestershire	65175	11.9%	752	1.2%	56536	86.7%	11.7%	669	1.2%	2305	3.5%	9.8%	9		
York	20316	8.7%	202	1.0%	18060	88.9%	8.9%	173	1.0%	402	2.0%	3.2%	4		
National (incl. all LAs)	6505275	15.2%	86225	1.3%	4512620	69.4%	14.1%	63436	1.4%	674157	10.4%	15.2%	5636	0.8%	0.59

### **Abbreviations**

ASD Autistic Spectrum Disorder

BCRB Black Caribbean FSM Free School Meals HI Hearing Impairment

IDACI Indicators of Deprivation Affecting Children Index

LA Local Authority

MLD Moderate Learning Difficulty
MSI Multi-Sensory Impairment
MWBC Mixed White & Black Caribbean

NSA SEN identification with No Specialist Assessment

OR Odds Ratio

PD Physical Disability

PMLD Profound and Multiple Learning Difficulties

RR Risk Ratio

SEMH Social, Emotional and Mental Health

SEN Special Educational Needs

SEND Special Educational Needs and Disabilities
SLCN Speech, Language and Communication Needs

SLD Severe Learning Difficulty
SpLD Specific Learning Difficulty

VI Visual Impairment

## **Technical Appendix**

Data used to produce the reports included in this pack come from the England National Pupil Database, including pupil level 2016 January School Census information.

All analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS.

For tables reporting descriptive information (counts and percentages) and RRs, the RRs were straightforwardly calculated by dividing the incidence of a given SEN type within a particular minority ethnic group within a particular LA by the incidence of the same SEN type in the White British group within the same LA.

For LA-specific ORs, the approach to analysis was the same as that described in Part 1 of the full project report (Strand & Lindorff, 2018):

For unadjusted ORs, multinomial logistic regression models were run on only pupil records for the relevant LA, with primary SEN type as the outcome and only ethnic group as a predictor.

For adjusted ORs, additional controls were included to account for other individual pupil background characteristics that might be expected to be associated with the odds of SEN identification. Control variables included:

- Entitlement to a Free School Meal (FSM) (with not entitled to FSM as the reference group)
- Gender (with Girl as the reference group)
- Birth season (Autumn, Spring or Summer; with Autumn as the reference group)
- Year group (with Y1 as the reference group)
- Indicators of Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI) score for each pupil's home neighbourhood (normalised; a continuous measure)

A small number of pupil records were excluded from calculations of adjusted ORs due to duplicate records (1090; 0.02% of the total 6,506,365 pupil records) or missing data. The only variable on which data were missing was IDACI (14,660; 0.2% of all pupil records).

In any tables including frequencies (counts), "x" means that a particular figure has been suppressed due to small numbers (See the NPD User Guide, 2018, p36).

#### References

Strand, S. & Lindorff, A. (2018). The unequal representation of ethnic minorities for different types of special educational needs: Extent, causes and consequences. Final report to the ESRC.

DfE (2015). SEND code of practice: 0 to 25 years. London: Department for Education.